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## Abstract

The tropical genus Clusia which contains species with C3, Crassulacean acid metabolism and C3/CAM properties is an interesting model to dissect anatomical, physiological and molecular traits that underpin the evolution of CAM photosynthesis. About $7 \%$ of higher plants perform CAM, a specialised photosynthetic pathway, characterized by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake during the night mediated via the enzyme phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) and keeping stomata shut for much of the day. CAM confers high water use efficiency (WUE) and has long been considered an adaptation to drought stress and high irradiance. In some species like Clusia CAM facilitates remarkable photosynthetic plasticity in dealing with changing environments. Thus, CAM plants are important and challenging model organisms for investigating plant responses to global climate change and for examining the anatomical and physiological traits that underpin enhanced water use efficiency.

In this thesis strong relationships were found between the magnitude of CAM photosynthesis in eight species of Clusia (C. hilariana, C. alata, C. rosea, C. lanceolata, C. aripoensis, C. grandiflora, C. tocuchensis and C. multiflora) and six leaf anatomical traits (stomatal size, stomatal density, \% intercellular air space, length of mesophyll exposed to air space, cell size and specific leaf area). These relationships point to leaf anatomical features as important in the evolution of CAM, and also have implications for the behaviour of stomata and their response to light. It was found that CAM species of Clusia have lower densities of larger stomata compared with C3 species of Clusia. The CAM species of Clusia still maintain a high WUE and it was hypothesised that this was a consequence of robust circadian control of stomatal conductance which was maintained under different light regimes.

To examine the response of CAM stomata to contrasting light regimes and to test if circadian oscillations in stomatal conductance are disrupted under different wavelengths of light, gas exchange measurements were recorded during 48 hours under constant light regimes (either white light, blue light, red light or darkness) for $C$. rosea a constitutive CAM plant, and C. multiflora, a constitutive C3 plant. It was found that the species responded differently to variation in light regimes and the response of stomata to blue light in the CAM Clusia had not been lost, as proposed by previous workers. The larger stomata of C. rosea responded faster to changes in light intensity during the photoperiod compared with those of $C$. multiflora, but this did not happen during the night. It was hypothesised that the kinetic responses of the CAM stomata might be important for optimising carbon gain and reducing water loss under changing environmental conditions at the start and end of the day.. Furthermore, circadian control of stomatal conductance was found to be mediated by both photoreceptors and metabolism, including photosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism in CAM and C3 Clusia plants.

A molecular approach was taken to probe the mechanisms underpinning the contrasting responses to light. The differential transcript abundance, of photoreceptors involved in stomatal opening (phototropin 1 and phototropin 2) and circadian regulation (cryptochrome 2 and phytochrome A) was examined for C. rosea and C. multiflora under different constant light regimes using semi-quantitative reverse transcription- PCR and Real Time PCR. Diel expression patterns of phototropins were found to differ between the C3 and CAM species in terms of transcript abundance, the level of control exerted by circadian clock over the transcripts and the response of transcripts to different light regimes. It was concluded that stomatal responses to light in Clusia species must be mediated by a coordinated labour of different photoreceptors to exert control over water loss and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation.

Further work is required to assess the expression and regulation of photoreceptors at the stomatal guard cell level. Having more knowledge regarding the function of stomata in CAM plants and their implications for WUE should help inform efforts for improving the water use of crop species in the light of environmental challenges such as desertification and global warming.

## Chapter 1: Introduction

Plants are marvellous organisms, capable of making their own energy and handling biotic and abiotic surroundings using complex and diverse mechanisms to cope with the sessile condition. Photosynthesis, the action by which plants and other autotrophic organisms take $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and light to produce $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and carbohydrates is the main process by which light impacts on the food web in the form of chemical energy. Plants take up and assimilate $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ by three different pathways, namely C3, C4 and crassulacean acid metabolism (Borland and Griffiths, 1992; Borland et al., 1992; Borland AM) Approximately 90\% of all plants perform C3 photosynthesis, characterized by the uptake of carbon during the day which is fixed by ribulose 1-5 bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase ( RuBisCO ) and processed via the Calvin cycle, using ATP and NADPH produced through the light reactions of photosynthesis (Sharrock and Clack, 2002). Only about $6 \%$ of higher plants perform CAM photosynthesis (Winter and Smith, 1996). This is a specialised photosynthetic pathway, and has long been considered an adaptation to drought stress and high irradiance. CAM is characterized by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake during the night that is mediated via the enzyme phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) (Borland and Griffiths, 1992). The 4-C organic acid that is produced is subsequently decarboxylated during the day to provide $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for processing by the Calvin Cycle via Rubisco whilst the stomata remain closed. Despite their relatively small economical importance compared to C4 and C3 plants and low representation compared to C3, CAM plants exhibit huge physiological plasticity (Lüttge, 2006). Some CAM species are capable of showing high productivity under certain conditions, including semi-arid environments (Burger, 2008). CAM plants present a high water use efficiency (WUE) which can be three times higher that of C 4 species and six times higher than for C 3 plants (Borland et al., 2009). The economic importance of some CAM species such as pineapple (Ananas comosus) and Agave (Agave tequilana) in desert regions is of considerable importance (FASTAT 2005 in Borland et al 2009). Some CAM species have been reported to possess remarkable photosynthetic plasticity in dealing with changing environments. It is well known that CAM plants fix atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at night, but there is a wide spectrum of photosynthetic responses, ranging from no net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake at all over a 24 h light/dark cycle (CAM-idling), daytime net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake under well-watered conditions but induction of CAM under drought and salinity (inducible CAM) and nocturnal net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake under a range of environmental conditions (constitutive CAM) (Figure 1.1). This photosynthetic plasticity underpins the
capacity of CAM plants for surviving and growing in arid and semi-arid environments, and thus renders CAM plants as important and challenging model organisms for investigating plant responses to global climate change.

The purpose of this thesis is to advance knowledge of the functioning of CAM photosynthesis from an integrated anatomical, physiological and ecological point of view. The tropical genus Clusia which contains species with C3, CAM and C3/CAM properties is an interesting model to dissect out anatomical, physiological and molecular traits that underpin the evolution of this photosynthetic pathway. In particular, this thesis will examine first the functional anatomical characteristics of the leaf and its relationship with the magnitude of CAM, with the purpose of answering the question if leaf anatomy, in particular succulence, has implications in the gas exchange in Clusia. A further important component of leaf anatomy directly related with gas exchange is stomatal size and density. This thesis will look at regulation of stomata in response to light and will address the issue of the extent to which this regulation is mediated by circadian control, comparing responses in C3 and CAM species of Clusia. A key aim is to evaluate if there is a stronger circadian control of stomata in the CAM compared to the C3 species (based on previous reports of the importance of the circadian clock for optimizing the operation of CAM) and to assess if this is related to the use of carbon and water in the two photosynthetic types.

### 1.1 The Clusia genus

Clusia is a tropical genus of dicotyledonous trees comprising around 300 species and is one of the largest genus of the family Clusiaceae (Pipoly, 1998). Clusia belongs to the subfamily Clusiodeae and tribe Clusiae and success of the genus may be due to evolutionary important traits such as epiphytism, resiniferous flowers, invasion of mountains habitats and photosynthetic diversification (Lüttge et al., 2007). Clusia is the only genus with trees that present CAM (Grams et al., 1997). Several species of this genus have remarkable photosynthetic plasticity, performing obligate C3, C3/CAM intermediacy and obligate CAM photosynthesis (Brulfert et al., 1975; Lüttge, 2006). Moreover, Clusia is ecologically successful, being found in a wide variety of habits (ie: lianas, epiphyte, shrubs and trees) and habitats. The genus is widely distributed over central and South America, extending from the Bahamas at the north to the state of Rio Grande du sul in Brazil in the south. Distribution of the genus varies from high altitudes ( 3500 m ) in the Andes to low Amazonian forests and pacific zones,
including the Guyana highlands and Caribbean islands (Lüttge et al., 2007) demonstrating the ecological and physiological plasticity and high adaptability to different environments. Species of Clusia are present in habitats ranging from tropical rain forest to costal places, and occupy diverse sites that include rocky sites, savannas, restingas, dry forests, paramos, as well as canopy niches as epiphytes (Scarano et al., 2005; Lüttge, 2008; Scarano, 2009). Within Clusia there is a considerable diversity at the morphological level, even although all the species within the genus have succulent and leathery leaves, with petiole and white or yellow latex. Whilst leaves tend to show similar shape across the genus, leaf and tree sizes can vary markedly (Lüttge, et al. 2007). Clusia species also show differences in floral morphology, size and pollinator rewards, as nectar and resin; fruits are less variable, being all fresh capsules with seven septum and different sizes with one to many seeds per carpel, generally covered with a coloured aril. Several life forms have been identified and individual species may exist as trees, shrubs, epiphytes or hemiepiphytes, probably reflecting the physiological plasticity in photosynthetic characteristics which might be crucial to the success of the genus (Lüttge, 2006, 2008).


Figure 1.1 Different habitats of Clusia a. Clusia sp at tropical rain forest in Costa Rica, WILLIAM ERVIN/SCIENCE PHOTO LIBRARY b. Clusia sp in a rocky habitat, c. $C$. hilariana in a restinga in Brazil http://www.casaecia.arq.br/restinga.htm, d. C. rosea in a coast of Florida http://www.hear.org/starr/images/image/?q=031108-0312\&o=plants, e. C. rosea growth on a rock in Hawai. f. Clusia sp in a restinga in Brazil, g. Clusia sp in a savanna in Panama. h. fruit of Clusia in mountain forest http://www.flickr.com/photos/primevalnature/2791979950/i. tree of Clusia sp in a mountain forest in Colombia.


Figure 1.2 Different habits of Clusia a-e. a. C. rosea saplings in Hawai, b. C. rosea tree in Hawai http://www.hear.org/starr/images/image/?q=041018-0031\&o=plants , c. Clusia $s p$ in a mountain forest, d. C. rosea in a forest of Virgin Islands http://waynesword.palomar.edu/ploct99.htm. e. C. rosea in a mountain forest http://www.beautiful-tropical-gardens.com/autograph-tree.html. f. flowers of C. uvitana and C. hilariana ( www.luisbacher.tk). g. fruit of Clusia cf pratensis
 http://www.flickr.com/photos/mariasg/315224936/. H. open, $\mathbf{j}$. closed fruits of C. rosea http://www.cybertruffle.org.uk/vinales/eng/clusia_rosea_ok.htm.

In addition to its phenotypic and ecological plasticity the Clusia genus shows a remarkable plasticity in their use of photosynthetic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation (Figure 1.3), and many
species are able to switch between C3 photosynthesis and CAM (Ball et al., 1991, 1991; Borland and Griffiths, 1992; Borland et al., 1992). Among Clusia there are species that perform C3 photosynthesis exclusively and others which can use CAM under stressful conditions, a situation known as facultative CAM. Species that perform CAM can present variations in expression of the pathway: CAM idling describes the situation where stomata are closed during night and day and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from respiration is re-fixed in the night and recycled to carbohydrate during the day. CAM cycling is where net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is taken up and fixed during the day and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from respiration is refixed by PEPC during the night and used to make organic acids which are stored overnight. Several Clusia species exhibit constitutive CAM under all environmental conditions (Luttge 2006). Physiological and ecological plasticity and a diversity of life forms have made Clusia the focus of much research after the CAM pathway was found in the genus (Tinoco and VazquezYanez 1983; Ting 1985). Clusia is also an ideal model to study the evolution of CAM in closely related plant species.


Figure 1.3 Scheme of basic features of photosynthetic physiotypes in CAM plants represented in green: CAM, CAM cycling, full CAM and CAM idling. There are also switches between C3 and CAM in C3/CAM intermediates (a phenomenon also referred to as facultative CAM). Black arrows represent processes occurring at night and white arrows indicate processes occurring during the day. Modified from Luttge (2006).

### 1.2 CAM evolution

The most common and primitive pathway of photosynthesis is the C3 pathway (Cerling et al., 1993). There is strong support that the evolution of the photosynthetic adaptation of CAM (Bowler et al., 1994) has progressed from C3 to C3-CAM intermediates through to constitutive CAM (Motomura et al., 2008; Silvera et al., 2009). CAM is a taxonomically widespread photosynthetic pathway that has evolved in plants found in both $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and water limited environments. CAM is found in nearly $7 \%$ of vascular plants within 35 families (Smith and Winter, 1996; Holtum et al., 2007). Most CAM plants inhabit semi-arid zones, places with seasonal drought and some aquatic environments. However, CAM species are also established in other habitats that include moist montane forest and canopies of tropical forest, which provides evidence of the ecological significance of photosynthetic plasticity (Dodd et al., 2002) Epiphytes are important components of CAM plants which are found in canopies of tropical forest (Lüttge, 2004) where the availability of water is usually low and irradiance is high. A substantial component of the biomass of tropical forests is made up of epiphytes comprising numerous species of orchids and bromeliads, most of which are CAM plants (Benzing, 1987; Lüttge, 2004; Zotz, 2004). Epiphytic and terrestrial specimens of the Clusia genus are also found in this habitat.

It has been suggested that the C4 and CAM pathways have a less ancient evolutionary origin than the C3 pathway (Cerling et al., 1993; Ehleringer and Cerling, 1998) and might coincide with the stabilization of arid regions in the last five million years (Landrum, 2002; Arakaki et al., 2011). There is no strong fossil evidence of the presence of CAM photosynthesis previous to 40,000 years ago (Troughton, 1974), even though it has been proposed that the pathway arose during the Cretaceous or Triassic in isoetes and cycads (Griffiths, 1992). Early CAM evolution in Isoetes during the Permian (250 to 310 Ma of years ago) may coincide with the presence of an atmosphere with low concentrations of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ((Berner, 1994; Laws, 2001). Evidence based on paleosol carbonate and fossilised teeth of mammals that point to the diversification of C 4 grasses in the old world as in the new world late Miocene, 4-7 Ma years ago suggest a change in climatic conditions. This was very likely a decrease in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ levels (probably below a threshold efficient for C3 photosynthesis $400-500$ p.p.m.v) which favoured the expansion of C4 over the C3 species (Cerling T. E. , 1993; Cerling et al., 1993) and the emergence of other photosynthetic pathways as C3/CAM and CAM. Also, Ramirez et al (2007) provided data that suggested that the two large sub-families of orchids (Orchidoideae and Epidendroideae), which contain epiphytes that perform CAM diversified during a period of
aridification and decreasing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations in the Tertiary (Pearson and Palmer, 2000). Past research summarized in Ehleringer and Monson (1993; Pearson and Palmer, 2000) has suggested multiple origins within families and even within genera of the CAM pathway. Landrum et al (2002) pointed out that the establishment of arid regions and accelerated evolution of succulent plants in those "arid islands" caused a strong selective pressure that originated in exclusive succulent plants with C4 and CAM photosynthesis. Also a recent work concludes that the major diversification of Cactus occurs in the late Miocene and beginnings of the Pliocene, coinciding with the diversification of other succulent as Agaves in America with two diversifications during the same period ( $8-6 \mathrm{Ma}$ and 3-2.5 Ma), and Ice plants in Africa about 8.7-3.8 Ma ago. In summary all origins of succulents have been reported within the same frame of time late Miocene - Pliocene ( $11-2 \mathrm{Ma}$ ) coinciding with the establishment of several extent desert ecosystems (Arakaki et al., 2011) Recent work of Silvera et al (2009) also found multiple independent origins and several reversals of CAM within the family Orchidaceae. In addition, epiphytism and the CAM pathway have been correlated during evolutionary time (Crayn et al., 2004). A relationship was found between epiphytism and CAM photosynthesis in two subfamilies of bromeliads: Tillandsioideae, in which the C3 pathway was ancestral and CAM developed later in the most tough epiphytes and Bromelioideae, where CAM photosynthesis seems to precede epiphytism. Also, following radiations in less dry habitats reversals to C3 photosynthesis were observed in bromeliads, suggesting great physiological flexibility to overcome the presumable extra cost of CAM (Shorrock unpublished). Ehleringer and Monson (1993) summarized several works about CAM evolution showing that the CAM pathway has multiple origins within families and even within genera. That is the case of Clusia (Vaasen et al., 2002), a tropical genus where C3 photosynthesis does not appear as an ancestral character (Gehrig et al., 2003), and 2 gains and 10 loss of CAM are revealed by the phylogenetic tree based on ITS sequences (Gustafsson and Bittrich, 2002; Gehrig et al., 2003).

### 1.2.1 Evolution of CAM in Clusia

Relatively few robust phylogenies have been conducted to understand the evolution of CAM within Clusia. Studies using ITS sequences (Gustafsson and Bittrich, 2002) were first conducted as a means of overcoming difficulties with taxonomy of the group and for comparing morphological and molecular characteristics. Using this approach, no evident group-specific evolution of CAM within the genus was found for the 17 Clusia species studied. However,
succeeding numbers of studies in this area have concluded that ITS sequences are useful and trustable markers for phylogenetic studies in Clusiaceae. Several authors found a classification of those 17 species in three main groups, (Lüttge, 2006; Winter et al., 2008), i.e: the Clusia flava group, the Clusia minor group and the Clusia multiflora group. CAM was found only in the $C$. flava and C. minor groups supporting the hypothesis of multiple independent origins of CAM (Gehrig et al., 2003). Also, C. multiflora an obligate C 3 species ( $\delta^{13} \mathrm{C} \pm 26.2$; (Grams et al., 1998)), and the C. multiflora group is not placed at the bottom of the phylogenetic tree for the genus and seems not to be the origin of the CAM or C3/CAM in the family, again reaffirming the several gains of CAM (Vaasen et al., 2002). Raven (1996) considered the origin of CAM a recent event so the loss of CAM in C. multiflora after the presence of CAM in the whole genus is less probable than the suggestion that CAM has never evolved in the C. multiflora group (Vaasen et al., 2002). This idea is concordant with the finding of nearly 30 distinct origins of the C4 pathway identified in individual families (Sage, 2001). Indeed recently Vaasen et al. (2006) studied the presence of different isoforms of phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), one of the key enzymes in the CAM pathway, in the genus Clusia and found 3 different isoforms in a constitutive CAM species $C$. hilariana, one of those also present in a intermediate C3/CAM $C$. minor and constitutive C3 C. multiflora. This isoform is then considered a housekeeping protein that also supports the CAM photosynthesis in the intemediate species, the other two isoforms present in C. hilariana could be considered as one CAM specific isoform and an isoform related with a PEPC presence in the roots. Phylogenetic analysis based on the comparisons of amino acid sequences of PEPC, identified two groups within the genus, one group containing the housekeeping isoform, and another with the CAM isoform. In contrast, other CAM plants such as M. crystallinum and Kalanchoë blossfeldiana possess additional isoforms of PEPC that are different to the housekeeping PEPC (Cushman et al., 1989; Cushman and Bohnert, 1996). Having in mind that these species are inducible CAM plants without the possibility of reversion to C3, is very likely that the use of the C3 PEPC isoform in the genus Clusia, confers the capacity to switch between C3 and CAM photosynthesis in both directions. Finally, polyphyletic evolution of CAM at family level and within the genus Clusia, might be possible because there are no unique enzymes required specifically for CAM photosynthesis (Vaasen et al., 2002; Gehrig et al., 2003; Vaasen et al., 2006).

Other studies have intended to elucidate phylogenetic relationships within the Clusia genus using morphological and ecological features. Vargas-Soto et al., (2009) reported the nonexistent correlation between epiphytism and CAM photosynthesis in Clusia in Mexico, unlike the situation reported for Orchids (Silvera et al., 2009) and two subfamilies of bromeliads (Crayn
et al., 2004). However, the same authors found that even although there were less species of Clusia in Mexico than in Panama, the percentage of Clusia plants performing CAM was higher in Mexico, probably due to the more arid habitats and lower altitudes where the Mexican plants were recorded. Negative relationships between altitude and the CAM pathway have been also reported for Clusia in Venezuela and Panama (Diaz et al., 1996; Holtum et al., 2004), and in orchids in Panama (Silvera et al 2009). Medina et al. (2006) discovered a positive relationship between Clusia species groups clustered by morphological and molecular characteristics with those groups clustered on the basis of wax composition in leaves. However, relatively few species were used in the study so robust conclusions on the significance of this relationship are not possible (Medina et al., 2006). This thesis will evaluate the possible relationships between morphological characters of the leaf and the performance of CAM photosynthesis in closed related species to corroborate previous works with other CAM plants.

### 1.3 Crassulacean Acid Metabolism

Crassulacean acid metabolism is a specialised photosynthetic pathway characterized by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake during the night when the temperature is lower than during day and hence the water loss from leaves is less than rates of evapo-transpiration that are typically measured during the day. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up in the dark is fixed by the enzyme phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), producing malic acid which is stored in large central vacuoles within the leaf cells. The next day, behind closed stomata and therefore in the absence of leaf net gas exchange with the atmosphere, the acids are mobilized and decarboxylated generating $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to be refixed by Rubisco and assimilated via the Calvin cycle (Lüttge, 2006 Figure 1.2). The day/night metabolic processes that comprise CAM can be summarised as four main phases, I to IV as shown in Figure 1.5. Osmond (1978) reported the occurrence of these four phases for the first time. Phase I entails nocturnal net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake that reacts with PEP to form oxaloacetate (OAA), this reaction is mediated by PEPC. OAA is then converted to malate which is pumped into the vacuole by means of a proton ATPase and stored in the form of malic acid (Fig. 1.4). Phase II starts at dawn, during this time PEPC activity is reduced and RubisCo activity starts to increase. During phase III, stored malate is decarboxylated to generate $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ behind closed stomata and this $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is fixed by RubisCo. In phase IV, RubisCo activity is high, doing the direct fixation of atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The sugars produced during phase IV are mostly exported from source tissues and mainly used
for plant growth (Borland et al., 1996; Osmond, 1999; Borland et al., 2000; Borland and Dodd, 2002).

Several CAM plants posses the capacity to perform a range of photosynthetic patterns, varying from C3 to CAM in different degrees. CAM plants can be separated into obligate CAM and inducible CAM based on their responses to environmental stressors including drought and salinity (Borland and Griffiths, 1990). Obligate CAM plants normally take most (over 70\%) of the carbon up at night, even under non-stressful conditions whereas facultative CAM only take up $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at night after induction of the pathway in response to stress. Within the CAM performances are the variations of CAM idling and cycling described above (see Fig.1.3).


Figure 1.4. Diagram describing the crassulacean acid metabolism pathway in a mesophyll cell. Blue arrows represent reactions during the dark, red arrows represent reactions during the light, main enzymes are in purple. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ enters the cell during the night through open stomata and in the cytoplasm reacts with phosphoenol pyruvate with the intervention of phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase which has been phosphorylated by phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase kinase. From this reaction oxaloacetate is produced and converted to malate by NAD malate dehydrogenase (4). Malate is then
stored in the vacuole as malic acid and the next morning released to the cytoplasm and transported to the chloroplasts to be decarboxylated by malic enzyme (5) and produce pyruvate and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the latter product enters the Calvin cycle where trioseP and carbohydrates are produced. Carbohydrates are broken down at night to produce phosphoenol pyruvate again during the following night. Pyruvate produced from the decarboxylation of malic acid with the intervention of pyruvate phosphate dikinase, is degraded in the mitochondria during the citric acid cycle, and pyruvate is metabolised to recover phosphoenol pyruvate. Regulation of PEPC kinase production is also shown at bottom right: transcription is inhibited by excess of malate in the cytoplasm and through downregulation of PEPCK transcription during the day time (increase in temperature and/or circadian control). Modified from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:CAM.png based on (Nimmo, 2003; Borland and Taybi, 2004; Hartwell, 2005)


Figure 1.5 Phases of the CAM cycle described first by Osmond (1978). Phases are indicated at the top of the figure: Phase I corresponds to the dark period when stomata are open and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ enters the cell and is taken up by PEPC. Phase II occurs during the start of the day, stomata are still open and PEPC activity starts to decline and Rubisco activity to increase. The graphs show net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) over a period of 24 h for a) K. daigremontiana, a typical CAM plant, with a extended phase I (figure taken from (Von Caemmerer and Griffiths, 2009). b). A typical strong CAM plant Clusia alata with an extended phase I, and a large proportion of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake during phase II, but during a short period of time, (figure modifiedfrom (Lüttge, 2006), and c). Clusia rosea a constitutive CAM plant with a extended phase II and considerable phase IV representing important contributions ( $42.14 \%$ and $47.05 \%$ of total) to net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake during the diel cycle. Phase III corresponds to the middle part of the light period, stomata are closed and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from malate decarboxylation is being incorporated by Rubisco into the Calvin cycle. During Phase IV at the end of the light period, when there is a depletion in concentrations of internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and stomata are open again to take more $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, during this phase PEPC activity starts to increase and Rubisco activity to decrease. Phases are based on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation; also there is a variation in the
duration and expression of the four phases depending on the species and environmental conditions

### 1.3.1 Carbohydrate metabolism and enzyme control in the CAM pathway

Carbon flow pathways and their associated energetic balance in CAM are still to be completely elucidated (Winter and Smith, 1996). However, carbohydrate turnover is a central component determining the magnitude of CAM, and it also signifies a constraint for growth and productivity (Borland and Dodd, 2002). It has been thought that minor amounts of carbohydrates are mobilized at night and that the growth of CAM plants is supported by the differentiated translocation of soluble sugars produced during phase IV (Winter et al., 1985). Nevertheless, in some CAM species net export of carbohydrates occurs during the day as well as during the night (Borland and Dodd unpublished observations). A method to make possible the control of carbohydrate metabolism in CAM plants might be the partitioning of carbohydrates derived from C3 and C4 carboxylation, for growth and CAM photosynthesis separately (Borland et al., 1994; Dodd et al., 2002)

CAM plants show exceptional plasticity for adjusting the proportion of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up directly via PEPC and Rubisco, depending on internal metabolic requirements and responding to external environmental factors (Borland et al., 2000; Cushman and Borland, 2002). Some works (Adams et al., 1998; Borland and Dodd, 2002; Borland and Taybi, 2004) have reported the partitioning of C4 and C3 into discrete transport and storage pools of soluble sugars. In Clusia minor soluble sugars derived from C3 and C4 metabolism are used in a discriminate way for CAM photosynthesis and non-photosynthetic processes (Borland and Taybi, 2004). In M. crystallinum after the induction of CAM via salinity a reduction of $28 \%$ in total daily carbon input was noted, (Borland and Dodd 2002). Moreover, primary leaves which are developed under C3 photosynthesis pathway are devoted to rapid growth using the carbohydrate accumulated as a starch, with the purpose of producing axilary succulent leaves with a higher turnover of soluble sugars, able to perform CAM photosynthesis and support growth during dry periods (Adams et al., 1998). In another study, Winter and Smith (1996) reported that $20 \%$ of leaf dry weight may be devoted to generate PEP for nocturnal C 4 carboxylation. In addition, patterns of gene expression of enzymes implicated in starch degradation (i.e $\alpha$ and $\beta$ amylases, starch phosphorylase, glucanotransferase) followed a diel rhythm after CAM induction. Peaks in transcript abundance of genes implicated in starch degradation precede the timing of maximum
expression of PEPC kinase (Dodd et al, 2002; Borland, Dodd and Griffiths unpublished observations), suggesting that the circadian control of starch metabolism may be responsible for the tight regulation of carbohydrate breakdown and PEPC activation at night (Dodd et al., 2002). With this in mind it has been hypothesized in this work that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake should be also implicated in this regulation perhaps through the control of stomata which are the first window for gas exchange. This thesis will test the response of stomata to light, the most important input signal of circadian clocks with the purpose of investigating the implications of circadian control over stomatal function in CAM plants.

In Clusia minor (C3/CAM intermediate) and Clusia rosea (constitutive CAM), Borland and Dodd (2002) observed a distinct partitioning of C3 and C4-derived carbohydrates for photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic processes. Soluble sugars were accumulated early after sunrise in $C$. minor and 4 hour after sunrise in $C$. rosea, whilst accumulation of starch occurred at the end of the day in C. minor and over the day in C. rosea. Thus, the pattern of carbohydrate mobilization and storage is opposite in both species, and accumulated starch presented a more C3-like isotopic signature whereas soluble sugars for organic acid turnover had a more C4-like signatures, suggesting a strategy to assure reproduction independently of the level of CAM, a crucial factor to determine the success of Clusia in different habitats. Finally, carbon isotope signatures revealed the greater contribution from carbon fixed by PEPC in C. rosea than in C. minor.

In summary, it has been described that nocturnal degradation of carbohydrate in CAM plants generates PEP, the 3C carbon substrate, for PEPC. Day/night turnover of organic acids is a matter of a synchronized control of the enzymes involved in decarboxylation (i.e.: NADmalic enzyme, NADP malic enzyme or PEPCK, this last has been reported the predominate decarboxylase in Clusia (Black et al., 1996)). The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ plus 3-C compound produced from decarboxylation contributes to C3 photosynthetic metabolism and growth. However, induction by environmental factors of increases in the rate of organic acid degradation is not always accompanied by correspondent changes in the activity of decarboxylases (ie: Sedum (Brulfert et al., 1988; Conti and Smirnoff, 1994) and pineapple (Borland unpublished observations)) suggesting that malic acid efflux from the vacuole rather than decarboxylation is the key ratelimiting step in the day-time processes of CAM and it is also possible that changes in the rate of day-time acid breakdown are reached by post-translational modifications of decarboxylating enzymes (Dodd et al., 2002).

### 1.3.2 Circadian control and plasticity of the CAM pathway

Circadian rhythms are internal pulses that occur in all organisms and persist upon changes in environmental conditions. The plant circadian clock is believed to underpin adaptive fitness (Pittendrigh, 1981; Edmunds, 1988; Johnson et al., 1998). Normally, circadian rhythms are internal and persist even when the environmental cues change, demonstrating the capacity of the organisms to measure the time and use this information to control metabolic processes (McClung, 2000). Maintenance of the circadian clock might be a trait related to the adaptive fitness, since it occurs across broad taxonomic ranges, however that hypothesis is difficult to prove (ie: experiments with Synechococcus sp.;(Johnson and Golden, 1999).

In theory, circadian rhythms continue in the absence of changes in external time cues, but are able to entrain to ambient conditions. Moreover, abrupt or continuous long term changes in temperature or light can shift the phase of the clock (Pittendrigh, 1981; Johnson et al., 1992).

Circadian systems have three components: an input pathway that provides information from the ambient environment to the clock, an oscillator which encloses the mechanism itself and an output that contains the information from the oscillator and controls other metabolic process in the organisms (McClung, 2000) (Figure 1.6). It has been suggested that the oscillator works via negative feedback, the accumulation of the products from the genes controlled by the clock serve as an inhibitor and regulator of the clock and the best-characterized input to the circadian clock is light (McClung, 2000).

In higher plants circadian clocks control several processes such as flowering time, hypocotyl elongation, leaf movements, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fixation in CAM (McClung, 2000). Several genes have been reported as regulated by circadian rhythms (Kreps and Kay, 1997; Fejes and Nagy, 1998). Some of these genes are involved in photosynthesis and present circadian patterns of transcript abundance (Giuliano et al., 1988; Sharrock and Quail, 1989; Martinocatt and Ort, 1992; Pilgrim and McClung, 1993; Salvador et al., 1993; Clack et al., 1994; McClung, 1997; Nakahira et al., 1998; Piechulla, 1999). Others are implicated in gas exchange and stomatal movements (Gorton et al., 1993; Webb, 1998). For example Hennessey and Field reported a circadian regulation of Calvin Cycle in beans (Hennessey and Field, 1991). One of the best known circadian rhythm is the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ metabolism in CAM (Wilkins, 1992; Lüttge, 2000). The system of posttranscriptional circadian regulation is the activation of PEPC through PEPC Kinase in CAM plants has been described by (Nimmo, 1998) and will be explained in more detail in a later section (see also Figure 1.7.


Figure 1.6 Scheme of a circadian system showing three components: an input pathway that provides information from the ambient environment to the clock, which in this case is light, an oscillator which encloses the mechanism itself and an output that contains the information from the oscillator and controls other metabolic process in the organisms generating cyclical patterns. It has been suggested that the oscillator works via negative feedback (McClung, 2000). Figure modified from (Devlin, 2002)

In general, the expression of CAM as mentioned before is highly variable and responds to environmental conditions such as temperature, water, light and salinity. Given that CAM plants frequently inhabit stressful conditions, the capacity for adapting to changing and limiting environments might be essential for survival (Dodd et al., 2002). For example, plasticity in the performance of the four phases of CAM is an ubiquitous character in most CAM plants (Dodd et al., 2002), with few exceptions (Nobel, 1988; Kluge et al., 1992; Kluge et al., 2001). Even the less plastic CAM species will respond to environmental change, regulating the expression of the day-night phases, ie: Kalanchoe daigremontina exhibits four phases of CAM under well watered conditions but after drought stress phase IV is lost and phase I is reduced (Griffiths et al., 2002).

Within plants performing CAM photosynthesis, C3-CAM intermediates probably exhibit the greatest photosynthetic plasticity (Ting, 1985; Kluge et al., 2001), and those species have an intrinsic capacity to induce CAM upon changing environmental conditions, especially water availability. A very well documented example of this is Clusia minor, showing an
exceptional capacity for the magnitude and reversibility of CAM induction upon changes in VPD on opposite leaves of the same plant (Schmitt et al., 1988).

Temporal separation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake in CAM requires tight metabolic control over the diel phases, to avoid futile carbon cycle, but also a flexible mechanism that allows the plants to respond to changes in environmental conditions (Dodd et al., 2002). This strict metabolic control occurs via the carboxylation enzymes. PEPC kinase is regulated by endogenous rhythms (Carter et al., 1991; Hartwell et al., 1996), and at the level of transcription and activity, controlling the rate of nocturnal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake, and consequently the overnight accumulation of malate. PEPC is regulated by reversible phosphorylation so that during the day PEPC is dephosphorylated and inhibited by malate (Nimmo et al., 1984; Nimmo et al., 1986; Grams et al., 1997) whilst at night, the protein is phosphorylated thus releasing malate inhibition (Nimmo, 2003). Rubisco may also be regulated through the transcription of an effector, rubisco activase, the activity of which depends on the rate of photosynthetic electron transport to the reduction of the large subunit via ferredoxin-thioredoxin reductase (Zhang and Portis, 1999). The regulation of Rubisco is also influenced by its substrate, ribulose 1-5 bi-phosphate. Both enzymes responsible for carboxylation in CAM species are partially regulated by endogenous rhythms which persist even when the environmental cues change. However, these rhythms are entrainable to ambient conditions and abrupt or continuous long term changes in temperature or light can shift the phase of the clock (Pittendrigh, 1981; Johnson et al., 1992). The plasticity of the CAM pathway must be achieved whilst maintaining a balance between carboxylation and decaboxylation reactions to lessen futile carbon turnover during the diel cycle and overcome the energetic costs of CAM photosynthesis without compromising productivity (i.e: figures for Agave tequilana indicate production of up to 50 Mg dry biomass $\mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ year ${ }^{-1}$, Ananas comosus produces up to 86 Mg fruit $\mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ year $^{-1}$ and Opuntia. ficus-indica of $47-50 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{ha-}{ }^{-1}$ year $^{-1}$ (in Borland et al 2009; Borland and Taybi 2004).

From the molecular point of view, recent work from Boxall and co-workers have characterized eight genes (CCA1, LHY,TOC1, ELF3/4, ZTL, FKF1, GIGANTEA) involved in circadian control in the inducible CAM plant M. crystallinum, based on Arabidopsis databases (Boxall et al., 2005). This study was the first to report that PEPCK transcript levels were delayed by 6 hours with respect to the peak observed under constant light conditions in the CAM mode. Also, the possible association with CCA1/LHY and TOC1 oscillations, suggested that the output pathway that links PEPCK with the central clock includes a component subjected to phase delay from the basic oscillator under constant light in CAM. The same work reported that CCR1/2
oscillations damp very quickly once CAM has been induced by salt stress and transferred to constant light, and this damping did not occur in the C 3 mode, where the rhythms were very robust. This result suggests that circadian regulation of CCR $1 / 2$ is lost in salt stressed CAM induced plants (Boxall et al., 2005). In addition, CCA1 and LHY oscillations did not change after the induction of CAM, and the rhythms for CAB were kept as well. However, the amplitude of the oscillations decreased due to the repression of CAB, possibly as a consequence of the salt stress or due to the presence of a repressor protein binding to CAB to down-regulate its expression in CAM. Furthermore, TOC1 and LHY were under circadian control in a similar way to that in Arabidopsis and ELF4/3, FKF1, ZTL and GIGANTEA presented circadian rhythms during both C3 and CAM modes of photosynthesis. However, ZTL showed oscillations in $M$. crystallinum unlike Arabidopsis probably due to the evolutionary divergence ( 7 million of years between them) of the two orders (Arabidopsis - Brassicales and MesembryanthemumCaryophyllales (http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/deeptime). All the work by Boxall and co-workers described above have given a first insight to the molecular bases of circadian control in CAM, demonstrating that the clock in M. crystallinum is well compensated against abiotic stress ( NaCl ) and through developmental changes, giving more evidence of the importance of the robustness of circadian clocks in the adaptation and success of CAM plants and its implication in the avoiding of futile carbon cycles (Borland and Taybi 2004). PEPC and PEPC kinase are the key enzymes of CAM photosynthesis and it has been demonstrated that both are under circadian control in Kalanchoe, A. thaliana, M. crystallinum and Clusia (Taybi et al., 2004; Boxall et al., 2005; Hartwell, 2005). In Clusia, day/night differences have been found in the transcript abundance of PEPCK between weak CAM C. aripoensis and constitutive C3 C. multiflora with respect to C3/CAM C. minor and constitutive CAM C. Rosea. The first two species presented high levels of PEPCK during the day and night whereas the latter two species showed low levels of transcripts of PEPCK during the day but similar levels to the first (weak CAM and C3) during the night (Taybi et al., 2004). This result highlights the importance of circadian regulation over the key enzymes that underpin the capacity for CAM photosynthesis in Clusia.


Figure 1.7 Diagram showing how PEPC is under circadian control in CAM plants during the night when levels of PEPC kinase are high, PEPC is activated by phosphorylation (Yulin LI1) making the enzyme less sensitive to malate (inhibitor- red triangle) and more sensitive to the effectors glucose 6 phosphate and triose phosphate (green boxes). PEPC kinase transcription which is under circadian control determines the phosphorylation of PEPC. A reversible, dephosphorylation (Yulin LI1) is regulated by phosphatase 2 A during the diel cycle. Accumulation of malate during the day is followed by decarboxylation (4) and photosynthesis driven by light; stomata are open again by the end of day in response to the drop in internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake takes place (5), then PEPC kinase transcription (6) starts again to activate the necessary levels of PEPC during the night for CAM.

### 1.3.3 Water Use Efficiency

One of the greatest physiological benefits of CAM photosynthesis is high water use efficiency (Osmond, 1978; Nobel, 1988). WUE is defined as the ratio of moles of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fixed and assimilated to moles of water lost by transpiration and this parameter is high in CAM plants due
to the opening of stomata during the dark period, when the temperature is lower and humidity higher, causing less transpirational water loss (Lüttge, 2006). Certainly, values of WUE about $5-16 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{CO} 2$ per mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on an annual basis which are 4 to 10 -fold higher than C 3 (Borland et al., 2009) and 3 to 6 -fold higher than C4 have been reported (Black, 1973; Lüttge, 2004). Because of the high water use efficiency in CAM plants and the high presence of succulents in arid habits, it is thought that most CAM species should be found in deserts or very dry places. However just about 1800 CAM species inhabit these type of environments whilst nearly 10,000 species of CAM epiphytic orchids and bromeliads inhabit rainforests (Lüttge, 2000) where they can be subject to variable and potentially limiting water supply in a semiexposed canopy (Zotz and Hietz, 2001). WUE must be a defining trait in the evolution (Gil, 1986; Lüttge, 2006) and success of CAM plants. Although high WUE is in general due to the opening of stomata during the night, it is strongly related with the four phases of CAM. Lee et al. (1989) reported distinctive values of WUE for C. rosea during the day and night phases (i.e. phase II $7.62 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{CO} 2 / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Phase IV $9.78 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{CO} 2 / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Phase I 22.9 mmol $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) whilst in Kalanchoe-daigremontiana under drought, day phases II and IV are suppressed (Smith and Luttge, 1985; Lüttge, 1987; Lee et al., 1989) .

Furthermore, CAM plants are good at dealing with water stress, not just because of their high WUE efficiency, but also due to anatomical features such as the possession of large vacuoles to store organic acids and water which are also responsible for tissue succulence. Long term water storage involve tissues such as hydrenchymas, epidermal bladder cells (as in $M$. crystallinum: Haberlandt, 1904) and water storage parenchyma (Peperomia and bromeliads (Gibeaut and Thomson, 1989; Lee et al., 1989)). All these anatomical features and also stomatal characters and function will be studied in this thesis and related with the WUE capacities across eight Clusia species with different degree of CAM photosynthesis and a range of WUE in an effort to elucidate more factors that can be implied in CAM evolution.

### 1.3.4 Leaf anatomy

Despite the variation and plasticity in the metabolic traits between and within CAM species, plants with this photosynthetic specialisation share a number of anatomical characteristics. Succulence is required for the operation of CAM and is characterized by the possession of large undifferentiated leaves that possess large cells containing a central vacuole (Gibson, 1982; Smith et al., 1996; Winter and Smith, 1996) for the nocturnal storage of organic
acids and water (Borland et al., 1998). Succulence is generally accompanied by an increase in mesophyll cell size which leads to a low internal air space, as a result of the tightly packed cells. The reduction in the internal air space and surface of the mesophyll cells exposed to air might cause constraints in the diffusion of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from stomatal to carboxylation sites or also may be a mechanism for enhancing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration within the leaf and thereby enhancing photosynthetic efficiency (Maxwell, 1997; Borland et al., 2000). However, leaf thickness and succulence is a very plastic character and within the family of Crassulaceae the magnitude of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fixation during the night is associated with the thickness and succulence of the leaf (Teeri et al., 1981; Kluge et al., 1991; Kluge et al., 1993). Also, a study with 18 CAM plants belonging to 13 families and six C 3 and four C 4 plants found an association with the degree of succulence and the internal air space and length of mesophyll exposed to air, being lower in the CAM plants (Nelson et al., 2005). In addition, investigations with Kalanchoe piñata have demonstrated that thinner leaves are more plastic and behave like C3 species, whilst in contrast related species with thicker leaves have more constraints to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion constraints (Maxwell, 1997) and are less photosynthetically plastic, depending on predominant uptake of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at night (Maxwell unpublished observations).

The leaves of most succulent CAM species possess an undifferentiated mesophyll (Nelson and Sage 2005) but this pattern is not followed by the leaves of Clusia which possess clearly differentiated palisade and spongy mesophyll tissues along with an adaxial layer of water storage parenchyma (WSP; Borland et al, 1998). These morphological traits raise the question if CAM photosynthesis requirements of succulence are revealed in the cell size and thickness of the different layers in the mesophyll (i.e. palisade, spongy and WSP). Moreover, does such leaf differentiation in Clusia confer a higher degree of photosynthetic plasticity to the plants and influence the magnitude of CAM expression by impacting on leaf $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion? If there is a negative implication for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion due to the low internal air space in the mesophyll, any other mechanism might exists to counteract the low $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion. Detailed implications of low $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion in CAM plants have been proposed by other authors in previous studies (Evans et al., 1994; Maxwell, 1997; Nelson et al., 2005; Griffiths et al., 2007) and will be discussed in detail in chapter 2. A further important trait relating to leaf anatomy and implicated in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion is stomatal conductance, raising the question if leaf anatomical traits show any relationship with stomatal size and density in CAM plants.

### 1.3.4.1 Stomatal size, density and functions

Stomata are the first window for gas exchange in leaves and they are the key structures responsible for the control of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and water loss via transpiration. Variations in stomatal morphology, distribution patterns and behaviour will impact on water use efficiency (Radoglou and Jarvis, 1990; Ceulemans and Mousseau, 1994) and photosynthetic efficiency. Regulation of leaf gas exchange strongly depends on the balance of stomatal functioning for controlling water and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ demands during photosynthesis (Buckley, 2008) and structural adaptations and coordinated movements of stomata are key to carbon and water use strategies in plant species. There is a broad diversity of stomatal morphology in vascular plants which is expected to be related with functionality (Franks and Farquhar, 2007). There are several examples of plasticity in stomatal density within species as a consequence of changes in atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations (Woodward et al., 2002; Hetherington and Woodward, 2003) and drought (Cutter, 1977; Quarrie and Jones, 1977). Also, the ecological and evolutionary success of grasses in arid and semi-arid regions as well as during periods of aridification has been attributed in part to the large morphological diversity and dynamic performance of stomata (Hetherington and Woodward, 2003). Gay and Hurd (1975) showed higher stomata densities in Tomato leaves of plant growing under high light. Also, Ticha (1982) and further Casson and Gray (2008) reported the significant influence of the environment on stomatal development showing that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations and light intensity control stomatal frequency in developing leaves. It is clear that there is a strong relationship between environmental conditions and stomatal size and density, and the responses are variable between species. Previous works have reported lower stomatal densities in more succulent plants, which are expected to tolerate drought (Sayed, 1998; Lüttge et al., 2007). Beaulieu et al. (2008) have shown that in general, larger cells are a consequence of a larger genome, and there is a theory supporting that CAM evolution involved genome duplication (Cushman, 2001). Thus, large stomata may be a result of the predicted larger genomes of CAM species compared to closely related C3 species which will have implications for the control of gas exchange, perhaps presenting higher rates of water loss and/or $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fixation. Circumstances which might signify a pressure to evolve CAM photosynthesis could be to provide a strategy to respond faster to changes in environmental conditions (Assmann and Wang, 2001; Franks and Farquhar, 2007). To this end it is important to outline how stomata operate in the context of CAM photosynthesis, with high WUE and possible constraints in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion.

### 1.3.4.1.1 Stomatal functioning and responses to light

The internal signals that are generated in response to external environmental factors result in the accumulation of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions in the guard cells surrounding the pore. The accompanying osmotic changes lead to swelling of the guard cells and opening of the stomata (Shimazaki et al., 2007). Stomatal opening occurs in response to red and blue light (Kuiper, 1964; Assmann and Shimazaki, 1999) in two ways. One of the most accepted hypothesis is that red light which drives photosynthesis in the guard cells and which causes $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ depletion may act indirectly as a signal for stomatal opening (Sharkey and Raschke, 1981; Roelfsema and Hedrich, 2005). However, some studies demostrted that there are more than one mechanism responsible of stomatal opening in reaction to CO 2 in C 3 plants. One dependent of electron transport during photosynthesis and the other might occur in the dark, being independent of photosynthesis (Messinger et al., 2006). Lawson et. al, (2008) showed that plants of tobacco with a reduction in the Calvin cycle regeneration capacity presented greater stomatal conductances under red light at different Ci , suggesting that primary stomatal responses to light are not only dependant of photosynthetic capacity of guard and mesophyll cells, as it was demonstrated previous in the same plants (von Caemmerer et al., 2004).

Blue light may elicit a direct response in stomata by activating the plasma membrane $\mathrm{H}^{+}-$ ATPase (Kinoshita et al., 2001; Briggs and Christie, 2002) and driving $\mathrm{K}^{+}$uptake by the guard cells (Figure 1.8). Pigments and photoreceptors which absorb blue light have been implicated in the process of stomatal opening (Horwitz and Berrocal, 1997) and zeaxanthin has been the subject of several works recognizing its implications in the blue light reponse of stomata (Zeiger and Zhu, 1998; Zeiger et al., 2002; Talbott et al., 2003). However, other authors have reported no alterations in stomata response to light in the Arabidobsis zeaxanthin mutant npq1-2 (Eckert and Kaldenhoff, 2000) and important roles of phototropins in the stomatal opening in response to blue light (Kinoshita et al., 2001)

Most of the studies on stomatal responses to light have been conducted using C3 plants, showing the correlation of stomatal opening stimulated by blue light and levels of guard cell zeaxanthin. This pigment forms part of the xanthophyll cycle in chloroplasts where it is converted from violoxanthin and xanthophylls after high light irradiation, and serves as a photoprotective mechanism, absorbing mainly blue light (Quinones et al., 1996). However,
relatively little is known about the responses of stomata of CAM plants to light. Considering the importance of stomata in controlling gas exchange and preservation of water a key aim of this thesis will be to evaluate the responses of stomata to light signals in a C3 and a CAM species of Clusia.

Since stomata of CAM plants are open during the dark period, it is intriguing to establish if the response to light still remains in the guard cells. Some studies have reported the loss of stomatal response to blue light but not to red light in M. crystalinum and Portulacaria afra after induction of CAM (Lee and Assmann, 1992; Tallman et al., 1997). Responses to far red light have been detected in the CAM orchid Papiphelidum which lacks chloroplasts in guard cells, suggesting the involvement of an important photoreceptor related to the maintenance of circadian rhythms, (i.e.Phytochrome A), in stomatal movements in CAM plants (Talbott et al., 2002).

Stomatal movements in CAM plants might be controlled through the circadian clock. Since internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations depend on PEPC and PEPC kinase activity, and these enzymes are subject to circadian oscillations, the control of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake by stomata might be highly coordinated with the transcription of PEPC kinase as described previously in this introduction. Thus, in summary stomatal movements in CAM plants can be the response of two main signals which are not mutually exclusive and actually act together: the direct light response of photoreceptors involved in circadian control and the response to internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations driven by enzymes subjected to circadian regulation.

### 1.4 Photoreceptors

Photoreceptors are light sensitive proteins able to sense and respond to light, and are present across all living organisms. There are two important families of photoreceptors in plants, cryptochromes and phototropins, which are responsible for phototropism, hypocotyls elongation, chloroplast movements, stomatal opening, and circadian control in response to light (Kendrick, 1994).

### 1.4.1 Cryptochromes (cry)

Cryptochromes are flavoproteins with a single molecule of flavin adenine dinucleotid (FAD) non-covalently bound to the protein, and an N-terminal photolyase (PHR) bound to a light harvesting chromophore which in Arabidopsis can be either dezaflavin or pterin; the first
confers absorption to the blue light and the second to the UV-A (Sancar et al., 2000). Although it is still not clear which is the specific chromophore in cryptochrome 1 (Cry1) found in Arabidopsis, it seems more likely to be dezaflavin (Ahmad and Cashmore, 1993). Apart from Cry 1, Cry 2 has also been described in plants. Cry 2 has a very similar structure (figure 1.8) and function to cry1 but contains a different C-terminal (Lin et al., 1996). Both cry 1 and cry 2 are phosphorylated by blue light but not by red light (Lin et al., 1998). Some works with mutants have demonstrated that cry 2 differs to cry 1 in this sensitivity to a very weak blue light opposite to cry 1 (Briggs and Huala, 1999). Though some properties of cry 1 and cry 2 are related, there are also distinct roles for these gene products (Cashmore, 1998). Cry 2 seems to have an important role in photoperiodism, especially in flowering (Briggs and Huala, 1999) and its effect in photoperiod timing might be via facilitating mediated signalling rather than a direct signal to the oscillator (Somers et al., 1998). Mao et al. (2005) suggested the involvement of both cry 1 and cry 2 together with phototropins (Pho1 and Pho 2) in the stomatal opening response to blue light in Arabidopsis. This blue light induction of stomatal opening by cryptochromes might be mediated by a negative regulation of another protein COP1 (Shalitin et al., 2002; Mao et al., 2005). However, the specific involvement of cry 2 in stomatal opening has not been studied, and due to its importance in circadian control, its sensitivity to low blue light (present at dawn and dusk) and the fact that it was reported for Clusia in the EST data base (Sorrock 2009) the transcript abundance of this gene and its response to light will be studied in this thesis.


Figure 1.8 Scheme of how cryptochrome responds to light and is involved in circadian processes. Cryptochrome is a flavoprotein with two chromophores 5,10methenyltetrahydropholic acid (MHF) and flavin (FAD) which absorb blue light at different wavelengths. After the absorption of light the molecule is phosphorylated generating the transcription of genes involved in time control (TIM) and periodical process (PER), which correspond in the diagram to the oscillator, enclosed in a green circle, controlling the dephosphorylated state of the cryptochrome by negative feedback. Output processes include leaf expansion, seed establishment, phototropism and the time sensing to enter the clock. Figure based on (Devlin, 2002).

### 1.4.2 Phototropins (phot)

Phototropins are blue light receptors controlling responses related to growth and photosynthesis in plants and other living organisms (Christie, 2007). Phototropins are made up of two parts: an activated serine/threonine kinase and a photosensitive domain at the N -terminal. The N-terminal has domains: LOV1 and LOV2 (light, oxygen, voltage) which bind to the cofactor FMN (flavin mononucleotide). Photo-exitation of the LOV domains by blue light escort the autophosphorylation of the phototropin (Christie et al., 1998; Sakai et al., 2001).

An extensive work about the functioning of LOV domains has been published (see Christie 2007 review); however a brief description is presented here. In darkness LOV domains
are non-covalently bound to flavin mononucleotide (FMN) and absorb light near to the 447 nm (Christie et al., 1998; Salomon et al., 2000; Suetsugu et al., 2005). After illumination a covalent bond is formed between the flavin and cysteine which in turn is converted to alanine or serine losing its photochemical reactivity (Salomon et al., 2000) and becomes sensitive to light near to 390nm. This reaction is reversible in darkness (Salomon et al., 2000; Swartz et al., 2001; Kasahara et al., 2002) (Figure 1.9).

Structures of LOV1 and LOV2 are almost identical but present differences in quantum efficiencies and kinetics (Salomon et al., 2000; Kasahara et al., 2002), suggesting different roles in light sensing between them, which might confer the same properties to phot 1 and phot 2. Also, LOV domains are implicated in the recovery from darkness in phot 1 which is 10 times slower that in phot 2 (Kagawa et al., 2004; Kasahara et al., 2004).

Phototropins are widely present in all higher plants. In Arabidopsis phot 1 and phot 2 have been described (Briggs and Christie, 2002; Celaya and Liscum, 2005). Work with mutants has demonstrated partially overlapping functions of both phototropins and other responses of plants to light that include chloroplast movements which improve capture or avoidance of light (Christie, 2007). Both phot 1 and phot 2 induce stomatal opening and some studies report equal contribution of both (Kinoshita et al., 2001), but differences in the response might occur according to the differential sensitivity to light intensities. It has been described in Vicia faba that phot 1 in guard cells binds a 14-3-3 protein after autophosphorylation (Kinoshita et al., 2003) of $\operatorname{Ser}^{358}$ situated between LOV1 and LOV2 (also in oat $\mathrm{Ser}^{325}$ ). This process occurs in response to blue light (Salomon et al., 2003) and indicates the involvement of the interaction between phototropins and $\mathrm{H}+$-ATPase in guard cells since $\mathrm{H}^{+}$-ATPase are directly implicated in the changes in guard cell turgor causing stomatal opening or closure (Zeiger and Hepler, 1977).


Figure 1.9. Scheme of how phototropins are involved in stomatal opening. Phototropins have two LOV domains (blue circles) and a serine domain (red box), blue light activates the LOV2 domain making the cysteine terminal available to form a covalent bond with the flavo-mononucleotide (FMN). This generates a conformational change in the molecule and autophosporylation of the photoreceptor (Christie, 2007). As a consequence, the ATPase channels at the guard cell membrane are activated mediated by the kinase, a depolarization of the membrane occurs due to the exit of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions and entrance of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions and water, so that turgor pressure inside the guard cell increases and the stomata are open. Figure modified from (Christie, 2007; Shimazaki et al., 2007).

### 1.4.3 Phytochromes (phy)

Phytochromes are another family of photoreceptors that are sensitive to red and far red light. Phytochromes are chromophores with a single bilin molecule (biological pigments) which is composed by an open linear chain of four pyrrole rings (heteroyclic aromatic organic compound; (Quail et al., 1995). Phytochromes can be at the ground state Pr, which absorbs red light ( $650-670 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Once red light is absorbed the pigment undergoes a conformational change to the Pfr state when it absorbs far red light ( $705-740 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). These reactions are reversible after the correspondent illumination (Hillman and Koukkari, 1967; Abe et al., 1985; Shimazaki and Pratt, 1985; Tokuhisa et al., 1985) (Figure 1.10). Within the phytochrome family five members
have been identified in Arabidopsis (Phy A, Phy B, Phy C, Phy D, Phy E) (Sharrock and Quail, 1989; Clack et al., 1994) and Tomato Lycopersicon esculentum (Alba et al., 2000). The five phytochromes are found to belong to two types: the photolable type I and the light stable type II, Phy A corresponds to type I, Phy B and Phy C are intermediate and Phy D and Phy E are more light stable being part of the type II (Hillman and Koukkari, 1967; Abe et al., 1985; Shimazaki and Pratt, 1985; Tokuhisa et al., 1985).

Phy A is the most abundant in etiolated seedlings and perhaps with phy B is the most studied of the phytochromes (Hall et al., 2001). Phy A plays a role in the promotion of germination (Shinomura et al., 1994), shade avoidance (Johnson et al., 1994), flowering time (Johnson et al., 1994; Reed et al., 1994) and circadian clock entrainment (Somers et al., 1998).


Figure 1.10. Scheme of how phytochrome responds to light and is involved in circadian processes. Two proteins FHY3 (Far red elongated hypocotyls) and FAR (Far red impaired response) bind the DNA leaving the production of FHY1 (Far red elongated hypocotyls) and FHL (Far red elongated hypocotyls like). Phytochrome is a molecule sensitive to red and far red light, changing its conformational change becoming available for the FHY1 and FHL. Wth this conformation the PHYA is imported to the nucleus where it initiates different responses of plants to light (flowering, seed germination, shade avoidance and circadian clock enter). The oscillator in this case is composed by negative feedback of the transcriptional control of the PHYA which regulates the production of the two proteins FHY3 and FAR and possible other still
unknown. Figure based and modified from Illuminating Study Reveals How Plants Respond to Light." PHYSorg.com. 23 Nov 2007.http://www.physorg.com/news115053032.html

### 1.4.4 Photoreceptors and the circadian clock

As mentioned above the most important environmental factors to entrain circadian clocks are light and temperature. Photoreceptors play an essential role in sensing and translating the signal perceived from light to the central oscillator (Toth et al., 2001). Cryptochrome and phytochrome have been shown to be involved in that mechanism (Somers et al., 1998; Devlin and Kay, 2000). Some previous works (Ahmad and Cashmore, 1993; Clack et al., 1994; Lin et al., 1998) have reported no changes in the mRNA accumulation of phytochromes and cryptochromes in response to light. On the other hand, more recent investigations have demonstrated that the expression of PhyB is under circadian regulation (Kircher et al., 1999). cry1 and cry2 have also shown circadian rhythms under constant light in Arabidopsis (Harmer et al., 2000). Furthermore, Toth and co-workers (2001) demonstrated as well that all cryptochromes and phytochromes (except PhyC) are under circadian regulation at the level of mRNA accumulation and their expression is influenced by light. Under constant light cry2 and PhyC promoters were up-regulated and cry2, PhyA and PhyB were down-regulated. Also, the same work reported similarities in the expression patterns of cry2 and PhyA which showed similar amplitude phase, similar oscillation rhythms, down-regulation by light and sensitivity to low light intensities for both. In addition, Hall and co-workers (2001) showed that PhyA expression is under circadian regulation by red light and the rhythm of the expression damps under constant dark (Figure 1.10).

There is still some debate that phytochromes are directly implicated in circadian rhythms. For instance, it has been reported that the expression of $C A B$ (chlorophyll $A / B$ binding protein) is regulated by light through phytochromes (Hall et al., 2001). Also, Zhong et al. (1997) suggested the association of PhyA and Cry1 in the circadian expression of CAT3 (Catalase 3) in Arabidopsis.

The expression of PhyB is influenced by rhythmic output signals coming from the oscillator feedback on the light input pathway (Hall et al., 2001), and the regulation of PhyA might be an outloop from the circadian output on the input pathway (Lakin-Thomas, 2000; McWatters et al., 2000; Hall et al., 2001). Also, it seems that the circadian control of
phytochrome does not occur in all plant species (Hall et al., 2001; Nasy and Millar unpublished observations) or with the same pattern in all plant tissues (Hall et al., 2001).

### 1.5 Aims of thesis and hypotheses to be tested

Clusia has been demonstrated to show unprecedented photosynthetic plasticity in terms of ability to regulate the amount and duration of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake over the day and night. In this thesis I will take a morphological, physiological and molecular approach to understand how CAM has evolved in this tropical genus. Specifically, I will establish if there are any implications of leaf anatomy in the performance and evolution of CAM, and I will examine how anatomical and physiological features might counteract the diffusive difficulties inherent to the pathway. In addition, stomatal responses to light will be compared in a constitutive CAM plant C. rosea and a constitutive C 3 plant $C$. multiflora as a means of learning more about the role of photoreceptors in the circadian control of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and transpiration. For that purpose the following hypotheses will be addressed in this thesis:
$\mathbf{H}_{1}$ : Leaf anatomical traits are related to the magnitude of CAM
$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ : The circadian control of stomatal conductance is more robust in CAM than in C3 Clusia plants and is mediated via photoreceptors rather than via metabolism (photosynthesis)
$\mathbf{H}_{3}$ : Patterns of transcript abundance of phot 1 and phot 2 will be different in $C$. multiflora and C. rosea under 12h dark/12h light cycles and will present changes in response to different light regimes
$\mathbf{H}_{4}$ Circadian expression of photoreceptors will be more robust in $C$. rosea than in $C$. multiflora.
$\mathbf{H}_{5}$ : The amplitude of circadian oscillations in the transcript abundance of cry2 and phyA will be more responsive to the imposition in different light regimes in the CAM compared to the C3 Clusia

# Chapter 2: Functional leaf anatomy of Clusia 

### 2.1 Introduction

A general trait pertaining to the leaf anatomy of plants with crassulacean acid metabolism (Bowler et al., 1994) is succulence which is generally characterised by large undifferentiated chlorenchymatous cells, each of which is dominated by a large central vacuole. The extensive vacuolar storage capacity of CAM leaves permits overnight accumulation of malic acid and also enhances the storage of water (Borland et al., 1998). Positive relationships have been demonstrated between succulence and the magnitude of CAM expression and between leaf thickness and CAM-like ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ discrimination in diverse phylogenetic lineages (Teeri et al., 1981; Winter et al., 1983; Borland et al., 1998; Holtum et al., 2004; Griffiths et al., 2007; Vargas-Soto et al., 2009). Given that leaf succulence and thickness are often linked with general adaptation to water-limited habitats, it has been suggested that possession of this anatomical trait might have predisposed ancestral CAM taxa towards the evolution of this photosynthetic specialisation in water-limited habitats (Sage, 2002).

It has been proposed that Clusia species are adapted to drought and high irradiance through the use of CAM and that this is associated with the degree of leaf succulence (Borland et al., 1998) . However, unlike the largely undifferentiated leaf anatomy that is typical of most CAM species (Nelson et al., 2005), the leaves of Clusia possess clearly differentiated palisade and spongy mesophyll tissues along with an adaxial layer of water storage parenchyma (WSP) (Borland et al, 1998). Clusia species vary in terms of leaf thickness/succulence and in addition show different levels of CAM both within and between species, which could confer more or less adaptability to environmental limitations. We do not fully understand how the morphological and physiological characteristics of leaves of Clusia contribute towards adaptation and acclimation to water-limited conditions or indeed if certain leaf characteristics predispose or constrain Clusia species to show different degrees of CAM. Observations on a range of taxonomically diverse CAM species have implied that thicker, more succulent leaves have less air space between mesophyll cells (Maxwell; Maxwell, 2002; Brodribb and Holbrook, 2003; Nelson et al., 2005) and a reduction in the surface of mesophyll exposed to air (Lmes/area) (Nelson and Sage, 2008). Such observations raise the question of how the CAM requirement for succulence is reflected by the relative
thickness and cell size of the different tissues (i.e. palisade, spongy and WSP) in Clusia leaves and how this might influence genotypic differences in both the magnitude and plasticity of CAM expression by impacting on leaf $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion.

Several hypotheses have been proposed about characteristics and mechanisms that succulent CAM species possess to counteract the possible diffusional limitations imposed by tightly packed cells in the leaf. Reduced internal air space of leaves performing CAM can increase resistance to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ flux (Nelson et al., 2005). The hypothesis is that this trait reduces internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ conductance and could thus limit the efflux of respiratory $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at night. Recapture of respiratory $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at night is thought to have been an early step in the evolutionary process by which CAM evolved from C3 photosynthesis. A low internal conductance to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ would also minimize $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ efflux from leaves during the day-time decarboxylation processes of CAM so a low internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ conductance could be seen to maximize the photosynthetic efficiency of CAM species that rely on dark $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake (Phase I) for the majority of net carbon gain (Griffiths et al., 2007). In contrast, it has been hypothesised that reduced internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ conductance may reduce photosynthetic efficiency in CAM plants which rely heavily on late afternoon (Phase IV) direct atmospheric uptake of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, because diffusion through the tightly packed mesophyll limits carbon availability for Rubisco (Evans et al., 1994; Maxwell, 1997; Nelson et al., 2005). This has prompet the suggestion that low intracellular air space (IAS) could be a selection pressure promoting CAM efficiency, particularly in constitutive CAM species which rely heavily on dark $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake for carbon gain rather than an inevitable consequence of large cells with storage capacity for malic acid and water (Maxwell, 1997). In contrast, it might be hypothesised that a low IAS is a disadvantage for facultative CAM species which show plasticity in adjusting net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake during the nocturnal and day-time phases of CAM. Such hypotheses imply that differences in leaf succulence and IAS might predispose closely related species to different degrees of CAM. A further unresolved question in terms of leaf diffusional constraints to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ exchange in CAM plants concerns stomatal conductance. Thus, is there any relationship (positive or negative) between internal leaf diffusion, succulence and stomatal density/index?

The aim of this chapter was to investigate the question of whether or not genotypic variation in the plasticity of CAM in Clusia is reflected in anatomical attributes that influence the diffusion and conductance of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ through leaves. From an evolutionary context we also sought to establish if there is a particular leaf anatomy that might predispose Clusia species towards CAM expression. Recent phylogenies of Clusia reveal that CAM has two independent origins within the family (i.e.first time in a group of sections: section Chlamydoclusia (containing C. rosea and C. grandiflora (reversal), section Ploianthera (containing C. hilariana and C. lanceolata), The Omphalantera complex
(containing C. aripoensis), The Flava group, and section Cordylandra and second time in section Retinostemon and nine reversals, suggesting that CAM is a homoplasious character (Figure 2.1) (Gehrig et al., 2003). It does not appear that $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ photosynthesis was the origin of CAM within Clusia since $C$. multiflora which is an obligate $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ species, does not appear at the base of the phylogeny and actually is found in the most derived grouping of Clusia species, many of which are also proposed to exhibit $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ characteristics (Vaasen et al., 2002; Gehrig et al.; Holtum et al., 2004). Perhaps even more intriguing is the observation that this obligate $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ Clusia species is extremely drought tolerant, a trait usually associated with CAM (Herzog et al., 1999). This poses the question as to whether or not the leaves of C3 Clusia species possess anatomical features that are incompatible with CAM yet confer adaptation to water limitation. Further, is leaf anatomy in Clusia defined more by phylogenetic position rather than photosynthetic characteristics?

In order to address the questions posed above, the following hypotheses were constructed:
$\mathbf{H}_{1}$. Specific leaf area is inversely related to the magnitude of CAM
$\mathbf{P}_{1}$ : Clusia species with a higher degree of CAM assessed as percentage of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken during phase I will present a lower specific leaf area and a higher WUE (Winter and Holtum, 2002; Lüttge, 2006); (Winter et al., 2005)
$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ The degree of CAM is positively related to cell size and negatively related to IAS and length of mesophyll surface exposed to air (Lmes/area) in Clusia species.
$\mathbf{P}_{2}$ : All tissue types within the leaves of CAM species of Clusia will have larger cells than the C3 species in order to accommodate vacuoles for malic acid storage and this will present lower values of IAS and Lmes/area, due to tighter cell packaging in the CAM species.
$\mathbf{H}_{3}$ : The presence and relative abundance of PEPC and Rubisco vary through different layers of the leaf mesophyll in different photosynthetic categories of Clusia species.
$\mathbf{P}_{3}$ : It is predicted that Rubisco will be found in higher abundance in the palisade cells than in the spongy mesophyll in all Clusia species since this will confer greater availability of PAR for photosynthesis. In contrast, the abundance of PEPC is predicted to be higher in the spongy than in the palisade mesophyll of CAM species, because proximity to the sub-stomatal cavities could maximise the draw-down of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ into the leaf and help to overcome the constraints imposed by low IAS to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion.
$\mathbf{H}_{4}$ : The degree of CAM in Clusia species shows a positive relationship with stomatal density and/or pore area.
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ : Previous studies have indicated that, in general, more succulent species show lower stomatal density than less succulent species (Sayed, 1998; Lüttge et al., 2007). However, it is also possible that to counteract low $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion from the sub-stomatal cavity to Rubisco carboxylation sites, succulent plants have higher stomatal densities and/or larger stomatal apertures, features directly implied in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ conductance (Lawson et al., 1998; Lawson et al., 1998).

### 2.2 Materials and Methods

### 2.2.1 Plant Material

The species chosen for this work were based on the degree of leaf thickness, previous reports of CAM activity and position in phylogenetic trees (Vaasen et al., 2002; Gehrig et al., 2003; Holtum et al., 2004). Species included were: C. multiflora H. B. K. an obligate $C_{3}$ species (Grams et al., 1998), found growing in Venezuela (Herzog et al., 1999) and reported in Central and South America; C. tocuchensis Britton 1921, reportedly an obligate C3 species (Lüttge, 2007) found in Trinidad \& Tobago Islands and considered an endangered species (Van den Eynden et al., 2008); C. lanceolata Camb., a weak C3/CAM intermediate species (Roberts et al., 1996; Luttge, 1999), with less thick leaves compared to the other species of study and reported to be found mainly in Brazil; C. aripoensis Britton 1923, an intermediate C3/CAM species (Borland et al., 1998) found in Trinidad \& Tobago and considered an endangered species (Van den Eynden et al., 2008); C. hilariana Schlecht. described as a CAM species found in South America (Franco et al., 1999) with succulent leaves; C. alata Pl et Tr 1860 considered to be a strong constitutive CAM species with very thick leaves (Luttge, 1999), and found in Central and South America; C. rosea Jacq 1760 distributed at the tropics in North, Central and South America and considered a constitutive CAM species. (Lüttge, 2007) and C. grandiflora Splitg 1842, reported in French Guyana, Suriname and Venezuela, considered a C3 species that has lost CAM (figure 2.1) (Gehrig et al., 2003).

It is important to note that these Clusia species (except C. multiflora) have been reported to present different degrees of CAM under diverse growing conditions and previous studies have failed to agree on the potential of CAM expression in these different species. Thus, all species described above
were analysed for CAM expression by monitoring diel gas exchange patterns under identical controlled conditions.

Cuttings of each species were taken from plants growing at Moorbank Botanic Garden, Newcastle University. Rooted cuttings between 2-3 years old and about $80 \mathrm{~cm}-100 \mathrm{~cm}$ in height were taken from Moorbank to a growth chamber with a 12 hour photoperiod, $65-75 \%$ relative humidity, 300 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ light intensity at plant height and day/night temperature of $27 / 18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plants were maintained under these conditions for 2-4 weeks for acclimation before gas exchange measurements and anatomical studies commenced.



Figure 2.1. Phylogenetic tree based on ITS sequences showing relations within the genus Clusia (taken from (Gehrig et al., 2003) Gustafsson and Bittrich 2003). Circles represent CAM photosynthesis (black $=$ gain, white $=$ loss). Data are based on ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ ratios, and thus mean that weak CAM cannot be excluded with certainty (Gustafsson and Bittrich, 2002). C. multiflora, C. tocuchensis are placed at the most derived positions of the phylogeny, with C 3 photosynthesis. C. aripoensis, $C$ hilariana, $C$. lanceolata and C. rosea are more basal, presenting CAM photosynthesis. C. grandiflora shows a loss of CAM photosynthesis. Red arrows show C3 species, blue
arrows C3/CAM and green arrows CAM species. C. alata is not showed in this phylogeny but in another phylogenetic tree from (Vaasen et al., 2002) C. alata appears next to $C$. minor.

### 2.2.2 Gas exchange profiles

Net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake was measured using a compact mini cuvette system, Central Unit CMS-400 with BINOS- 100 infra-red gas analyzer, working in an open format. A fully expanded leaf was clamped in the cuvette, ensuring it received full light within the growth chamber. Temperature and relative humidity of the cuvette were set to track environmental conditions within the growth room. Data for net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake, evapo-transpiration and conductance to water and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ were recorded every 15 minutes. The measurements were made at $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ambient concentrations of $360 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and also under $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-free air to measure maximum stomatal pore aperture and conductance. The gas flow through the cuvette was maintained between 400 and $500 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ to avoid water condensation inside the cuvette. The leaf was maintained inside the cuvette for at least 48 hours to get a complete 24 h gas exchange profile. Data were analysed using DIAGAS software based on the area of leaf inside the cuvette (variable depending on the leaf size). Net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake was determined using the difference in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ mole fractions between gas entering and leaving the cuvette (for detail of .BINOS mechanism and calculations see appendix 1) Data were plotted against time of the day.

### 2.2.3 Leaf anatomy and stomatal characteristics

Leaf thickness plays an important role in plants and is directly related to resource-use strategies that include quantity of light absorbed and amount/rate of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion through the mesophyll to perform photosynthesis and invest in growth (ie: leaf thicknes is negative realted with growth and photosynthesis and a relationship between construction costs and longevity of the leaf have been related with thicker leaves) (Vile et al., 2005); as mentioned above leaf thickness also appears to be a functional trait in CAM plants. However, leaf thickness is a difficult feature to assess, due to the different components of the leaf (cuticle, hairs, spines) and the relatively small dimensions involved (Vile et al., 2005). Succulence is a diagnostic trait of CAM plants that directly influences leaf thickness however some authors (Vendramini et al., 2002; Vile et al., 2005) have suggested other measurements which are better indicators of leaf thickness compared to succulence. Such measurements include specific leaf area (SLA), leaf water content (LWC) (Witkowski and Lamont, 1991; Garnier and Laurent,

1994; Shipley, 1995; Cunningham et al., 1999; Pyankov et al., 1999; Wilson et al., 1999), all in (Vendramini et al., 2002) and leaf dry mater content (LDMC) (Vile et al., 2005). SLA is a good indicator of resource use (Yulin LI, 2005) and leaf tissue density (Garnier and Laurent, 1994), is a better predictor of resource strategies in succulents, and is probably the most useful leaf anatomical measurement for inclusion in large comparative databases (Vendramini et al., 2002). For these reasons, specific leaf area (SLA) = leaf area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right) /$ dry weight $(\mathrm{g})$ was used as a surrogate measure of succulence in the present study. Leaf discs were punched from three different plants of each Clusia species and fresh weight was recorded, the same discs were dried at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days and dry weight record using a Sartorius balance.

The leaves of Clusia are hypostomatous (Barrera, unpublished observations) so to measure stomatal characteristics, impressions of abaxial surface of the leaf at the mid part of each side of the main vein, were taken using Xantropen VL plus silicone impression material and hardener (Beyer Dental Leverkusen, Germany) with a mixture of approximate ratio $10: 1$ smeared over approximate a $15 \%$ of the leaf (Weyers and Johansen, 1985). After polymerization, the material was detached and cut in several pieces of $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, a positive image made by applying clear nail varnish. Once dry the nail varnish was peeled off and placed on a microscope slide (Weyers and Johansen, 1985). Stomatal impressions were made for 2-3 leaves of 2 plants per species and at different times of the day, to cover a range of stomatal opening states under ambient $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and under $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ free air (to get maximal stomatal aperture). Several pictures were taken per species under the light microscope (Leica DM RB) in order to cover different parts of the same leaf. At least 25 stomata per leaf were measured in terms of stomatal dimensions and in average 51.76 areas of $1.65 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ were used to estimate stomatal density and stomatal index. Stomatal dimensions (pore width, pore length, peristomatal groove distance (was measured because has been proposed as a surrogate measure of pore aperture and it is usefull in case pore aperture are diiult to see), and guard cell distance (Figure 2.2) were measured under 40x magnifications and stomatal density was measured under 10x magnifications. All the images were analysed using J image software.

Based on stomatal dimensions (maximal pore aperture based on an elliptical shape where aperture is $2 / 3$ of mean pore lenght), mean depth and density, the theoretical stomatal conductance $\left(\mathrm{GH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ was estimated using the equation given below (Lawson et al., 1998):

a.


Lawson et al. 1998

Figure 2.2. a. Equation used to calculate theoretical stomatal conductance, mean formula of air was taken as $40.9 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, effective diffusion coefficient for water vapour in air is $2.49 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Jones, 1992). Pore area was calculated assuming the pore as an ellipse with a constant minor axis, pore length, at different apertures, and maximal aperture (equal $2 / 3$ of pore length) as major axis. Finally an end correction $=(\text { pore area } / \pi)^{0.5}$ (Nobel, 1991) was applied. b. diagram showing the anatomical features measured for stomata.

To study internal leaf anatomy, transverse sections of 2-3 leaves of each species were made, following the methodology of (Morison et al., 2005). Small sections of leaf (approximately 2-3 mm wide by $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ long) were cut using a razor blade, vacuum infiltrated with $5 \%$ glutaraldehyde and left overnight at $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The leaf pieces were subsequently passed through a dehydration/wash series of $20 \%$, $30 \%, 50 \%, 70 \%$ and $90 \%$ ethanol, ending with 2 washes in $100 \%$ ethanol and left overnight at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Each leaf piece was then placed in a capsule (Agar Scientific Ltd, 66a Cambridge Road, Stansted, Essex CM24 8DA Cat. No. G292-00 Size 0 Gelatine Capsules) and resin (Sigma LR white acrylic resin; L9774-100G) was added. A capsule lid was attached and the entire capsule was placed in an oven at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight in order to polymerize the resin. The capsules were labelled and stored at room temperature until sectioning. Sections of $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick were cut using an ultra-microtome, placed on a microscope slide, stained with toluidine blue then heated gently in order to bond sections to the slide and then a cover slip was placed on top. Pictures of each section were taken under $5 \mathrm{x}, 10 \mathrm{x}$ and 40 x magnifications in order to measure mesophyll thickness, (i.e. depth of each mesophyll layer and of
water storage parenchyma), cell size (i.e area), Internal air space (IAS) and Length of mesophyll exposed to air per area unit (Lmes/area) and pore depth respectively with the help of Image J software (Appendix 2, gives details of the use of Image $\mathbf{J}$ for these measurements).

### 2.2.4 Protein extraction and western blotting

### 2.2.4.1 Protein extraction

Samples from two leaves of three different plants of each species were taken during the morning, under well watered conditions and after nine days of drought in the case of $C$. lanceolata, $C$. aripoensis, and C. grandiflora. All leaves sampled were mature, fully expanded and without visible signs of senescence. Where possible, leaves were sampled at the same branch position, having similar incident photon flux densities. Immediately after sampling, tissue was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen then stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Approximately 200 mg of frozen leaf tissue (mid-vein removed) was ground in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of extraction buffer pH 10.3 composed of 450 mM bicine, 50 mM CAPS (cyclohexylaminopropanesulfonic acid), $1 \%$ PEG (Polyethylene Glycol) 6000. The slurry was poured into a 1.5 ml eppendorf tube, the lid was pierced and the tube was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. After thawing, the tubes were spun at $15700 \mathrm{~g}(13000 \mathrm{rpm})$ for 5 min at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Exactly $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the supernatant was added to $800 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $80 \%$ cold acetone at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a 1.5 ml eppendorf, the lid was pierced and the tube was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. After thawing, samples were spun at 15700 g for 7 min at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The acetone was poured off and discarded, the remaining protein pellet was re-suspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 'blue water'. 'Blue water' comprised: 0.0756 g Tris base, 1 ml glycerol, $5 \mathrm{ml} 10 \%$ SDS, 0.5 ml mercaptoethanol, 100 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of $0.02 \%$ bromophenol blue, $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ (1M) DTT (dithiothreitol), $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ (10\%) SDS (sodium dodecyl sulphate) in total volume of 10 ml . Finally the samples were boiled for 2 min .

### 2.2.4.2 Discontinuous SDS - PAGE

Proteins were separated by molecular mass, using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDSPAGE; (Laemmli, 1970) with Vertical Mini-Protean II ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ gel system (Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire) which uses gels that are 0.75 mm thick, 7 cm long and 8 cm wide. Approximately 1 cm depth of $4 \%$ stacking gel composed of $1.2 \mathrm{ml} 30 \%$ acrylamide stock, $5.6 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 2.25 ml 4 x UPGB ( $\mathrm{pH} 6.8,15.2 \mathrm{~g}$ Tris, $10 \mathrm{ml} 10 \%$ SDS to 240 ml with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $54 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \%$ amonium persulphate (APS), $9 \mu \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~N}$ ', N '-tetra-methyl-ethylenediamine (TEMED) was used to focus proteins, prior to separation in a $12 \%$ resolving gel composed of $7.5 \mathrm{ml} 30 \%$ acrylamide stock, $6.25 \mathrm{ml}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4.5$
ml 4X LWGB ( $\mathrm{pH} 8.8,45.4 \mathrm{~g}$ Tris, $10 \mathrm{ml} 10 \%$ SDS to 240 ml with dd water), $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ fresh made $10 \%$ APS and $18 \mu \mathrm{~T}$ TEMED. Large and small glass plates were cleaned with $80 \%$ acetone prior to assembling onto casting stand. After transferring the resolving gel mix to the glass plate assemblage it was overlain with $200 \mu$ l of isopropanol. After polymerization of the resolving gel ( $\sim 45 \mathrm{~min}$ ), indicated by the formation of a line between isopropanol and the gel, the remaining isopropanol was poured off and the $4 \%$ staking gel mix was poured over the surface of the polymerised separating gel. A PFTE ${ }^{\circledR}$ comb was inserted into the top of each gel to form the loading wells and the gel was polymerised ( $\sim 30$ $\mathrm{min})$. The combs were removed and the assemblage remounted in the electrophoresis apparatus. For running the gel, the electrophoresis chambers were filled with $\sim 600 \mathrm{ml}$ of 1 X running buffer. The stock 10X buffer was made with 15.15 g Tris, 72 g Glycine, 5 g SDS in 500 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{pH} 8.3$. Prior to loading, samples were heated in a boiling water bath for 5 min to completely denature proteins. Exactly $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each sample were loaded on the same gel and $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Fermentas PageRuler pre-stained protein ladder was loaded for monitoring protein separation during SDS-PAGE. Three gels were run simultaneously, one for PEPC, one for Rubisco and one for protein staining to check that comparable amounts of protein had been loaded for each of the different Clusia species. Samples were run at 75 V through the stacking gel for $\sim 45 \mathrm{~min}$ and then at 150 V through the separating gel for $45-60 \mathrm{~min}$ until the dye band reach the bottom of gel. Gels were removed from the electrophoresis apparatus and the gel for protein staining was immersed in fixative solution composed of 80 ml methanol, $14 \mathrm{ml} 100 \%$ glacial acetic acid, $6 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for 3 min and then stained overnight in 10 ml coomassie blue solution ( $40 \%$ methanol, $7 \%$ glacial acetic acid, $0.05 \%$ Coomassie brilliant blue (Raymond A. Lamb) with 2.5 ml methanol.

### 2.2.4.3 Western blotting

After electrophoresis, gels for blotting were soaked for 15 min in blot transfer buffer that was composed of 5.82 g Tris, 2.93 g glycine, $3.75 \mathrm{ml} 10 \%$ SDS, 200 ml methanol, made up to 1L with $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Four sheets of blotting paper, of the size of the gel, were soaked in blot transfer buffer and one piece (of the same size of the gel) of PVDF (polyvinylidene difluride) membrane ( 0.45 um pore size; Immunobilon-P, Millipore Corporation Bedford, USA) was soaked in $100 \%$ methanol and then in blot transfer buffer. A sandwich that was made up of two pieces of blotting paper, the membrane, the gel and two pieces of blotting paper on the top was placed over the anode plate of the blot transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad); air bubbles were eliminated by rolling a glass test tube over the assembled sandwich which was then covered with the cathode plate of the transfer apparatus. Protein transfer was conducted at 10 v for 30 min .

After removal from the blot transfer apparatus, membranes were immersed overnight in 10X Tris Buffered Saline (TBS) that was composed of 1.5 M NaCl and 100 mM Tris pH 7.5 The membrane was subsequently immersed in Ponceau S solution (in $5 \%$ acetic acid, Sigma-Aldrich) to ensure complete protein transfer, then the membrane was blocked in 5 g skimmed milk powder in 100 ml of 1 X TBS, for 60 min . Antibodies for PEPC (raised against the purified enzyme from Panicum miliaceum and provided by Professor Jean Vidal) and Rubisco small sub unit (SSU raised against the purified enzyme from tobacco in rabbit, provided by Dr. M.A.J. Parry, Crop Performance and Improvement, Rothamsted Research, UK) were added to the membranes in a 1:3000 dilution in $5 \%$ milk, for 60 min . Then, two x 10 min washes in TBST ( $0.1 \%$ Tween 20 in TBS) were given and one 10 min . wash in 1 x TBS followed. After this, a secondary antibody (10ul made up in 10 ml blocker milk solution; Sigma A6154, goat ant-rabbit IgG) was added for 60 min . Finally, three x 10 min . washes in TBST were given. During the incubation and wash steps, the membrane was agitated on a shaker. To visualize PEPC and Rubisco SSU, the membrane was soaked in a mixture of 3ml ECL (GE-Health Suppliers) reagent 1 and 3 ml ECL reagent 2 for 1 min . Then the membrane was placed on a clean piece of acetate film on a film cassette and taken to the dark room where photographic film (Kodak Biomax-XAR) placed on the cassette and developed after 5 min . Film was developed using Kodak developer and fixer reagents prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions

### 2.2.5 Immuno-labelling for PEPC and Rubisco in leaf sections

To localise and determine the relative abundance of PEPC and Rubisco in palisade and spongy mesophyll cells, transverse sections of leaves of C. aripoensis and C. rosea were taken. Leaf pieces (strips of approximately 1 cm length and 0.2 cm width) were fixed in $0.5 \%$ glutaraldehyde plus $2.5 \%$ paraformaldehyde in sodium buffer 0.1 M pH 7.2 for 90 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Three washes of 15 min each, in sodium phosphate buffer 0.1 M pH 7.2 were given at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dehydration with ethanol was carried out and consisted of 30 min washes in $35 \%, 50 \%, 70 \%$, $96 \%$ ethanol and three ( 30 min each) washes in $100 \%$ ethanol, at room temperature. For embedding, the dehydrated leaf pieces were submersed for 60 mins in $75 \%$ ethanol $+25 \%$ LR white ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ white resin medium grade (ref 14381 Electron Microscopy Science). The leaf pieces were then transferred to $50 \%$ ethanol + 50\% LR white resin for $60 \mathrm{~min}, 25 \%$ ethanol + $75 \%$ LR white ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ resin for $60 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \%$ LR white resin for 60 min and left in white resin overnight at
$4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Finally polymerization ${ }^{1}$ was carried out in an oven at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $12-18$ hours, inside flat moulds under a continuous flux of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

Sections of $60-70 \mathrm{~nm}$ thickness were cut using a Leica EM UC6 ultra-microtome, and were collected on var-coated nickel grids. For enzyme localisation, between 2-4 samples of each species were treated with 40 ul PBS (Tampon phosphate potassium) $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{pH} 7.4+150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ and 5\% BSA (Bovine Serum Albumin) for 30 min . After this, a specific antibody (the same used for western blots) was added to the gridded samples in a dilution of 1:250 in PBS and 5\% BSA for 180 min . Three washes of five min each with $40 \mu$ PBS were carried out and a secondary antibody (Goat antiRabbit, IgG, 10nm, Electron Microscopy Sciences) labelled with gold in a dilution of 1:100 in PBS and $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ \% BSA was added for 120 min . Samples were then washed twice ( 5 min each) in $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PBS. Finally, five washes in MLQ (Mili-Q, water purified using an ion exchange cartridge (Millipore Corporation) fresh filter water, each for 5 min duration were made. The copper grids were left to dry at room temperature inside a petri dish with filter paper. A Philips Tecnai 12 transmission electron microscope ${ }^{1}$ was used to examine sections and dots of gold per $\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}$ were counted in the cytoplasm for PEPC and in the chloroplast for Rubisco, as well in the mitochondria and vacuole as a background.

Results from the Immunolabeling technique are only shown for C. aripoensis and C. rosea, due to the problems of encountering mesophyll cell damage in C. multiflora and C. tocuchensis. It is possible that the high content of phenolics and latex in the C3 Clusia species (K Shorrock personal communication) impeded penetration of resin so that tissue conservation was poor in these species.

### 2.2.6 Statistical Analysis

Measurements of pore areas, density and index, IAS and Lmes/area for the eight Clusia species were grouped according to photosynthesis type, constitutive CAM, C3/CAM and constitutive C3. Anova tests were performed in case of normality and Kruskal wallis in case of non-normality. A Tukey test was performed to test for significant differences between photosynthetic groups. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 15.
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### 2.3 Results

### 2.3.1 Gas exchange profiles

### 2.3.1.1 Degree of CAM and relationship to specific leaf area (SLA) and instantaneous water use efficiency (WUE)

Patterns of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake were measured for mature leaves of all 8 species over 24 h under ambient $\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]$ and under well-watered conditions. Measurements were also made under drought (6-9 days without water) conditions for C. lanceolata, C. aripoensis and C. grandiflora to check for inducible CAM in these 3 species (Figure. 2.3). Based on the amounts of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up during the day and night, the species were classified as constitutive CAM, C3/CAM intermediate or constitutive C3 (Table 2.1). An inverse relationship was found between specific leaf area and the degree of CAM, measured as the percentage of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up during phase I $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.808\right.$; Figure 2.4 a).
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Figure 2.3. Gas exchange curves showing 24h net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake for eight species of Clusia under well watered conditions for all and under drought (for 9 days) for three species (C. lanceolata, C. aripoensis and C. grandiflora) to check for inducible CAM. The solid bars on the X axes represent the periods of darkness. Graphs represent at least three replicates of runs for each specie.

Table 2.1. The percentage of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up during the day and night during a 24 hour cycle, by each of the study species. * indicates the \% CO2 taken up after 9 days without water. Specific leaf area (SLA) showed an inverse relationship with the degree of CAM.

| Species | \% CO2 phase I | \% CO2 Phase II | \% CO2 phase III | \% CO2 phase IV | Photosynthesis type | SLA (cm2) / dry weight ( g ). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | - |  | 111.92 |
| c. |  |  |  |  |  | 91.302 |
| C. alata | 72.33 | 15.5 | -1.41 | 13.54 | CAM |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 117.81 |
| C. rosea | 43.83 | 42.14 | -33.34 | 47.05 | CAM |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 159.04 |
| C. lanceolata | 12.33 | - | 87.67 | - | C3/CAM |  |
|  | 58.62 | - | 41.38 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 196.35 |
| C. aripoensis | 5.42 | - | 94.57 | - | C3/CAM |  |
|  | 0.8 | - | 99.2 | - |  |  |
| C. grandiflora | 3.18 | - | 96.81 | - | C3 | 159.78 |
|  | -11.17 | - | 111.17 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 196.35 |
| C. tocuchensis | -3.28 | - | 103.27 | - | C3 |  |
| C. multiflora | -4.82 | - | 104.82 | - | C3 | 212.06 |

Table 2.2 Water use efficiency, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and transpiration for eight species of Clusia with different degrees of CAM, differentiated by potosynthesis type and also SLA indicated,under well watered conditions and after eight days of drought* for $C$.
lanceoata, C, aripoensis and C. grandiflora

| Specie | Photosynthesis Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SLA (cm2/dry } \\ & \text { weight g) } \end{aligned}$ | 24h CO2 uptake ( mmol CQ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 24h } \\ \text { Transpiration } \\ \text { (mol Ho } \mathbf{2} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WUE } \\ (\mathrm{m} \mathrm{~mol} \\ \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{mol} \\ \left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. hilarianaC. alataC. rosea | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CAM } \\ & \text { CAM } \end{aligned}$ | 111.92 | 0.05 | 0.003 | 22.27 |
|  |  | 91.03 | 2.22 | 0.20 | 11.01 |
|  | CAM | 117.81 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 2.80 |
| C. lanceolata | C3/CAM | 159.04 | 1.20 | 0.23 | 5.18 |
|  |  |  | 0.51 | 0.02 | 30.95 |
| C. aripoensis | C3/CAM | 196.35 | 0.95 | 0.12 | 7.78 |
|  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.09 | 10.61 |
| C. grandiflorq | C3 | 159.78 | 2.06 | 0.77 | 2.69 |
|  |  |  | 0.89 | 0.16 | 5.64 |
| C. tocuchensi <br> C. multiflora | C3 | 196.35 | 1.65 | 0.73 | 2.26 |
|  | C3 | 212.06 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 2.40 |



Figure 2.4. Relationships between a) specific leaf area and the magnitude of CAM (percentage of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up during phase I) b). SLA and WUE and c) the magnitude of CAM and WUE for eight species of Clusia, under well watered conditions, $\mathrm{n}=3$.

Water use efficiency ( $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{CO} 2 / \mathrm{mol}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was estimated by integrating net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and transpirational water loss over a 24 h light/dark cycle (Table 2.2). A close and positive relationship between WUE and the degree of CAM was found R $^{2}=0.689$ (Figure 2.4 c )

### 2.3.2 Leaf Anatomy

### 2.3.2.1 Leaf tissue characteristics and implications for the degree of CAM

Leaves of CAM plants are thicker than those of C3 and this was reiterated by the inverse relationship between SLA and magnitude of nocturnal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake in the eight Clusia species that were investigated $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.808$ ( Fig 2.4 c ). To assess the relative contributions that different tissue types made to leaf thickness, transverse sections of leaves from each of the eight Clusia species were taken and analysed via light microscopy (Figure 2.5). The relationships between tissue depth and the amount of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up at night are shown in Figure 2.6. The degree of CAM was strongly correlated with palisade $\left(R^{2}=0.847\right)$ and spongy mesophyll $\left(R^{2}=0.752\right)$ depth but not with WSP depth $\left(R^{2}=0.034\right)$. Instead, an inverse relationship was found between the magnitude of CAM and the percentage of total mesophyll occupied by WSP $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.249\right)$. There was a strong positive relationship between the number of cell layers and the depth of each of the different tissue layers (Figure 2.7 a) i.e $\left(R^{2}=0.867\right.$ for spongy mesophyll; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.477$ for palisade and $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.969$ for WSP). Tissue depth showed a positive correlation with cell size for the three mesophyll layers (Figure 2.7 b ), however this relation was strongest in the case of WSP $\left(R^{2}=0.898\right)$.
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Figure 2.5. Transverse leaf sections of eight species of Clusia under light microscope
at 5 X magnification and indicating the major tissue types within the layers including WSP (water storage parenchyma), palisade mesophyll, and spongy mesophyll. Values are also given for mesophyll and WSP depth ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). $\mathrm{n}=5$


Figure 2.6 Relationships between the magnitude of CAM expressed as \% of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up at night and anatomical features: a) number of cell layers at the palisade and spongy mesophyll, water storage parenchyma and lower epidermis, bars represent standard error; b) Palisade mesphyll depth; c) Spongy mesophyll depth and d) Percentage of total mesophyll occupied by WSP for eight species of Clusia. $\mathrm{n}=5$

The relationship between cell size of the different leaf tissues and photosynthetic type was also assessed. There was a general trend for larger mesophyll, epidermal and stomatal guard cells in those Clusia species which engaged in more dark (phase I) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake $\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}=136.75 \mathrm{p}<0.0001\right.$; Figure 2.8 a). The palisade cells in particular were substantially larger $(\sim 3 x)$ in the CAM species compared to the other photosynthetic categories. The size of stomatal guard cells was calculated as pore area using the formula for an ellipsoid taking the guard cell length (see Figure 2.2 and 2.11) as a diameter.


Figure 2.7. Relationship between tissue depth of spongy mesophyll, palisade mesophyll and WSP and a) Number of cell layers $n=5$ and b) Cell size $\left(\mu m^{2}\right) n=25$, for eight species of Clusia.

Table 2.3. Mean ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) and standard errors of the depth $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ and number of cell layers of spongy and palisade mesophyll tissues, water storage parenchyma and lower epidermis for the eight species of study. The percentage of the total leaf mesophyll depth occupied by water storage parenchyma is also shown.

| species | Spongy (um) | $N^{0}$ Cell layers | Palizade (um) | $N^{0}$ Cell layers | WSP (um) | \%WSP | $N^{0}$ Cell lavers | Epidermis $N^{0}$ cell layers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. alata | 741.37 468.9 | $23.25 \pm 0.49$ | $518.62 \pm 48.5$ | $4.5 \pm 0.27$ | $297.63 \pm 5.04$ | 17.59 | $8.63 \pm 0.22$ | $2.62 \pm 0.14$ |
| C. hiloriona | $569.09 \pm 87.4$ | $17.6 \pm 0.41$ | 488.18 ${ }^{\text {a }} 75.01$ | $6 \pm 0.33$ | $85.07 \pm 1.62$ | 7.09 | $4 \pm 0.0$ | $1.69 \pm 0.14$ |
| C. rosea | $451.28 \pm 60.93$ | 13.7£1.13 | $412.78 \pm 54.78$ | $5.1 \pm 0.88$ | $59.88 \pm 2.02$ | 6.11 | $3 \pm 1.01$ | $2.6 \pm 1.02$ |
| C. lanceolota | $364.86+32.97$ | $12.8 \pm 0.47$ | $296.5 \pm 26.47$ | $4.9 \pm 0.26$ | $87.74 \pm 1.58$ | 10.84 | $3.93 \pm 0.12$ | 1.450.14 |
| c. aripoensis | $410.44 \pm 48.13$ | $12.37 \pm 0.26$ | 188,66 21.3 | $4.56 \pm 0.15$ | $132.02 \pm 2.37$ | 17.67 | $4 \pm 0.0$ | $1 \pm 0.0$ |
| C. grondiflora | $308.92 \pm 44.76$ | $14.31 \pm 0.2$ | $117.12 \pm 16.74$ | $2.56 \pm 1.12$ | $41.88 \pm 2.3$ | 8.46 | $2.81 \pm 0.1$ | $1.56 \pm 0.13$ |
| C.tocchenens | 207.87 +26.38 | $10.77 \pm 0.37$ | $119.46 \pm 14.92$ | $2.61 \pm 0.15$ | $134.3 \pm 2.04$ | 26.39 | $5 \pm 0.0$ | $3.31 \pm 0.14$ |
| C.mutifora | $312.09 \pm 38.2$ | $11.07 \pm 0.51$ | $230.88 \pm 27.6$ | $5.5 \pm 0.84$ | $191.26 \pm 4.35$ | 23.82 | $6.07 \pm 0.81$ | $4 \pm 0.92$ |
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Figure 2.8. Relationships between a) magnitude of CAM (percentage of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up during phase I) and cell size ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ ) and b ) photosynthesis type and cell size (measured as cell area in $\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) of spongy and palisade mesophyll, water storage parenchyma and stomatal guard cells for eight species of Clusia.

Table 2.4. Mean ( $\mathrm{n} \geq 25$ ) cell size (area in $\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and standard errors of spongy and palisade mesophyll, water storage parenchyma and stomatal guard cells across eight Clusia species.

| Species | Spongy $\left(\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Palisade $\left(\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | WSP $\left(\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Guard cells $\left(\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. alata | $956.45 \pm 112$ | $2637.68 \pm 314.75$ | $4625.25 \pm 753.88$ | $623.93 \pm 8.95$ |
| C. hilariana | $1491.04 \pm 112.15$ | $565.44 \pm 36.02$ | $300.08 \pm 39.95$ | $879.18 \pm 14.45$ |
| C. rosea | $1874.73 \pm 212.78$ | $2504.88 \pm 159.21$ | $1372.36 \pm 242.4$ | $1248.82 \pm 39.13$ |
| C. lanceolata | $911.08 \pm 68.28$ | $1227.35 \pm 93.94$ | $995.23 \pm 125.04$ | $447.6 \pm 15.14$ |
| C. aripoensis | $1372.12 \pm 231.8$ | $628.8 \pm 49.72$ | $1547.8 \pm 26.95$ | $754.56 \pm 31.73$ |
| C. grandiflora | $422.76 \pm 43.34$ | $565.44 \pm 36.68$ | $300.08 \pm 39.63$ | $879.18 \pm 25.53$ |
| C. tocuchensis | $571.92 \pm 56.77$ | $424.3 \pm 41.19$ | $1381.79 \pm 239.288$ | $710.52 \pm 27.45$ |
| C. multiflora | $582.42 \pm 42.56$ | $491.29 \pm 39.67$ | $2395.7 \pm 213$ | $713.28 \pm 16.81$ |

Internal air space (IAS) in the mesophyll and length of mesophyll exposed to air per unit area (Lmes/area), were also found to be related to the magnitude of CAM in the eight species of Clusia studied here. CAM was associated with reduced intercellular air space (IAS) and there was a significant difference in the \% of IAS between constitutive CAM and C3/ CAM ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) but this was not significant between constitutive CAM and constitutive C3 ( $\mathrm{p}=0.173$ ) or between C3/CAM and C3 ( $\mathrm{p}=$ 0.081 ). The area of mesophyll exposed to IAS was also related to the degree of CAM in the 8 species of Clusia that were examined. This difference was particularly evident between CAM species and the C3 species $(\mathrm{p}=0.05)$ (Figure 2.9).


Figure 2.9. Variation in percentage of internal air space ( $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{p}=0.05$ ), c ) and the decrease in length of mesophyll exposed to air ( $\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{p}<0.001\right.$ ), d) as the magnitude of CAM (percentage of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up during phase I) increased, for eight species of Clusia which have been grouped according to the photosynthesis type ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) and without grouping ( $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ). $\mathrm{n}=5$

### 2.3.2.2 Stomatal size and density and photosynthetic mode

Stomatal impressions were made from the lower leaf surfaces of eight Clusia species and pictures taken under light microscope at 40x magnification (Figure 2.10) in order to take measurements of stomatal dimensions (Figure 2.11) and distribution (Figure 2.12).


Figure 2.10. Stomatal impressions taken from the abaxial surfaces of leaves for eight species of Clusia under light microscope at 40x magnification.
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Figure 2.11. From left to right, impression of a fully open stoma (a), closed stoma (b) under light microsope at 40 x magnification, indicating the measures made (c) for each stoma. Pore area is calculated using the equation: Area ellipse: [(major axis/2)*(minor axis/2)]* $\pi$. Major axis is the longitudinal length of the pore and minor axis the transversal length of pore

In general, stomatal density decreased as the magnitude of CAM (percentage of dark $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake) increased (Figure 2.12a) There was no such relationship with stomatal index (Figure 2.12b) whilst pore area was highest in the species that conducted more CAM (Figure 2.12c; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.714$ ). The largest pore area recorded for the C3 Clusia species was noted for C. grandiflora, a species proposed to have lost CAM. The stomatal distribution patterns were subsequently grouped according to photosynthetic type (Figure 2.13). There was a significant difference between stomatal density of constitutive CAM species and the other species ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), but not between stomatal density of the C3/CAM intermediates and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ species ( $\mathrm{p}=0.073$ ). A significant difference in stomatal index was noted between C3/CAM intermediates and the rest of the species ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), but was not significant between CAM and C3 (Figure $2.13 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ). There was also a trend to have bigger stomata (pore area) and lower stomatal densities as the degree of CAM increased (Figure 2.13c). The difference in pore area between species was significant (, $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), due to a significant difference between pore area of constitutive CAM species and the others, but not between $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and the $\mathrm{C} 3 / \mathrm{CAM}$ intermediate species ( $\mathrm{p}=0.877$ ).


Figure 2.13. The relationships between a)Stomatal density p < 0.001 , b) Stomatal index and c) Stomatal area p<0.001, with the mode of photosynthesis for eight species of Clusia. bars represnt $\pm$ standard error. $\mathrm{n}>25$

Table 2.5. Mean ( $\mathrm{n}>25$ ) stomatal density (Number of stomata per $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) stomatal index (number of stomata / number of stomata plus epidermal cells) pore area $\left(\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}\right), \%$ Internal air space in the mesophyll (IAS) and length of mesophyll exposed to air per area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ across eight Clusia species.

| Species | Denisty(N <br> stomata/ $\mathbf{m m}^{2)}$ | Index \% (N <br> stomata/N <br> stomata+epidermiss | Area(um ${ }^{2}$ ) | \% IAS | Lmes /area <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. alata | 107.5 | 9.2 | 140 | 34.37 | 0.04 |
| C. hilariana | 74 | 15.2 | 139 | 30.74 | 0.04 |
| C. rosea | 103.66 | 14.7 | 122 | 20.83 | 0.042 |
| C. aripoensis | 128.25 | 16.6 | 72.19 | 61.33 | 0.097 |
| C. lanceolata | 126 | 18.9 | 66 | 30.88 | 0.055 |
| C. grandiflora | 133.9 | 21.2 | 139.03 | 37.23 | 0.069 |
| C. tocuchensis | 155.31 | 15.4 | 83.11 | 45.73 | 0.077 |
| C. multiflora | 118 | 8.9 | 65 | 41.68 | 0.08 |

The maximum measured stomatal conductance $\left(\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ of Clusia species was substantially lower than the stomatal conductance that was predicted $\left(\mathrm{GH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ based on stomatal size and density (Figure 2.14). There was a slightly negative relationship between measured maximum stomatal conductance and the magnitude of CAM (Figure 2.14a). However, there was no such relationship between the magnitude of CAM and predicted stomatal conductance (Figure 2.14b) ) This result suggests that there might be other features related to leaf anatomy, apart from stomatal density and size, like internal air space in the mesophyll or stomatal movements, that are responsible for the lower measured stomatal conductance in CAM plants (Figure 2.9a).


Figure 2.14. The relationship between magnitude of CAM (percentage of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up during phase I) and a) measured maximal stomatal conductance $\left(\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and b) estimated maximal stomatal conductance $\left(\mathrm{GH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ using the equation described in figure 2.2, calculating pore area based on an elliptic form taken aperture as $2 / 3$ of pore length. Red circles enclose CAM species and green circles enclose C3 species.
Table 2.6 correlation matrix of physiological and anatomical traits, using mean values for the eight species of Clusia. In red are highlighted the significant correlations

|  | SLA | \% phase I d | pongy lepth | spong cell layers | palisade depth | palisade <br> cell <br> layers | WSP <br> depth | WSP cell layers | epiderm <br> cell layers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { spongy } \\ & \text { s size } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | palisade <br> size | WSP size | guard <br> cell size | stom density | stom <br> index | stom area | \%AS | Lmes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLA | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ phase 1 | -0.891 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| spongy depth | -0.836 | 0.867 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| spongy cell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| layers | -0.854 | 0.812 | 0.932 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| palisade depth palisade cell | -0.859 | 0.921 | 0.896 | 0.776 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| layers | -0.289 | 0.555 | 0.514 | 0.228 | 0.691 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WSP depth | -0.114 | 0.184 | 0.507 | 0.518 | 0.337 | 0.163 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WSP cell layers epiderm cell | -0.183 | 0.246 | 0.517 | 0.565 | 0.383 | 0.142 | 0.985 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| layers | 0.229 | -0.170 | -0.193 | -0.153 | -0.021 | 0.057 | 0.439 | 0.483 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| spongy size | -0.497 | 0.583 | 0.476 | 0.199 | 0.611 | 0.630 | -0.209 | -0.260 | -0.312 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| palisade size | -0.730 | 0.489 | 0.665 | 0.603 | 0.686 | 0.215 | 0.345 | 0.346 | 0.059 | 0.470 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WSP size | -0.234 | 0.188 | 0.553 | 0.547 | 0.398 | 0.141 | 0.948 | 0.922 | 0.429 | -0.085 | 0.591 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| guard cell size | -0.279 | 0.241 | 0.032 | -0.050 | 0.156 | 0.164 | $-0.460$ | -0.479 | 0.065 | 0.577 | 0.245 | -0.294 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| stom density | 0.684 | -0.868 | -0.731 | -0.572 | -0.855 | -0.836 | -0.033 | -0.065 | 0.138 | -0.643 | -0.324 | -0.030 | -0.333 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| stom index | 0.110 | -0.278 | -0.435 | -0.338 | -0.470 | -0.512 | $-0.821$ | -0.815 | -0.716 | -0.056 | -0.347 | -0.796 | 0.063 | 0.307 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| stom area | -0.816 | 0.714 | 0.598 | 0.740 | 0.521 | -0.009 | -0.040 | 0.032 | -0.168 | 0.224 | 0.407 | 0.036 | 0.478 | -0.517 | 0.038 | 1.000 |  |  |
| \% IAS | 0.695 | -0.517 | -0.322 | -0.339 | -0.607 | -0.267 | 0.220 | 0.108 | -0.118 | -0.307 | -0.580 | 0.058 | -0.349 | 0.506 | 0.023 | -0.497 | 1.000 |  |
| Lmes | 0.918 | -0.820 | -0.664 | -0.683 | -0.846 | -0.350 | -0.032 | -0.142 | 0.012 | -0.411 | -0.665 | -0.139 | -0.235 | 0.677 | 0.152 | -0.676 | 0.891 | 1.00 |

### 2.3.3.1 Abundance of PEPC and Rubisco proteins

Under well watered conditions the abundance of Rubisco protein (as indicated by the blots of Rubisco small sub-unit) was found to be similar in all eight species when comparable amounts of leaf soluble proteins were loaded on gels. However, the abundance of PEPC protein was related to the level of CAM expression and was only detected in one of the C3 / CAM species (C. lanceolata) (Figure 2.15).


Figure 2.15 a). Abundance of PEPC and Rubisco proteins across eight Clusia species (from left to right C. grandiflora, C. multiflora, C. tocuchensis, C. aripoensis, C. lanceolata, C. rosea, C. hilariana and $C$. alata) under well watered conditions b) Protein gel, used as a loading control, showing that comparable amounts of protein $(15 \mu \mathrm{l})$ were loaded for each species.

### 2.3.3.2 Immunolocalization of PEPC and Rubisco

The abundance of Rubisco protein was 1.5 times higher in palisade cells compared to spongy mesophyll cells in both C. aripoensis $(\mathrm{t}=4.436 \mathrm{P}<0.0001)$ and C. rosea $(\mathrm{t}=3.619 \mathrm{P}=0.001)$. The abundance of PEPC was slightly higher in spongy mesophyll cells in C. aripoensis compared to palisade cells but was 3 times higher in palisade cells compared to spongy mesophyll cells in C. rosea $(\mathrm{t}=6.770$
$\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). As expected, the abundance of PEPC protein was higher in C. rosea compared with C.aripoensis.


Figure 2.18 Immunolocalization (dark dots) of PEPC (c,d) and Rubisco (e,f) in palisade mesophyll of C. aripoensis by transmission electronic microscope. $\mathrm{CW}=\mathrm{Cell}$ wall, $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{Starch}, \mathrm{V}=$ Vacuole ( d and f are enlargements of the corresponding area enclosed by squares).

### 2.4 Discussion

The aim of this study was to test 4 hypotheses relating to the functional leaf anatomy of Clusia with the overall objective of establishing if genotypic divergence and phenotypic plasticity in CAM within the genus was related to particular leaf anatomies.

### 2.4.1 Leaf anatomy and implications for the degree of CAM in Clusia

Succulence and leaf thickness are important anatomical traits in CAM plants, resulting from the presence of large vacuoles to store organic acids accumulated during the night and also acting as water reservoirs. Previous studies have reported positive relationships between succulence and the magnitude of CAM in a taxonomically diverse range of CAM lineages (Lüttge, 2002). Specific leaf area (SLA) is a good indicator of leaf tissue density and leaf thickness (Vile et al., 2005) and generally shows an inverse relationship with succulence (Vendramini et al., 2002). With regard to hypothesis 1, there was indeed a negative relationship between specific leaf area, and the magnitude of CAM for the eight species of Clusia studied (i.e. SLA was lower for those Clusia species which showed increased dark uptake of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). Previous works have reported the higher WUE of CAM species compared with C3 species. Between the eight species of Clusia studied here, a strong positive relationship was found between the percentage of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ taken up during phase I and WUE and a strong negative relationship was observed between SLA and WUE, which confirms previous findings and supports hypothesis 1.

In addition to serving as a surrogate and inverse measure of leaf succulence and thickness, SLA is also a good indicator of the way in which plants invest carbon and nutrients (dry biomass) in a given area of light-intercepting foliage. Species with lower SLA may be considered to incur a higher leaf-level cost for light interception (Poorter et al., 2009) and this strategy is commonly found in species that inhabit environments where drought and/or nutrient limitation hamper growth. Thus, possession of a low SLA may be a key trait that predisposed Clusia species towards the evolution of CAM in water and nutrient-limited habitats. At a tissue level, the lower SLA in the CAM-Clusias was attributed to increased cell division and larger cells for both the spongy and palisade mesophyll layers compared to
the C3 Clusia species. The palisade cells in particular were, on average, $\sim 3 \times$ larger in CAM compared to C3 Clusia species. The pronounced development of the palisade tissue in CAM-Clusias would be expected to increase the amount of the photosynthetic apparatus on an area basis. The immunolocalisation studies indicated that Rubisco proteins were indeed in higher abundance in the palisade compared to mesophyll cells in Clusia species. Enhanced development of palisade tissue is commonly found in leaves of species with low SLA and is believed to act as a strategy for improving the harvesting of light, thereby helping to offset the increased investment in biomass in thicker leaves (Smith and Hughes, 2009). That this enhancement of palisade tissue was also found in the CAMClusias, which typically inhabit open environments, could be a similar strategy for offsetting not only the costs of thicker leaves but also the increased energetic requirements of CAM.

In contrast to the close positive relationship that was established between leaf thickness and the depth of palisade and mesophyll tissues within Clusia, there was no such positive relationship with water storage parenchyma. In fact, the presence of a thick layer of water storage parenchyma was particularly evident in the C3 Clusia species C. tocuchensis and C. multiflora. The thickness of the WSP was determined principally by cell size $\left(R^{2}=0.898\right)$ and number of cell layers $\left(R^{2}=0.969\right)$. Comparisons of WSP in the genus Peperomia have shown that the thickness of this tissue shows a negative relationship with CAM expression (Sipes and Ting, 1985). Thus, leaves of the C3-CAM intermediate $P$. obtusifolia showed a thicker WSP (i.e. $63 \%$ of total mesophyll) compared with $P$. macrostachya a constitutive CAM species (i.e.WSP $=19 \%$ of total mesophyll (Fondom et al., 2009). The same study also indicated that the drought-induced switch to CAM in P.obtusifolia was accompanied by a shrinkage of the WSP and palisade mesophyll but this species still presented a higher WUE compared with the constitutive CAM P. macrostachya under the same conditions of drought (Fondom et al., 2009). Thus, it was suggested that even when P. obtusifolia performed CAM, the WSP could act to conserve water. It is tempting to speculate that by acting as a means of buffering against water shortage, the thick WSP in the two constitutive C3 Clusias C. multiflora and C. tocuchensis (section Anadrogyne of the Clusiaceae) might obviate the need for CAM. However, the presence of a thick WSP in the strong CAM species $C$. alata indicates that the presence of WSP and CAM are not mutually exclusive. It is possible that the thickness of WSP is determined more by phylogeny than photosynthetic mode since $C$. grandiflora, a C3 species within Section Chlamydoclusia of the Clusiaceae had a reduced WSP (compared to the other C 3 species $C$. multiflora and $C$. tocuchensis) but which was of comparable depth to the WSP in the CAM species C. rosea, also located within this section.

### 2.4.2 Anatomical traits and implications for internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ conductance

With regard to hypothesis 2 , the present study confirmed that the lower SLA of CAM Clusias was accompanied by a lower percentage of internal air space in the mesophyll and a reduced surface area of cells in the mesophyll exposed to air. At an anatomical level this was attributed to more and larger cells within the palisade and spongy mesophyll layers in the CAM species. Previous studies (Nelson et al., 2005) found that in taxonomically diverse CAM species (14 families including Clusiaceae) the more succulent leaves present reduced internal air space which in turn can increase resistance to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ flux. The hypothesis is that reduced internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ conductance could provide higher photosynthetic efficiency to CAM plants which rely on dark $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake (Phase I) because less $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ efflux at night maximizes net nocturnal carbon gain by minimizing the loss of carbon previously fixed during the day. In contrast, it has been hypothesised that reduced internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ conductance may confer reduced photosynthetic efficiency in CAM plants which rely heavily on late afternoon (Phase IV) atmospheric uptake of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, because diffusion through mesophyll limits carbon availability for Rubisco(Evans et al., 1994; Maxwell, 1997; Nelson et al., 2005). Of the CAM Clusia species investigated here, C. rosea showed the most day-time net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake yet did not show any significant difference in \%IAS or Lmes/area compared to the other CAM Clusias. However, it is worth highlighting that the CAM Clusia species investigated here showed substantially higher \% IAS (mean of 30\%) compared to the diverse CAM lineages investigated by Nelson and Sage (2005) where average \%IAS was $\sim 15 \%$. Similarly, the average Lmes/area of the CAM Clusias $\left(0.05 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right)$ was almost 2 x higher than that of the CAM species studied by Nelson and Sage (2005). The values of \% IAS and Lmes/area obtained for the CAM Clusias actually sit at the lower end of values measured for a range of C 3 species (Nelson and Sage, 2005) suggesting that this anatomical trait may be a functional requirement of the notable phenotypic plasticity of C3/CAM engagement within Clusia.

In order to make an overall assessment of which anatomical traits might mediate divergence between photosynthetic categories in Clusia, a correlation matrix of physiological and anatomical traits was constructed (Table 2.6). Significant correlations were found between 'CAM strength' (i.e.\% of phase I dark $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake) and palisade mesophyll depth $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.921\right)$ and spongy mesophyll depth $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ 0.867 ), each of which were primarily determined by the number of cell layers (Fig 2.8a). A significant negative correlation between CAM strength and Lmes/area $\left(R^{2}=-0.820\right)$ was also observed. These results imply that within Clusia, photosynthetic divergence is mediated via the degree of cell proliferation in the photosynthetic mesophyll tissues which impacts on Lmes/area. The inclusion of $C$. grandiflora (suggested being a reversal from CAM to C3, (Gehrig et al., 2003) in these analyses did not
alter the above interpretation, indicating the close functional relationship between leaf anatomy and photosynthetic physiology within the genus.

### 2.4.3 Localization of carboxylases

The reduced Lmes/area in CAM Clusias imply constraints to internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ conductance compared to weak CAM and C3 species of Clusia. In this regard, it is important to know the arrangement of PEPC and Rubisco proteins within the leaf to test the hypothesis that localisation of the C3 and C4 carboxylases in particular leaf tissues could help to overcome internal diffusional constraints by optimising $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ draw-down and uptake. The magnitude of CAM in the eight species of Clusia examined here was related to the abundance of PEPC protein as has been shown previously for other species within the genus (Borland et al, 1998). Comparing the tissue-level localisation of PEPC protein between the strong CAM species $C$. rosea and the weak CAM species $C$. aripoenesis, indicated that the enhanced PEPC abundance in C. rosea was largely associated with the palisade tissue which contained 3 x more PEPC protein compared to the spongy mesophyll. In contrast, PEPC protein was present in similar abundance in both palisade and spongy mesophyll tissues in the weak CAM species $C$. aripoensis. Rubisco was also present in higher abundance in the palisade cells compared to mesophyll cells in both Clusia species.

The immunolocalisation results obtained here for Clusia contrast with immunolocalisation studies in Peperomia. Leaves of the C3-CAM intermediate P. camptotricha show three well differentiated mesophyll layers (multiple epidermis (analogous to WSP), spongy and palisade mesophyll; (Nishio and Ting, 1987) in common with Clusia spp, but in contrast to other species that perform CAM ie. Mesambryanthum and Hoya carnosa (Nelson et al., 2005). In P. camptotricha, Rubisco protein was in highest abundance in the palisade mesophyll (in common with Clusia), but the CAM-related proteins PEPC and malic enzyme were in highest abundance in the multiple epidermis (WSP) and spongy mesophyll, which contrasts with the results obtained for the CAM-performing $C$. rosea. Peperomia also contrasts with Clusia in terms of cell size of the different leaf tissues, with CAMperforming $P$. camptotricha having bigger cells in the spongy mesophyl, presumably to accommodate increased CAM-enzyme abundance and vacuolar storage for malic acid compared with C3 performing P. camptotricha whilst the size of palisade cells was similar in the different photosynthetic modes (Nishio and Ting, 1987). In C. rosea, the higher abundance of PEPC in palisade mesophyll compared to spongy mesophyll could be positively correlated with the considerably larger palisade cells in the CAM compared to the C3 Clusias. In the CAM Clusias, larger palisade cells would be important for
accommodating CAM enzymes and large vacuoles for acid storage. In C. rosea the higher abundance of Rubisco in the palisade layer compared to the spongy mesophyll is probably associated with the access to light, indicating enhanced production of energy and reducing requirements for CAM-reactions in the palisade. Furthermore, co-localisation and concentration of both carboxylases in the palisade mesophyll would be envisioned to improve the efficiency of decarboxylation during the day time, allowing a direct transfer of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from malic acid breakdown to Rubisco within the same cell, thus obviating diffusional limitations to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ across the leaf. This could be particularly relevant for CAM plants such as Clusia which generally show enhanced duration of phases II and IV compared to many other CAM species. Whilst, the well differentiated tissue layers within the leaves of Clusia and Peperomia, could be related to the physiological plasticity and capacity to switch between C3 and CAM (Kluge, 1987; Cushman and Bohnert, 1999) differences between the two genus' in terms of the relative contributions that the different mesophyll layers make to CAM functioning could reflect their different taxonomic backgrounds.

### 2.4.4 Stomatal size and density and photosynthetic physiology in Clusia

This chapter also tested the hypothesis that the potentially lower internal conductance within CAM leaves could be countered by the possession of more and/or bigger stomata on the leaves of CAMperforming Clusia species in comparison with C3 Clusia species. The correlation matrix was also queried to examine any relationships between the mode of photosynthesis and stomatal traits within Clusia (Table 2.6). A significant negative correlation between the magnitude of CAM and stomatal density $\left(R^{2}=0.868\right)$ was revealed whist a significant positive correlation $\left(R^{2}=0.714\right)$ was noted for stomatal area and the magnitude of CAM. Thus, whilst stomata are present in lower densities in the CAM species, these species have larger guard cells and larger stomatal areas compared to the C3 species. A recent study of stomatal size and density in the leaves of Eucalyptus globulus growing along a rainfall gradient revealed a similar negative relationship between stomatal density and stomatal size (Franks et al., 2009).The compromise between stomatal size and density is related to the limitation of the leaf area allocated to stomata. Thus, Franks et al (2009) suggested that taking into account the leaf area limitation, there is a point when the only way to increase stomatal conductance is by decreasing stomatal size and increasing density, since increasing stomatal conductance by increasing size will require a greater percentage of leaf surface allocated to stomata and a higher change in the leaf area. In the case of Clusia, with the increase in leaf succulence and low leaf area:volume ratio as CAM expression is increased, this relationship might be expected. However, the results of this thesis show the opposite trend in that more succulent leaves present larger stomata in lower densities. Furthermore,

Hetherington and Woodward (2003) suggested that smaller stomata are better at improving WUE, due to their more rapid response to changes in environmental conditions such as humidity, and thus the possession of smaller stomata might have been predicted for CAM-performing Clusias. However, Franks et al (2009) suggest that that there is an additional metabolic cost in the production of more smaller stomata for a given leaf area and it has been shown that high stomatal conductance is accompanied by high guard cell respiration rates in cotton (Srivastava et al., 1995). This extra cost could be compensated for with high $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation rates but only if environmental resources such as water and light are not limiting (Franks et al., 2009). Given that CAM species such as Clusia commonly inhabit water-limited environments and given the extra energetic costs associated with CAM, the possession of larger stomata in lower densities might be the most appropriate strategy in terms of resource use.

Calculating the theoretical maximum stomatal conductance to water, based on stomatal size and density, indicated that Clusia plants should loss more water (measured as a $\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) than they really do. The values of theoretical stomatal conductance for water vapour, obtained using the model of (Lawson et al., 1998) were much higher than those measured directly with the BINOS system. The discrepancy between theoretical and measured gH 2 O was higher for CAM plants than for C 3 (Figure 2.14). This result implys that low IAS, could also impact on the diffusion of water vapour from leaves (a similar result was found by Kaiser (2009)), giving an advantage for CAM plants under drought and high irradiance conditions. Larger stomata could signify a benefit for CAM plants in terms of enhancing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ influx but could also lead to potentially higher water loss (modeled Gs) as in C. rosea. Such observations raise the question as to whether the stomata of CAM plants respond faster (open/close) than those of C3 plants, to environmental variables like light and humidity, and could this be a key factor in optimizing WUE? Assmann and Wang (2001) found that smaller stomata are more sensitive to a decrease in leaf water potential, whereas larger stomata were more sensitive to an increase in leaf water potential. Furthermore, the correlation is opposite when the width of guard cells was examined. Thus, wider guard cells might confer a higher capacity to detect decreases in humidity, which is more important in dry environments. The responses of stomata in CAM and C3 Clusia species to light quality and environmental perturbations will be the explored in the next chapter of this thesis.

# Chapter 3: Stomatal Responses to Light in C3 and CAM species of Clusia 

### 3.1 Introduction

High water use efficiency and optimum carbon gain should be selected for in plants found in habitats that typically experience low humidity and soil water availability (Givnish, 1988; Chapin et al., 1993; Kozlowski and Pallardy, 2002). Stomata are directly implicated in this dual process of optimizing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ use (Assmann, 1993; Blatt, 2000) and variations in stomatal density, size and functionality might be expected to reflect the environment inhabited by the plant.

Previous studies have indicated that, in general, more succulent species which are expected to tolerate drought stress, show lower stomatal density than less succulent species (Sayed, 1998; Lüttge et al., 2007). Nevertheless, other studies have reported variable results, ie: a reduction in stomatal index in Caltha palustris under drought conditions (Quarrie and Jones, 1977) but no alterations in stomatal index were observed after water stress in groundnut (Clifford et al., 1995). It is clear that there is a strong relationship between environmental conditions and stomatal size and density, and the responses are variable between species. In Chapter 2 of this thesis, data supporting the presence of larger stomata in lower densities in CAM Clusia plants compared with C3 Clusia plants were presented suggesting that this trait might reflect the fact that CAM plants are adapted to tolerate drought stress.

Russo et al. (2010) found a negative correlation between stomatal size and WUE in tropical plants that experience different nutrient availability, high humidity and low light irradiance. However, in the previous chapter of this thesis, I presented a positive relationship between stomatal size and WUE between 8 species of Clusia. Since larger stomata do not appear to be the best strategy for water preservation, the question is posed as to whether the larger stomata of CAM-performing Clusia plants respond faster (open/close) to environmental variables like light and humidity than those of C3 Clusias, and could this result in a higher rate of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ intake and lower water loss? Assmann and Wang (2001) found that smaller stomata were more sensitive to a decrease in leaf water potential, whereas bigger stomata were more sensitive to the increase of leaf water potential. Furthermore, the correlation is opposite when width of guard cells was examined. Wider guard cells might confer a higher capacity to detect decreases in humidity, which is more important in dry environments. Also, in general, larger cells are a consequence of a larger genome (Beaulieu et al., 2008). One theory for CAM evolution
suggests genome duplication (Cushman, 2001). Large stomata may be a reflection of a larger CAM genome, so did the potential for greater water loss from larger stomata act as a selective pressure for CAM evolution? To address such questions, in this chapter I will evaluate and compare the responses of stomata to light signals in a C3 and a CAM species of Clusia.

Mechanisms that might underpin the contrasting responses to light that are known for CAM and C3 stomata (i.e. day-time stomatal closure in CAM plants as compared to day-time stomatal opening in C3 plants) will be examined. Stomatal opening is induced by light, including blue and red light. Blue light acts as a direct signal activating the plasma membrane $\mathrm{H}^{+}$-ATPase (Kinoshita et al., 2001; Briggs and Christie, 2002) driving $\mathrm{K}^{+}$uptake. Red light acts as an energy source and drives photosynthesis in mesophyll and guard cell chloroplasts, thereby causing a decrease in internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration which is also believed to act as a signal for stomatal movements (Roelfsema and Hedrich, 2005). Carotenoids, flavins and pterins are known as photoreceptors in plants (Horwitz and Berrocal, 1997) and the pigment zeaxanthin, which absorbs mainly blue light (Quinones et al., 1996), has also been proposed as a guard cell photoreceptor (Zeiger and Zhu, 1998; Zeiger et al., 2002; Talbott et al., 2003)

An investigation on stomatal responses to light in the facultative CAM plant Mesembryanthemum crystallinum, showed a loss of stomatal response to blue and white light (but not red; (Tallman et al., 1997). The same study also demonstrated an inhibition of the guard cell xanthophylls cycle during the light in CAM-performing M. crystallinum and suggested this as a key mechanism involved in the regulation of stomatal movements during the shift from C3 to CAM (Tallman et al., 1997). It has also been suggested that in CAM plants, the high affinity of PEPC for $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ results in low $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations within the mesophyll $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ at night and that this is responsible for stomatal opening at night (Meidner H, 1968; Raschke et al., 1976). However, some authors (Farquhar et al., 1978; Wong et al., 1978; Morison and Jarvis, 1983; Ramos and Hall, 1983) state that the sensitivity of stomata to changes in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is low and in some cases stomata have been shown to be unresponsive to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in well watered plants but more responsive in the presence of water stress, ABA , $\mathrm{Ca}+$ and changes in diurnal rhythms of light or temperature.

Changes in photoperiod length can also influence stomatal movements (Lee, 2010). Work with Kalanchoë blossfeldiana, showed that the induction of CAM by photoperiod (shorter days) coincided with the day/night reversal of stomatal movements, compared to C3 plants (Brulfert and Queiroz, 1982). Initial opening of stomata in the dark could be mediated by phytochrome in the form $\mathrm{P}_{\text {fr }}$ activating the plasma membrane $\mathrm{H}+$ pumps (Taiz, 2002), with $\mathrm{K}^{+}$entering the guard cells and malate being synthesized at the same time in the mesophyll via PEPC. Malate is transported to the guard cells and maintains the turgor pressure to keep stomata open. A study in Portulacaria afra, a C3/CAM
intermediate, demonstrated that stomatal guard cells responded to blue and red light in the C 3 mode but the blue light response was lost when the plants performed CAM, suggesting that the signalling pathways involved in stomatal responses to light quality are modified and/or inhibited when CAM is induced in P. afra (Lee and Assmann, 1992). Another study (Talbott et al., 2002) demonstrated that the orchid Papiphelidum has stomata that responded to blue light but lacked a response to red light, probably due to the lack of guard cell chloroplasts for photosynthesis. This response was reversed by green light and far red light suggesting the involvement of phytochrome in the stomatal opening. Furthermore, the xanthophylls cycle was still found to be operating in the guard cells of this orchid. In conclusion, the direct response of stomata to blue light in CAM plants may have less to do with the xanthophylls cycle and more to do with the way photoreceptors like phytochrome and cryptochrome are linked to the circadian clock.

In CAM plants, the circadian clock controls net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake via post-transcriptional regulation of PEPC by its dedicated kinase (PEPC kinase; (Wilkins, 1992; Nimmo, 1998). Day/night changes in PEPC activation are important in directing carbon flux in the CAM pathway along with changes in stomatal behaviour, fluctuations in carbohydrate storage and organic acids content (Cushman, 2001). All of these processes are subject to circadian control (Borland and Taybi, 2004) and are also responsible for the high WUE exhibited by CAM plants (Drennan and Nobel, 2000). Thus, circadian control of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal behaviour in CAM plants is likely a critical selective trait influencing fitness of these plants that typically inhabit water-limited environments.

The aim of this chapter was to evaluate and compare stomatal responses to blue (400-450 nm) and red (650-700nm) light in Clusia rosea a constitutive CAM plant and in Clusia multiflora a constitutive C3 plant. Furthermore, I will test the hypothesis that the circadian control of stomatal conductance and gas exchange in Clusia CAM plants is more robust than that in C3 plants.

In order to address the questions posed above, the following hypotheses were constructed:
$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}$ : The circadian control of stomatal conductance is more robust in CAM than in C3 Clusia plants
$\mathbf{P}_{1}$ : Since CAM species of Clusia have larger stomata than C3 species (Chapter 2) it is predicted that the clock will exert a stronger control over stomatal conductance in CAM plants, thereby reducing water loss and enhancing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake. In other words, the CAM Clusia will maintain a conserved rhythm and unaltered period of leaf gas exchange under constant light.
$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ : The circadian control of stomatal conductance in Clusia is mediated via photoreceptors rather than via metabolism (photosynthesis).
$\mathbf{P}_{2}$ : If the hypothesis is true, the circadian rhythm of leaf gas exchange in Clusia will be altered under blue light but will remain unaltered under red light. This is because the response to blue light is a direct light signal perceived via photoreceptors that changes the membrane potential causing the stomatal movements. On the other hand, stomatal movements in response to red light are believed to emanate from change in Ci due to photosynthesis in the mesophyll and guard cells. Furthermore, it is predicted that responses to blue light will be more marked in C3 than in CAM plants based on the well documented response of guard cells to light in C3 plants which open stomata during the day.
$\mathbf{H}_{3}$ : Circadian control of stomatal conductance is important to maintain high WUE in CAM Clusia (C. rosea) compared with C3 Clusia (C. multiflora).
$\mathbf{P}_{3}$ : Any alterations in rhythms of stomatal conductance under constant light conditions will have negative consequences on WUE (lower values). This effect is expected to be stronger in C. rosea than in C. multiflora, since water preservation is an central feature of CAM metabolism, and circadian control is central to the operation of CAM (Drennan and Nobel, 2000; Borland and Taybi, 2004).
$\mathbf{H}_{4}$ : Stomata of the CAM Clusia (C. rosea) respond differently to changes in light intensity in terms of speed of opening and closing, compared with stomata of the C3 Clusia (C. multiflora).
$\mathbf{P}_{4}$ : Initially it might be predicted that stomata of C. rosea respond more slowly to changes in light intensity compared to those of $C$. multiflora, because the CAM stomata are usually open during the dark and also because the larger guard cells of CAM stomata will take longer to experience changes in turgor pressures needed to open and close the pore. However, knowing, that stomata of some CAM plants have not lost the response to light (Talbott et al., 2002), it could also be possible that stomata of C. rosea have a faster response to changes in light intensity, due to the need to preserve water and maintain high water use efficiency.

### 3.2 Materials and methods

For the following experiments, the constitutive CAM plant, Clusia rosea and the constitutive C3 plant Clusia multiflora were compared. Cuttings of each species were taken from plants growing at Moorbank Botanic Garden, Newcastle University. Rooted cuttings that were between 1-2 years old and about $80 \mathrm{~cm}-100 \mathrm{~cm}$ in height were taken from Moorbank to a growth chamber with a 12 hour photoperiod, $65-75 \%$ relative humidity, $250-300 ~ \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ light intensity at plant height and day/night
temperature of $27 / 18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Plants were kept in those conditions for 2-4 weeks for acclimation before experiments commenced.

### 3.2.1 Gas exchange under different light regimes

Leaf gas exchange parameters that included net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake ( $\mu$ molm- ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), stomatal conductance ( $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{m} ~ \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ ) and transpiration rate $\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ ) were measured using a compact mini cuvette system, Central Unit CMS-400 with BINOS- 100 infra-red gas analyzer, working in open mode (Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany). A fully expanded leaf was clamped in the cuvette, ensuring it received full light of $250 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Temperature was tracked according to the conditions of the growth room or kept constant at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under constant illumination for the circadian studies. Data were recorded every 15 minutes. The measurements were made under dark/light 24 h cycles for two days before imposing the different light treatments. The treatments included two days under constant white light (400-700 nm), two days under constant red light or two days under constant blue light with temperature held at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The light treatments were imposed using an external adjustable fibre optic light source (KL 2500LCD, Schott, Mainz, Germany) giving $250 \mu \mathrm{molm}-\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ in all the cases and employing Lee filters to impose blue (400-450 nm: filter number 165) and red (650-700nm: filter number 182) light, and far red light $\left(18 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ treatment as well. In addition, low intensity blue light ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{~s}$ ${ }^{1}$ ) was applied after a period of 24-48 hours darkness, to evaluate the specific response of stomatal photoreceptors to the light (i.e. avoiding possible influence of photosynthetic metabolism on stomatal movements). Finally, to test if the reduced response of $C$. multiflora to red light was due to the absence of blue light stimuli, a mixture ( $250 \mu \mathrm{molm}-{ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) of red light with a background of blue light (the same filter used before, Lee filters number 165 ( $400-450 \mathrm{~nm}$ covering all the sides of the cuvette) was examined. Each of the gas exchange profiles presented is representative of 2-3 replicated runs.

### 3.2.2 Speed of response of stomata to changes in light intensity

Measurements of gas exchange parameters were made for a complete 24 h light/dark cycle for leaves of C. rosea and C. multiflora. Leaves were then exposed to an external source of light (250 $\mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) for 30 min during the dark period; at 23:00 h for $C$. multiflora and at $06: 00 \mathrm{~h}$ for $C$. rosea. During the light period, the light was turned off for 30 min between 12:00-15:00 h for $C$. multiflora and between 18:00-19:00 h for C. rosea. Stomatal responses to light on and light off were tracked and
recorded at 1 minute intervals over a 30 minute period. The changes in stomatal conductance $\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\left(\mathrm{mmolm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake $\mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) were plotted and the slope of the linear part of curve was calculated as: (dmax $\left.\mathrm{gH} 2 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{dt}\left(\mathrm{mmolm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)\right)$ to provide an indication of the speed of closing and opening of stomata.

Finally, in order to establish if the guard cells of stomata in Clusia have chloroplasts, peels from the abaxial epidermis of leaves from eight species with contrasting photosynthetic physiologies (see chapter 2) were taken, using a sharp blade. The epidermal peels were mounted on a glass microscope slide with a drop of water plus cover slip on top and viewed under the fluorescence microscope (Leica DM RB). Pictures were taken at 40 X magnification.

### 3.3 Results

### 3.3.1 Gas exchange profiles under different light regimes

Patterns of stomatal conductance to water vapour $\left(\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake were measured under light/dark cycles and then monitored under constant white light (Fig. 3.1). Stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake continued to oscillate in both species under constant white light. However, the timing of the rhythm was altered more in the CAM species (Figure 3.1 a,) compared to the C 3 (Figure 3.1 b ). Under constant white light, stomata remained open over the subjective day in the CAM species C. rosea, and the plants took up more $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ over the subjective day, compared to plants under the light/dark cycles (Fig. 3.1a). Also, stomatal conductance and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation were closely coupled in the C3 C. multiflora but in the CAM species C. rosea, peaks in stomatal conductance seemed to precede peaks in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation under constant white light.

Under conditions of constant red light, C. rosea maintained coupled rhythms of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and $\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ however the C 3 species did not maintain this rhythm and once stomata closed after 12 hours of red light, they did not re-open (Fig. 3.2). For the CAM species, red light elicited higher values of stomatal conductance to those measured under the preceding light/dark cycle and the period of maximal net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake was moved to the subjective day.

Under constant blue light ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), for the CAM species, net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and gH 2 O continued to oscillate for over 48 h and higher stomatal conductance and rates of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake were measured during subjective day time compared to that under normal light/dark conditions (Figure 3.3 a). In contrast, the C 3 species showed reduced rates of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and lower stomatal conductance
under blue light compared to those measured under the light/dark cycle and the rhythm was lost after approximately 24 hours in constant blue light (Figure 3.3b).

Switching from light/dark conditions to constant dark maintained oscillations in stomatal conductance in both species and in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake in the CAM species (Fig. 3.4a, b). Moving then to constant low intensity ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) blue light maintained the rhythm in stomatal conductance in both species whilst the rhythm in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake was damped. Moving from darkness to low intensity blue light increased maximum stomatal conductance in the C 3 species for the first 24 h cycle. In the CAM species, there was no change in maximal stomatal conductance when shifted from darkness to low intensity blue light, but stomata remained open for longer periods under constant low blue light, compared to the situation in constant darkness (Fig 3.4a).

Under constant red light, C. multiflora was unable to maintain a rhythm in stomatal conductance or net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake (see Fig 3.2b) To test that this loss in rhythm was due to the absence of blue light, the plants were shifted from light/dark to dark then to red ( $250 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) with a blue background (Figure 3.5). Under constant red + blue light, C. rosea presented oscillations in both stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake with higher values of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance compared to those in dark/light, but shifted to the subjective day (Figure 3.5 a). $C$. multiflora showed similar patterns in oscillations of stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake under constant red + blue light as in dark/light, but with much higher values for $\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake for the first 24 h in constant red+ blue light (Figure 3.5 b ), supporting the initial idea of the necessity of a blue light to stimulate the C 3 stomata to open. However, the oscillations in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance became damped in the C 3 species after 24 h of red + blue light.


Figure 3.1. a, b Net CO 2 uptake ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{m}-2 \mathrm{~s}-1$ closed circles) and stomatal conductance gH 2 O (mmol $\mathrm{m}-2 \mathrm{~s}-1$ open triangles) for C. rosea (a) and C. multiflora (b). Curves in green represent gas exchange under regular 12h dark/light; in orange under 24 h constant white light, both at $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}-2 \mathrm{~s}-1$. On the x -axes, dark bars represent night, open bars day and grey bars subjective night. Integrated transpiration $\left(\mathrm{molH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake $\left(\mathrm{mmolCO}_{2}\right)$ and instantaneous Water use efficiency $\left(\mathrm{mmolCO}_{2} / \mathrm{molH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ in C . rosea (c) and C. multiflora (d) for 24 h periods under Dark/light ( $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ ) followed by constant white light (WL). $n=3$


Figure 3.2. a,b Net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ closed circles) and stomatal conductance $\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ open triangles) for C. rosea (a) and C. multiflora (b). Curves in green represent gas exchange under regular 12 h dark/light, in red under 24 h constant red light ( $650-700 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), both at $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. On the x-axes, dark bars represent night, open bars day and grey bars subjective night. c,d Integrated transpiration $\left(\mathrm{molH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake $\left(\mathrm{mmolCO}_{2}\right)$ and instantaneous Water use efficiency ( $\mathrm{mmolCO} 2 / \mathrm{molH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) in C. rosea (c) and C. multiflora (d) for 24 h periods under Dark/light (D/L) followed by constant red light (RL). $\mathrm{n}=3$


Figure 3.3. a,b Net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ closed circles) and stomatal conductance $\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (mmol $\mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ open triangles) for C. rosea (a) and C. multiflora (b). Curves in green represent gas exchange under regular 12 h dark/light, in blue under 24 h constant blue light ( $400-450 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), both at $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. On the x-axes the solid bars represent night, open bars day and grey bars subjective night. c,d Integrated transpiration $\left(\mathrm{molH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake $\left(\mathrm{mmolCO}_{2}\right)$ and instantaneous Water use efficiency $\left(\mathrm{mmolCO}_{2} / \mathrm{molH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ in C . rosea (c) and C. multiflora (d) for 24 h periods under Dark/light (D/L) followed by constant blue light (BL) ( $250 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). $\mathrm{n}=3$


Figure 3.4 a,b Net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ closed circles) and Stomatal conductance $\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (mmol m${ }^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ open triangles) for C. rosea (a) and C. multiflora (b). Curves in green represent gas exchange under regular 12 h dark/light, in black under 24 h constant dark, in blue under 24 h constant low level blue light ( $400-450 \mathrm{~nm} ; 15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), , On the x -axes the solid bars represent night, open bars day and grey bars subjective night. c,d Integrated transpiration $\left(\mathrm{molH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake $\left(\mathrm{mmolCO}_{2}\right)$ and instantaneous Water use efficiency $\left(\mathrm{mmolCO} 2 / \mathrm{molH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ in C. rosea (c) and C. multiflora (d) for 24 h periods under Dark/light (D/L) followed by constant low blue light $\left(15 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)(\mathrm{LBL})$, dark and red light $\left(250 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ with blue background (RBB). $n=3$


Figure 3.5 Net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ closed circles) and stomatal conductance $\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-2}\right.$-1 open triangles) for C. rosea (a) and C. multiflora (b). Curves in green represent gas exchange under regular 12h dark/light, next in black under constant dark, next in purple under constant 24 h red light $\left(250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ with a background of blue light. On the x -axes the solid bars represent night, open bars day and grey bars subjective night.

The distance between the maximum values for net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance were measured under 48 h dark/light and under the constant light regimes to establish period length and to see if there were alterations in period length in the CAM and C3 species under the contrasting light treatments. C. multiflora and $C$. rosea both presented a period of 24 h under the dark/light regime. $C$. rosea showed shorter periods under constant white, red and blue light, but $C$. multiflora presented a shorter period just under white light, and near to 24 h under red and blue light. Under low blue light $C$. rosea kept the same period of 24 h but $C$. multiflora presented a longer period 25 h (while the cycle rhythm was maintained Table 3.1).

Table 3.1. Distance in hours (period length) for peaks of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance under regular 48 hour light/dark and under constant 48 hours, in white Wl , red Rl and blue Bl light ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) and low blue light ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) for $C$. rosea and $C$. multiflora. Distance was measured during the first 48 hours under the light treatment and is the result of 3 replicates.

|  | C. rosea |  | C. multiflora |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | Stomatal <br> condutance <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | Stomatal <br> condutance <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ |
| Dark/light | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| White light | $17.5 \pm 4.77$ | 18 | 19 | 19 |
| Red light | $20.5 \pm 4.84$ | $21 \pm 3.03$ | 24 | 24 |
| Blue light | $16 \pm 4.45$ | 19 | 24 | - |
| Low blue | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 |

It is interesting to know how circadian oscillations in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance under the contrasting light regimes impacted on water use efficiency. WUE was calculated for 24 h periods under the different light regimes for both species (Table 3.2). Under the usual light/dark cycle, the CAM species presented a higher WUE ( $5.5 \mathrm{mmolCO}_{2} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) compared to the C 3 species ( 2.75 $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{mol}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. However, under constant light conditions, the CAM C. rosea presented lower values of WUE compared to those under the standard day/night cycle with values obtained much closer to those of the C 3 species $C$. multiflora. In the CAM species, alterations in the timing of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance which shifted to the subjective day under constant light converted the CAM species into a 'C3 species' in terms of the preservation of water, at least for short periods. On the other hand, the C 3 species C. multiflora showed lower values of WUE just under low blue light and darkness, whilst under the other light regimes (high intensity red or blue), the values for WUE were similar (white red with blue background) to those under dark/light cycle. The maintenance of WUE under constant light conditions in C. multiflora might be related to the robust control of period length (Table 3.1) in the C3 species compared to the CAM C. rosea.

Table 3.2 Water use efficiency $\left(\mathrm{mmolCO}_{2} / \mathrm{molH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ for a 24 h period, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake (A) and transpiration (E) for C. rosea and C.multiflora under different light regimes: Dark/light (D/L), constant white light(WL), constant red light (RL) and constant blue light (BL) $\left(250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}\right.$ ), low blue light ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) (LBL) and red light ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) with blue background (RBB).

| C. rosea | $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Om}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | A ( $\mathrm{mmolm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { WUE } \\ \left(\mathrm{mmolCO}_{2} / \mathrm{mol}\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L | 0.017 | 0.087 | 5.189 |
| D/L | 0.014 | 0.082 | 5.787 |
| WL | 0.034 | 0.163 | 4.823 |
| WL | 0.043 | 0.179 | 4.143 |
| D/L | 0.023 | 0.104 | 4.606 |
| RL | 0.061 | 0.181 | 2.945 |
| RL | 0.061 | 0.187 | 3.079 |
| D/L | 0.024 | 0.137 | 5.651 |
| D/L | 0.023 | 0.125 | 5.402 |
| BL | 0.059 | 0.212 | 3.570 |
| BL | 0.052 | 0.179 | 3.443 |
| D/L | 0.023 | 0.076 | 3.268 |
| Dark | 0.011 | 0.025 | 2.316 |
| LBL | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.968 |
| LBL | 0.024 | 0.030 | 1.239 |
| RBB | 0.037 | 0.078 | 2.109 |
| RBB | 0.041 | 0.096 | 2.356 |
| C. multiflora |  |  |  |
| D/L | 0.019 | 0.055 | 2.900 |
| D/L | 0.020 | 0.053 | 2.632 |
| WL | 0.020 | 0.054 | 2.628 |
| D/L | 0.022 | 0.051 | 2.351 |
| RL | 0.011 | 0.029 | 2.721 |
| RL | 0.002 | 0.006 | 2.757 |
| D/L | 0.022 | 0.051 | 2.351 |
| BL | 0.008 | 0.031 | 3.895 |
| BL | 0.003 | 0.011 | 4.110 |
| D/L | 0.016 | 0.031 | 1.910 |
| D/L | 0.017 | 0.033 | 1.875 |
| LBL | 0.021 | 0.022 | 1.037 |
| LBL | 0.010 | 0.012 | 1.208 |
| Dark | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.258 |
| RBB | 0.023 | 0.054 | 2.356 |

### 3.3.2 Speed of response of stomata to light

To test the hypothesis that larger stomata respond more slowly to changes in light intensity (i.e. either switching lights off during the photoperiod or switching lights on during the dark), measurements of stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake were recorded every minute following lights off or on and the slope of the linear part of the response was estimated. The larger stomata of the CAM species $C$. rosea responded faster than stomata of the C3 species C. multiflora when lights were switched off (stomatal closure) or on (stomatal re-opening) during the photoperiod (Figs 3.8a,b, 3.9a,b Table 3.3). However, this difference between species in stomatal kinetics was not observed at night. Stomata of the C3 C. multiflora opened faster when an external light was applied during the night compared to when the light was reapplied flowing a period of darkness during the day (Table 3.3 and Figure 3.6 ). Moreover, in C. multiflora, turning the light on at night had a negligible effect on net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake suggesting that the stomatal response to light imposed at night in the C 3 species was as a direct effect on photoreceptors rather than an indirect effect mediated via photosynthesis. Turning lights on during the dark period resulted in an increase in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake in the CAM species but stomatal conductance showed either a slow increase (Fig 3.8c) or no change, followed by a drop then a gradual increase (fig 3.8d). When this artificially imposed light was subsequently turned off at night, net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake dropped rapidly then increased rapidly and continued to rise (Figs 3.8c,d) until the lights came on naturally in the growth room at the end of the dark period when both net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance declined in the CAM species (Figs 3.8 ,d). Such responses in the CAM species may be attributed to the operation of PEPC and/or some other internal signal that overrides responses to light treatments imposed at night/start of the day.


Figure 3.6. Changes in rates of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake (closed circles) and stomatal conductance (open triangles) in the CAM species $C$. rosea after an external light source ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) was turned on (red arrows) and turned off (black arrows) either during the day ( $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ ) or night ( $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ). Data were recorded at 1 minute intervals. In figure d the red circle highlights how the stomata closed after the external lights came on at the start of the photoperiod in the growth room. (For explanation of negative stomatal condunctance values, see the text).


Figure 3.9. Changes in rates of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake (closed circles) and stomatal conductance (open triangles) in the C3 species $C$. multiflora after an external light source ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) was turned on (red arrows) and turned off (black arrows) either during the day (a 15:30, b 11:30) or night (c-d, 22:30-23:00). Data were recorded at 1 minute intervals. (For explanation of negative stomatal condunctance values, see the text).

Table 3.3. Rates (slope of the linear part of the curves corresponding to figures 3.8 and 3.9) of stomatal opening after the light ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) was turned on and stomatal closing after the light was turned off during natural dark (phase I for C. rosea and night time for C. multiflora) and natural light (phase IV for C. rosea and day time for C. multiflora). Degree of opening and closing was indicated by stomatal conductance $\left(\mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ : mmol H2O m-2 s-1). Temperature was $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at night and $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the day.

| Light on | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. rosea } \\ \Delta g H_{2} O: \text { mmol } \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{\prime} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | C. multiflora <br> $\Delta \mathrm{gH}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Phase IV | Phase I | Day | Night |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.66 \text { (a) } \\ & 6.5 \text { (b) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.87(c) \\ -1.9(d) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.33(a) \\ & 0.39(b) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.94(\mathrm{c}) \\ & 2.22(\mathrm{~d}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Light off | $\begin{aligned} & -11.89 \text { (a) } \\ & -17.39 \text { (b) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.09_{(\mathrm{c})} \\ & 6.29_{(\mathrm{d})} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.49 a) \\ & -4.1(\mathrm{~b}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.41_{(c)} \\ & -2.08(d) \end{aligned}$ |

### 3.3.3 Stomatal guard cell chloroplasts

There was evidence to indicate the presence of chloroplasts in guard cells of eight species of Clusia with contrasting photosynthetic physiologies. The species examined were C. hilariana, C. rosea, C. alata, C. lanceolata, C. aripoensis, C. grandiflora, C. tocuchensis and C. multiflora. Due to difficulties in obtaining good quality and thin epidermal peels from fresh leaves, the quality of the pictures is not enough to quantify the number of chloroplasts in the guard cells of the different species, or to compare with the number of chloroplasts in mesophyll cells. However, the fluorescence emission seems to be associated with guard cells in the leaves of all species, suggesting the presence of chloroplasts in guard cells of stomata of Clusia plants (Figure 3.8)


Figure 3.8 Pictures of epidermal peelings from the abaxial leaf surfaces of eight Clusia species under fluorescence microscope at 40x magnification. Bright dots in red indicate the presence of chloroplasts as a result of chlorophyll fluorescence. $n=3$

### 3.4 Discussion

### 3.4.1. Circadian control of stomatal conductance is more robust in the CAM Clusia compared to the C3 Clusia

Light intensity and temperature are some of the most influential environmental factors controlling circadian rhythms in plants (Bohn et al., 2001). Circadian oscillations in stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake were maintained for longer in the CAM C. rosea compared to the C 3 C. multiflora under the contrasting light regimes so in that regard, hypothesis 1 was proved to be correct (i.e. more robust clock control over stomata in the CAM species). However, circadian control of stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake was more responsive to light quality in C. rosea, the CAM species, than in C. multiflora, the C3 species, in terms of shifts in period length. Under constant conditions of white light, red light and blue light, C. rosea presented a higher stomatal conductance
shifted to the day time and a higher integrated net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake during the subjective day compared to that measured under light/dark. C. multiflora, showed the same or lower values for stomatal conductance and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake under the continuous light regimes compared to dark/light. This suggests that the circadian clock in $C$. rosea is more responsive (in comparison to the C. multiflora clock) to light signals, which modify clock control over stomatal conductance and the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake rhythm.

It has been reported that PEPC is regulated by reversible phosphorylation in response to light in C3 and C4 plants but to a circadian oscillator in CAM plants through the control of PEPC kinase activity (Carter et al., 1991; Hartwell et al., 1996). It is also known that minimal PEPC activity corresponds to maximal $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ levels in CAM plants (Grams et al., 1997), and some studies report that PEPC activation remains rhythmical at different light intensities but variations in the light intensity can alter malate accumulation through C 3 photosynthesis with subsequent effects on $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ratios (Bohn et al., 2001). Alterations in PEPC activation status under constant light could be generating the shifts in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance that are observed in C. rosea under the contrasting light regimes but not in C. multiflora. The involvement of PEPC and metabolites such as malate in modulating period length for stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake under different environmental scenarios could confer a degree of flexibility for the clock in CAM plants which may serve to optimise the use of resources under potentially limiting environments (Borland and Taybi, 2004).

In conclusion, with reference to hypothesis 1, circadian control of stomatal conductance was found to be more robust in the CAM species C. rosea, (as compared to the C3 C. multiflora) in terms of the maintenance of stomatal opening and closing under constant conditions of white, red and blue light. However, the CAM species showed a more flexible response to differences in light quality by altering period length and the timing of maximal stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake.

### 3.4.2. Circadian control of stomatal conductance is mediated via photosynthesis and by photoreceptors in Clusia

The role of chloroplasts in stomatal guard cells of C 3 and C 4 plants in the fixation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is still in dispute. Some studies have reported that the reduction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration in guard cell chloroplasts via the Calvin cycle is insignificant (Outlaw, 1989; Reckmann et al., 1990), whilst others have suggested that guard cell photosynthesis could play a key role in sensing changes in the environment and signalling these changes to adjust stomatal aperture (Outlaw, 1989; Goh et al., 1999). Lawson et al. $(2002,2003)$ found that chloroplasts in guard cells of Commelina communis and

Tradescantia albiflora responded to internal but not ambient $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations. In the present work, under constant red light a more robust rhythm of stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake was observed in the CAM species compared to the C 3 species. Lower maximal values of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake were observed under red light in both species but whilst stomatal conductance was also lower under red light (compared to white light) in C. multiflora, in C. rosea stomatal conductance was significantly higher under red light compared to values measured under white light. This result indicates that stomata of the CAM C. rosea, might respond to changes (depletion) in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations in the mesophyll if PEPC remains active during the subjective day and/or there is both C3 and C4 photosynthesis occurring in the guard cells, which draw down $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and elicit an increase in stomatal conductance under red light. In principle this is possible since chloroplasts are present in the guard cells of this species (Figure 3.8).

Ogawa et al. (1978) found that blue light elicits an increase in stomatal opening when given over a background of saturating red light. That the stomata of the C 3 species $C$. multiflora showed a reduction in stomatal conductance under red light compared to white light, suggested that, in contrast to the CAM C. rosea, the C 3 species required the blue light stimulus to open the stomata and maintain the circadian rhythm under constant red light. This was proved to be correct since supplying red light with a blue light background for a period of 24 h elicited an increase in stomatal conductance in the C 3 species over that observed under white light. However, the response was damped beyond 24 h , perhaps due to an increase of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the mesophyll.

Under constant blue light ( $250 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake were lower for C. multiflora, but higher for C. rosea compared to dark/light. Moreover, as found under constant white and red light conditions, in C. rosea blue light elicted a shift in the rhythms of gas exchange with maximal sustained rates of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance shifted to the subjective day. Other studies (Sharkey, 1987; Zeiger, 1987, 1990) have demonstrated that stomata are more sensitive to low levels of blue and red light and those larger stomatal apertures are found under saturating blue as compared to saturating red light. The initial response of C. rosea to blue light ( 250 $\mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) was presumably a photosynthetic/metabolic response rather than a direct response of the blue light photoreceptor, due to the high light intensity imposed. Under low level blue light ( $15 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-}$ ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), maximal stomatal conductance was increased (compared to that observed under darkness) in the C3 C. multiflora and the stomatal rhythm of opening and closing was maintained for at least 48 h , indicating the direct involvement of blue light photoreceptor(s) in circadian regulation of stomatal conductance in the C3 species. Whilst there was no increase in maximal stomatal conductance under low level blue light (compared to that observed under darkness) in the CAM C. rosea, stomatal behaviour was altered such that higher levels of stomatal conductance were maintained over the
subjective day and a robust rhythm of stomatal opening and closing was maintained for at least 48 h under low level blue light. This important observation indicates that the CAM Clusia has not lost the 'blue light' response that was reported for other CAM species (Lee and Assmann, 1992; Tallman et al., 1997). It is intriguing why the stomata of C. multiflora showed a very reduced response to high intensity blue light compared to the CAM Clusia. It has been proposed that the concentration of sugars (sucrose) and acids (malate) inside the guard cells is important for stomatal opening (Talbott and Zeiger, 1993). In the absence of red light for photosynthesis in the C 3 guard cells, this accumulation of sugars and malate may be curtailed and this might interfere with stomatal opening. Also, with reduced rates of net CO2 uptake in the mesophyll cells of $C$. multiflora under high intensity blue light internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations will stay stable (probably high) and stomatal conductance will be curtailed (Farquhar and Wong, 1984; Jarvis and Davies, 1998). A linear correlation between rates of guard cell and mesophyll photosynthesis has been found at the cellular level suggesting that guard cell photosynthetic activity can be a sensing mechanism connecting stomatal opening to mesophyll photosynthetic rate (Lawson et al., 2003). There may be other signals that are transduced from the mesophyll to the guard cells that link mesophyll photosynthesis with the control of stomatal opening (Kana and Miller, 1977; Lee and Bowling, 1995); (Wong et al., 1978).

In previous works ((Deitzer and Frosch, 1990) it has been shown that circadian rhythms in stomatal conductance are coupled with changes in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation and this behaviour is attributed to stomatal factors in the majority of the cases. In the present work, changes in the rhythm of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake were coupled with changes in stomatal conductance under all constant light regimes of both species but not under constant white light for C. rosea where peaks in stomatal conductance preceded the peaks in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake. Whilst the period length and timing of major peaks in stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake were unaltered in the C 3 species under constant light conditions, in the CAM species the timing and magnitude of peaks in stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake cycles changed after different light regimes were applied. With this result, it appeared that the stomata of C. rosea responded to light in terms of directly altered rates of photosynthesis and that some blue light photoreceptors were also implicated in circadian rhythm of stomatal conductance. Also, the response to light was not more marked in C. multiflora than in C. rosea as was predicted given the well documented response of guard cells to light in C3 plants which open stomata during the day. Although light may have direct (i.e. photoreceptor) and indirect (i.e. metabolic/photosynthetic) effects on stomatal behaviour, the processes may be coupled to each other via Ci; (Bohn et al., 2001). Thus, in terms of hypothesis 2 , it appears that the circadian control of stomatal conductance in CAM Clusia plants is controlled by photoreceptors and photosynthesis as well as other metabolic processes (e.g. malate fluctuation).

### 3.4.3. Water use efficiency is altered under constant light regimes in CAM Clusia (C. rosea)

By restricting the major period of stomatal opening and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake to the dark period when conditions are generally cooler and more humid than during the day, it has been proposed that the circadian clock plays a key role in optimising the WUE of CAM species (Borland et al., 2009). In comparing the integrated values for WUE over the 24 h light/dark cycle it was found that, as expected, the CAM species $C$. rosea showed a substantially higher WUE than the C3 C. multiflora. However, under the conditions of constant light (white, red, or blue) the CAM species C. rosea increased both stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake with the major periods for both $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and water loss shifted to the subjective day. This C 3 -like timing of the periods for net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and water loss meant that under constant light, the CAM C. rosea showed a 24 h WUE that was comparable to that of the C3 C. multiflora.

A study (Woerner and Martin, 1999) in Peperomia scandens (Bowler et al., 1994) and $P$. obtusifolia (C3) reported higher WUE of the CAM species in relation to that of the C3 species under a range of environmental settings and suggested that factors such as stomatal density in addition to stomatal opening during night time in CAM, might be involved in the maintaining of high WUE in CAM compared to closely-related C3 plants. The data in chapter 2 indicated that whilst stomatal density was lower in the CAM C. rosea compared to the C3 C. multiflora, the stomatal complex (including the aperture) was larger in the CAM species. In this regard it can be observed that the maximal values of stomatal conductance, particularly under conditions of constant light, were significantly higher in the CAM Clusia than in the C3 Clusia. The alterations in the rhythm of stomatal conductance, especially in C. rosea probably due to the overstimulation of the large stomata under constant light caused a imbalance in transpiration and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake (the stomata opened more fully in response to light which resulted in an increase in water loss that was not compensated for by the increase in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake) and the CAM Clusia was unable to maintain the same high level of WUE as in dark/light cycles. It is important to point out that all plants were maintained under well watered conditions so the finding that the increase in C gain under constant light was accompanied by greater water loss may have been acceptable in terms of maximising resource use. It would be interesting to determine if the clock elicited a greater control over WUE in the CAM C. rosea under conditions of constant light where water availability was limited.

Other studies have demonstrated that clock control over the phases of CAM can be modulated under different environmental conditions. (Winter et al., 2009) reported that changes in photon flux
density under natural conditions modulated the 24 h pattern and magnitude of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake pattern in C. rosea under well watered conditions such that under low PFD the main period of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake shifted from the night to the day (i.e. phase II) (Winter et al., 2009). That study demonstrated that the clock permits flexibility for modulating the day and night phases of CAM to modulate carbon gain but the implications for water use were not assessed. The present study indicates that by curtailing the major period of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake to the night period, CAM in Clusia plays a key role in water conservation in dry environments.

### 3.4.4 Stomata of the CAM Clusia responded faster to changes in PFD imposed during the photoperiod compared to the C3 Clusia

Based on the anatomical studies presented in chapter 2 which indicated that CAM species of Clusia possess larger stomatal guard cells than C3 species of Clusia it was hypothesised that the stomata of the different photosynthetic types would exhibit different kinetics in terms of the speed of stomatal responses to changes in PFD. The data obtained indicated that the larger stomata of the CAM species $C$. rosea responded faster than stomata of the C 3 species $C$. multiflora when lights were switched off (stomatal closure) or on (stomatal re-opening) during the photoperiod. This increased rate of response of the larger CAM stomata could be important for optimising carbon gain and water loss under changing environmental conditions at the start and end of the day. However, this difference between species in stomatal kinetics was not observed at night. Stomata of the C3 C. multiflora opened faster when an external light was applied during the night compared to when the light was reapplied flowing a short period of darkness during the day and the light-stimulated opening at night was independent of any change in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake. Other studies (Mansfield, 1963; Mansfield and Heath, 1963; Kana and Miller, 1977) have shown that the stomata of C3 plants open faster in response to a light pulse when given after longer periods of dark, particularly when the light is given towards the end of the natural night (i.e. towards the start of the subjective day). Such findings indicate clock control of stomatal kinetics in C3 plants that appear to be independent of photosynthesis.

The stomatal responses to light imposed at night were more complex in the CAM C. rosea. When lights were switched on at night, net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake increased but stomatal conductance in the CAM species either remained unchanged or increased slowly. Such findings suggest day/night differences in the signals that link stomatal behaviour to changes in light. When the lights were turned off again at night, a drop in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake was observed followed by a rapid increase in stomatal conductance, thus
found for the C3 Clusia at night, stomatal opening was not closely coupled to photosynthesis/metabolism. It was also observed that as the external lights in the growth room came on naturally at the end of the night, both stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake declined in the CAM $C$. rosea, indicating that the day/night CAM rhythm could override the previous perturbations in dark/light perturbations.

Since stomatal responses to light were different in the CAM Clusia plant C. rosea with respect to the C3 Clusia plant. C.multiflora and circadian control might be behind these responses, it is interesting to explore inside the molecular mechanisms under this. In the next chapter the circadian expression of four photoreceptors phototropine 1 , phototropine 2 , phytochrome A and cryptochrome 2 in $C$. rosea and $C$. multiflora will be compared under the same light regimes (constant white, red, blue, dark and also far red) with the purpose of comparing physiological results from gas exchange patterns and molecular results from transcript abundance of those phototropins.

# Chapter 4: Circadian regulation of the expression of photoreceptors in a C3 and CAM species of Clusia 

### 4.1 Introduction

It has previously been hypothesized that light signals are involved in the inhibition of stomatal opening during the day in CAM plants or in the shift from diurnal to nocturnal opening in CAM plants (Tallman et al., 1997). A study in Portulacaria afra a C3/CAM plant, demonstrated that stomatal guard cells responded to blue and red light when C3 photosynthesis occurred, but this response was lost when the plants performed CAM, suggesting that the signalling pathways involved in stomatal responses to light are modified and/or inhibited when CAM is induced in P. afra (Lee and Assmann, 1992). Tallman et al., (1997) also showed that upon the induction of CAM in Mesembryanthemum crystallinum the formation of zeaxanthin and stomatal opening in response to blue and white light was lost. In chapter 3 of this thesis, more robust circadian control of stomatal conductance was noted in the CAM species Clusia rosea and stomatal conductance was more responsive to light quality in the CAM than in the C3 Clusia. Taken together, the findings described above suggest that the signals/mechanisms which link stomatal responses to the circadian clock and to differences in light quality have diverged in the different photosynthetic modes. The aim of this chapter is to establish if these divergent responses are linked to differences between C3 and CAM species of Clusia in the circadian control of the expression of photoreceptors implicated in blue and red light responses.

The direct response of stomata to blue light has been conferred to the action of phototropine 1 and phototropine 2 (PHOT1 and PHOT2), blue light receptors that are located in the plasma membrane of the guard cells (Kinoshita et al., 2001; Sakamoto and Briggs, 2002). The PHOT receptors are membrane kinases that are activated by blue light (Briggs and Christie, 2002). Blue light prompts auto-phosphorylation of PHOT receptors, leading to activation of the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$-ATPase driving $\mathrm{K}^{+}$uptake (Roelfsema and Hedrich, 2005). It has been reported that there is a functional redundancy between photl and phot2, but it is possible that the sensitivity of each phototropin to light might be different. Experiments with mutants demonstrated that phot2 seems to function just at high light intensity (Briggs et al., 2007). Kinoshita et al. (2001) showed that single photl and phot2 Arabidopsis mutants responded
to blue light opening the stomata, but the aperture was slightly less than the wild type and stomata in the double photl phot2 mutant did not respond to blue light. In addition, the induction of stomatal opening through the activation of the ATP pump in the membrane of guard cells did not occur in the double photlphot2 mutant (Biggs et al 2005). The movement and accumulation of chloroplasts in mesophyll cells in Arabidopsis has been shown to be mediated by photl irrespective of the blue light intensity, whilst phot2 acted under low blue light fluence rates (Sakai et al., 2001; Kagawa and Wada, 2002; Wada et al., 2003). The movement of chloroplasts regulated by phototropins might be important in stomatal opening. Chloroplasts in mesophyll cells are accumulated under low blue light and this process is mediated by phototropins (Sakai et al., 2001). If chloroplasts are present in guard cells, chloroplast accumulation in the guard cells following the imposition of blue light might increase the photosynthetic activity (under red or white light) leading to accumulation of starch and sugars, changing the osmotic potential of guard cells and thus stimulating stomatal movements i.e. opening (Humble and Raschke, 1971; Mansfield, 1986; Serrano et al., 1988; Poffenroth et al., 1992) Work with the fern Adiantum capillus-veneris, showed that photl but not phot2, is involved in chloroplast movement under blue light induction (Kagawa et al., 2004).

Photoreceptors also play a key role in transducing information about the external light environment to the circadian clock. Two families of photoreceptors implicated in this aspect of circadian rhythms, are the Phytochromes and Cryptochromes (McClung, 2000). Phytochrome A (PHYA), encodes a pigment sensitive to red and far red light and in higher plants phytochrome is involved in the recognition of photoperiod length and in the regulation of circadian rhythms (Reed et al., 1994). PHYA responds to low levels of far red and red light and it is a photo labile molecule degrading after exposure to light (Toth et al., 2001). Some studies have reported conflicting results regarding phyA RNA accumulation in plants growing under dark/light cycles (Adam et al., 1994; Clack et al., 1994; Hauser et al., 1998). Recent work with microarrays in Arabidopsis show either rhythmic or arrhythmic changes in transcript abundance of PhyA (Harmer et al., 2000; Schaffer et al., 2001). Furthermore, a recent review (Lee, 2010) suggested that stomatal opening in CAM plants depends on circadian rhythms involving PHYA which could regulate ion fluxes and the electrical potential of the plasma membrane.

A further photoreceptor that could also be involved in stomatal opening is cryptochrome 2 (CRY2). In principle, cryptochrome is a blue light photoreceptor that controls germination, photoperiodism and circadian rhythms in plants. The CRY2 protein presents quick degradation upon blue light exposure and works mainly under low light intensities (Lin et al., 1998). Furthermore, cryptochrome has been reported to play a role in concert with phytochrome to entrain the circadian clock (Somers et al., 1998). Photoreceptor-specific null mutants in Arabidopsis have provided evidence
for the molecular interactions between PHYA, PHYB and CRY1 (Ahmad et al., 1998) and its dependence on light quality and intensity in signalling pathways (Casal and Mazzella, 1998).

Some works have suggested that phototropins, phytochromes and cryptochromes act together in the induction of stomatal opening. Kasahara et al (2004), showed that the "photA2photB1photB2-1 mutant" (photA and photB were named based on the deduced amino acids sequences of mRNA) of the moss Physcomitrella patens was unable to generate chloroplast movements and accumulation under red light. This unexpected result suggests that phototropins could be part of the signalling pathways for phytochrome-dependant chloroplast movements. Another study by (Mao et al., 2005) reported that CRY 1 and CRY 2 act in conjunction with PHOT1 and PHOT2 to mediate blue light induction of stomatal opening in Arabidopsis. This work also showed the role of another protein COP1 as a repressor of stomatal opening probably downstream of the signalling pathways of CRY and PHOT.

Nothing is currently known about the involvement of cryptochrome and little is known of the role of phytochrome (Talbott et al., 2003) or phototropins in stomatal movements in CAM plants. One way to start to tackle the role of photoreceptors in stomatal movements in CAM plants is to explore the way in which photoreceptors are linked to the clock in C3 and CAM plants. This can be approached by comparing 24 h patterns of transcript abundance of the different photoreceptors under different light regimes in closely related C3 and CAM species.

This chapter was thus centred on the following hypotheses:
$\mathbf{H}_{1}$ : The patterns of transcript abundance of phot 1 and phot 2 will be different in C. multiflora and C. rosea under 12h dark/12h light cycles and will present changes in response to different light regimes
$\mathbf{P}_{1}$ : Expression of these blue-light photoreceptors is predicted to be lower in the CAM species C. rosea compared with the C 3 species $C$. multiflora in order to prevent stomatal opening during the day time in the CAM species. Given the reported lack of a blue light response in the stomata of CAM plants, it is predicted that transcript abundance of phototropins will be less affected by the imposition of different light regimes in the CAM compared to the C3 Clusia.
$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Circadian expression of photoreceptors will be more robust in C. rosea than in C. multiflora.
$\mathbf{P}_{2.1}$ : Transcript abundance of cry2 and phyA is reported to vary at different points of the day/night cycle and peaks in abundance during the light period are expected (Toth et al., 2001) at least for $C$. multiflora the C 3 species. The rhythm is believed to be controlled by the circadian clock (persists under constant light).
$\mathbf{P}_{2.2}$ : Circadian control of the expression of phyA and cry2 might be stronger in C. rosea than in C. multiflora, based on previous results (Chapter 3) where circadian oscillations of carbon assimilation and stomatal conductance were maintained for longer in C. rosea under constant light regimes. Thus, the diel cycle of transcript abundance of these photoreceptors is expected to persist under constant light conditions in the CAM Clusia.
$\mathbf{H}_{3}$ : The amplitude of circadian oscillations in the transcript abundance of cry2 and phyA will be more responsive to the imposition of different light regimes in the CAM compared to the C3 Clusia
$\mathbf{P}_{3}$ : Circadian expression of phyA might be altered under the imposition of constant red and far red light because of the sensitivity of this photoreceptor to those wavelengths ( $650-700 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $700-800$ nm ). Expression of cry2 might be altered under the imposition of constant blue light because of the sensitivity of this photoreceptor to those wavelengths ( $400-450 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Given that stomatal conductance of the CAM Clusia was more responsive than the C3 Clusia in responding to different light treatments (Chapter 3) might suggest that transcript abundance of phyA and cry2 will be more responsive to light regime in the CAM compared to the C3 Clusia.
$\mathbf{H}_{4}$ : Alterations in the circadian rhythms at the gas exchange level (as noted in Chapter 3), will be reflected in diel changes in the content of leaf titratable acids.
$\mathbf{P}_{4}$ : Given that C. rosea presented alterations in the rhythm in stomatal conductance and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake under different constant light regimes, changes in the rhythm of accumulation of acids are expected, and also these changes are likely to present a concordant pattern with gas exchange. For the C3 species C. multiflora, non cyclical patterns in the accumulation of acids are predicted under the different light regimes.

### 4.2 Materials and methods

### 4.2.1 Plant material

The experiments described in this chapter were performed on excised leaves from 2-3 individual plants of the CAM species Clusia rosea and the C3 species C. multiflora. Plants were propagated and grown up as described previously (Chapters 2, 3).

### 4.2.2 Experimental set up: Light Treatments

Two leaves from two different individuals of each species were taken from plants in the growth room ( $12 \mathrm{~h} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ light/dark), cut in half to have enough material for all six light treatments and placed in moist petri dishes under constant white light ( $390-750 \mathrm{~nm}, 250 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), blue light ( $400-$ 450 nm : Lee filter number 165 at $15 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) red light (650-700nm: Lee filter number 182 at 12 $\mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) or far red light (70-800 nm: Lee filter number 87 at $18 \mu \mathrm{molm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) for a total of 48 h at 24 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the first 24 h under constant conditions, two leaf halves from different individuals of each species were sampled for RNA extraction and titratable acidity, at regular intervals over the remaining 24 h at 06:00, 10:00, 14:00 19:00, 23:00. The light treatments were imposed within a cabinet except for far red light, due to the small size of the filter $10 \mathrm{~cm} * 10 \mathrm{~cm}$, where an external adjustable fibre optilight source (KL 2500LCD, Schott, Mainz, Germany) was clamped to the cuvvet with the leaf inside.

### 4.2.3 Genes selection and primers design

Based on a recently established EST database for Clusia http://xyala.cap.ed.ac.uk/Gene_Pool/Katherine_Shorrock (Katherine Shorrock, 2009), genes encoding four photoreceptors were chosen for study: Phototropins 1 and 2, Cryptochrome 2, and Phytochrome A (see table 4.1 for sequences and reference numbers from the database).

Table 4.1. DNA sequences of genes coding for four photoreceptors Cryptochrome 2, Phototropin 1, Phototropin 2 and Phytochrome A, a housekeeping Elongation factor $\alpha$ (control) and the correspondent reference number from the Clusia data base (Shorrock, 2009). Highlighted regions correspond to the segments against which primers were designed.

| Gene | Sequence | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cry2 | ACACAACAAAATAAGAGTGATTGTTTCAAGTTTTTCTGTTAAAGTTCTGCTTCTTCCTTGGGTGTGGGGTATGAAGTATTTC GGGATGCGGATTTGGAAAGTGATATCCTAGGATGGCAGTATATTTCAGGGAGCCTGCCGGATGGCCATGAACTTGAACGTCT gTCCAAGGTTCAAAGTTTGATCCAGAGGGTGAATATGTAAGACATTGGCTGCCTGAGCTAGCAAGGATGCCAACTGAATGGA GGATGCGCCTCTTACTGTTCTTAAAGCTGCTGGAGTGGACTTGGGCCAGAACTATCCGAGTCCTATTATTGACATGGATTTGQ ACTGAAAAATTGGCGATTCCCATGGTGGTCTTAAAGGAGAAAGCTGTGTGTACCTGCCCGGGCGGCCGCTCGAAAGGGCGA CAGTG | CC_MuF_004G08 CUC00039_1 |
| phot1 |  | $\underset{\text { CC_MiF_011E05 }}{\text { MICOO386_1 }}$ |
| phot2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CC_MuF_011 } \\ & \text { CUC00375_1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| phyA | ACTGAACGTCCCCATTCATGAGCTTCACCAGCTTCCTGCTGATAAGCAGACTGATGCCCTCGTCGGAAGCATCTCCATCAC , atcagcaacccttcaggtatcccgccacctgcatgtgttatcctgagttgaagatgacaagatgancagattgtcctaatt GTAGCTGATAAGGTAAGCTGGCCTCCATTTGGGGTAAAATTAACTGAAATTAGCAAAAAGTCGGCTAACACCTGTTGAAGC ATATAACGTTTCAGTCATGATCTCTTCTACTGCATCATTAGTAATTTGAATCCCCTTTGCATTGCTTTTCATCATGACAGAC ECGCTGGCACTGGGCACTAGTATGCATAAGCTGCTTCTGTTCTACTCCCAACTCAGTACCTGCCCGGGCGGCCGCTCGAAAG TTCCACAGTG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CC_MuF_011 } \\ & \text { H04 } \\ & \text { CUC00382_1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| ef $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | ACAAGGGCCCCACTCTCCTTGATGCTCTTGACTTAATCCAGGAGCCCAAGAGGCCCTCAGACAAGCCCCTCCGTCTCCCAC AAGATTGGTGGTATTGGAACCGTGCCAGTGGGACGTGTCGAGACTGGTATCCTGAAGCCTGGTATGGTTGTGACCTTTGGC AAGGATCTCAAGCGTGGTTTTGTTGCTTCCAACTCCAAGGATGATCCTGCCAAGGAGGCTGCCAACTTCACCTCTCAGGTCAT CCTGGCCAGATTGGTAACGGATATGCCCCAGTGCTCGACTGCCACACCTGCCACATTGCTGTCAAGTTTGCGGAGATCTTGA CGATCAGGCAAGGAGCTTGAGAAGGAGCCCAAATTCTTGAAGAATGGGGATGCTGGATTTGTGAAGATGATTCCACCAAG GTTGTGGAGACATTCTCCCAGT | $\begin{gathered} \text { CC_MuR_ } \\ \text { 003A12 } \\ \text { CUC00133_2 } \end{gathered}$ |

Primers for the four photoreceptors and the elongation factor $\alpha$ were designed using the software Primer3 http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/, (see Table 4.2 for primer sequences).

Table 4.2 Primers used to amplify DNA fragments of four genes encoding for photoreceptors (Cryptochrome 2, Phototropin 1-2 Phytochrome A) and the housekeeping Elongation factor $\alpha$ in C. Rosea and C. multiflora. The software Primer 3 http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/ was used to design the primers. The annealing temperature and the predicted size of the amplified products in base pairs are also shown.

| Gene | Sequence | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Annealing } \\ \text { Temperature } \boldsymbol{o}^{\circ} \end{array}$ | Product si <br> (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cry2 | FW $\left(5^{\prime} \cdot 3^{\prime}\right)$ CCA GAG GTC CAA GGT TCA AA RV $\left(5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}\right)$ TAG TTC TGG CCC AAG TCC AC | $\begin{aligned} & 60.08 \\ & 60.11 \end{aligned}$ | 155 |
| photl |  | $\begin{aligned} & 60.06 \\ & 60.34 \end{aligned}$ | 184 |
| phot 2 | FW $\left(5^{\prime} 3^{\prime} 3^{\prime}\right)$ RGC AGA TGC TAG CGA AG $f$ RV ( $5 \cdot 3^{\prime}$. TGT CTC CAT TGT CGA TGG TT | $\begin{aligned} & 59.77 \\ & 58.93 \end{aligned}$ | 199 |
| phy A | FW ( $\left.5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}\right)$ RCC TTT GCA TTG CTT TTC AT R (5.-3') TGC ATA CTA GTG CCC AGT GC | $\begin{aligned} & 60.07 \\ & 59.90 \end{aligned}$ | 166 |
| ef $\alpha$ | $\text { FW ( } \left.5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}\right)$ <br> TTAATCCAGGAGCCCAAGAG RW (5'-3') <br> GGATCATCCTTGGAGTTGGA | $\begin{aligned} & 59.26 \\ & 59.86 \end{aligned}$ | 299 |

### 4.2.4 RNA extraction

To assess the transcript abundance of photoreceptors phot1, phot2, cry1, phyA, under different light qualities leaf samples were taken at 06:00, 10:00, 14:00 19:00, 23:00 under different light treatments as describe in section 4.2 .2 and snap frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ then stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until RNA extraction and RT-PCR was performed. Frozen leaf samples were ground under liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to a fine powder using a pestle and mortar. Exactly 1 ml of homogenisation buffer that comprised of : 0.5 M Tris- HCl buffer $\mathrm{pH} 8,0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 10 mM EDTA, 5 mM Urea, $3 \%$ (v/v) Nonidet- P 40 , $2 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ PEG $20,000,2 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ CTAB (amounts are showed in table 4.3 ) was placed in an RNase free centrifuge tube and heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 0.3 g of ground plant tissue and $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 2-mercaptoethanol were added and heated for 10 minutes. The sample was left at room temperature for 10 minutes, and was vortexed frequently to homogenise. The sample was then centrifuged at $15,700 \mathrm{~g}(13,000 \mathrm{rpm})$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
for 10 min . Exactly 1 ml Tri-reagent (Helena Biosciences) was placed in an autoclaved micro-centrifuge tube, $700 \mu \mathrm{l}$ supernatant was added and the mixture was left in the fume hood at RT for 10 min . The mixture was centrifuged at $15,700 \mathrm{~g}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , the supernatant was removed and placed in a clean tube with $250 \mu$ l chloroform. The mixture was vortexed and left on ice for 5 min . The sample was then centrifuged at $15,700 \mathrm{~g}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and $600 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the upper phase was transferred to a clean centrifuge tube. On ice, $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ precipitation solution containing: 1.20 M sodium chloride, 0.8 M sodium citrate in a total volume of 100 ml of DEPC $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ isopropanol were added and the mixture was incubated at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight to re-precipitate the RNA. Next day, the mixture was centrifuged at $15,700 \mathrm{~g}$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min and supernatant was removed. The remaining pellet was washed with 1 ml ice cold $70 \%$ ethanol and vortexed, and then centrifuged at $15,700 \mathrm{~g}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . The supernatant was removed, followed by the ethanol and the centrifugation step was repeated. The remaining pellet was air dried in a fume hood for 1-2 min. On ice, RNA was re-suspended in $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Tris ( 10 mM ) + EDTA ( 1 mM ), then heated for 15 min and vortexed before being left on ice for 1 hour. Finally, the sample was treated with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DNase and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ buffer per $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample (here $0.75 \mu \mathrm{l}+1.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature and then the reaction was stopped with $1 \mu \mathrm{l} 25 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA per $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ (here $1.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). The sample was then heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. RNA concentrations were estimated using a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop ND1000, LabTech). In addition to concentration, A260/A280 was recorded to give an indication of RNA purity. Values of A260/A280 between 1.8-2.1 indicate highly pure RNA with very low levels of protein contamination (Glasel and Agarwal, 1995). Aliquots were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 4.3 Amount of reagents comprising the homogenization buffer used for RNA extraction.

| Reagent | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| TRIS-HCl | 6.057 g |
| LiCl | 2.119 g |
| DTT | 1 ml |
| EDTA | 0.3724 g |
| Urea | 0.03 g |
| Nonidet-P40 | 3 g |
| PEG 20.000 | 2 g |
| CTAB | 2 g |
| DEPC $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 100 ml |

### 4.2.5 Sequencing of photoreceptors

Products from DNA amplification for all genes cry2, phol,pho2 and phyA, from both species, were send to be sequenced by Genevision (http://www.genevision.co.uk) using Applied Biosystems BigDye ${ }^{\circledR}$ cycle chemistry. Analyses were performed on ABI 3730xl capillary sequencers. Exactly $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of sample $3 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a forward primer for each gene at $3.2 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ were used in all cases. A blast search was performed to verify the identity of the products (Table 4.5).

A clone was used to amplify phototropin 1 after difficulties in its identification using direct PCR products. The protocol used for this cloning consisted of: first, $0.2 \mu 1$ of Taq DNA polymerase was added to PCR products and incubated at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Exactly $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of this reaction, $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of cloning buffer and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of vector were mixed and incubated at room temperature for 15 min . Exactly 2 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of this reaction were added to a tube of Escherichia coli cells to amplify the DNA fragment. The E. coli cells were incubated in 3 ml of $\mathrm{LB}(2 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{diH} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O})$ and $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Kanamycin $(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 rpm shaking overnight. The colonies were grown in $1.5 \%$ Agar in LB media and $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $2 \%$ Xgal in N-N Dymethyilformamide, at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the night. The next day, white colonies were selected for plasmid extraction.

Plasmid extraction from culture was conducted as follows: 1.5 ml from culture were taken and centrifuged at $9,291 \mathrm{~g}(10,000 \mathrm{rpm})$ for 5 min . The supernatant was discarded and $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of buffer P1 (Table 4.4) was added to the pellet and mixed with a pipette. Exactly $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of buffer P2 (Table 4.4) was added and mixed by inverting 45 times; the reactions were incubated at room temperature for exactly 5 min . Then, $350 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of buffer N3 (Table 4.4) was added and mixed by inverting, and resulting white mixture was then centrifuged at $15,700 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 min . The supernatant was transferred to an eppendorf tube with a filter and centrifuged at $15,700 \mathrm{~g}$ for 1 min . The liquid at the bottom was removed and the filter washed with $700 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PE (Table 4.4). This mixture was centrifuged at $15,700 \mathrm{~g}$ for 1 min and liquid at bottom removed. With the filter back in place this was centrifuged again at 13,000 for 1 min . The filter was transferred to a new 1.5 ml eppendorf and $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of EB buffer (Table 4.4) was added at the top of the filter, this was incubated at room temperature for 1 min . The mixture was centrifuged at $15,700 \mathrm{~g}$ for 2 min . The filter was discarded and the DNA at the bottom kept at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 4.4. Composition of the buffers comprising the kit QIAGEN® Plasmid Purification used for the plasmid extraction.

| Buffer | Composition |
| :---: | :---: |
| Buffer P1 (resuspension buffer) | 50 mM Tris•Cl, pH 8.0; |
|  | 10 mM EDTA; |
|  | $100 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{RNase} \mathrm{A}$ |
| Buffer P2 (lysis buffer) | $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaOH}, 1 \% \mathrm{SDS}(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ |
| Buffer N3 (neutralization buffer) | $3.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{potassium} \mathrm{acetate} 15-,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{pH} \mathrm{5.5}$ |
| Buffer PE (wash buffer) | $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl;}$ |
|  | $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MOPS}, \mathrm{pH} 7.0 ;$ |
|  | $15 \%$ isopropanol (v/v) |
| Buffer EB (elution buffer) | $1.25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} ;$ |
|  | $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Tris} \mathrm{\cdot Cl} ,\mathrm{pH} \mathrm{8.5;}$ |
|  | $15 \%$ isopropanol (v/v) |

### 4.2.6 Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)

Polymerase chain reaction (http://pathmicro.med.sc.edu/pcr/realtime-home.htm) is a widely used method that permits exponential amplification of DNA sequences (usually between 100 to 600 bases) from double stranded DNA. To amplify a pre-determined DNA fragment, a pair of primers of about 20 nucleotides each, need to be used. The primer sequence is complementary to one portion of the target fragment and is extended by DNA polymerase to make the copy of interest. This process of copying occurs several times in an exponential curve. Every time, before the next copy is made, the double stranded DNA must be separated. This is achieved by increasing the temperature without causing any damage to the DNA polymerase (Taq polymerase), due to its thermo-stability. After approximately 40 cycles of amplification, the product can be visualized in an agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide, a dye that binds the double stranded DNA intercalating between base pairs, irradiating UV fluorescence (http://pathmicro.med.sc.edu/pcr/realtime-home.htm).

Starting with an RNA molecule instead of DNA requires an extra step to the PCR methodology described above in order to do a reverse transcription from mRNA to complementary DNA (cDNA). Reverse Transcript PCR was used to amplify each gene using this protocol:

1. Reverse transcription at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min ,
2. Separation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min and
3. at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 30 \mathrm{sec}$ more,
4. Annealing at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 sec ,
5. Extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 sec , then
6. Go to step 2, 30 times for all genes except for PhyA ( 25 cycles).
7. Finally an extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min then samples were held at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The RT-PCR reaction occurred in a master mix containing: 10 x reaction buffer ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), 0.1 M DTT ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), 2.5 mM dNTP $(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}), 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2(1.25 \mu \mathrm{l})$, SuperScript $(0.3 \mu \mathrm{l})$, RNAse $(0.15 \mu \mathrm{l})$, Taq DNA Polymerase ( $0.1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), Primers ( $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ each), between $0.5-2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ (depending on initial concentration) of RNA to get a final concentration of to $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ for all four photoreceptors and elongation factor alpha made up to a final volume of $25 \mu 1$ with DEPC $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Agarose Gels ( $1.5 \%$ ) were run at 100 V for 60 min to identify the products. A 6X orange Dye and 1000 bp lower range ladder were used to detect and determine product sizes. In addition, PCR products were sequenced to prove the identity of each gene in each plant species. To prevent bias in the interpretation of results from PCR an internal control gene is needed. A suitable control gene is one that remains unaffected (in terms of transcript abundance) by experimental conditions and any other external treatments so that the gene can be can be used for normalization of the expression patterns of the other genes (photoreceptors) being evaluated. Usually, constitutive genes required for the maintenance of basic cellular functions are chosen as PCR controls and are known as "housekeeping genes" (Nicot et al., 2005) Within the most common and more robust housekeeping genes in plants (Nicot et al 2005) is the Elongation factor alpha (ef $\alpha$ ) (Sturzenbaum and Kille, 2001; Dean et al., 2002), a protein transcription factor responsible for the delivery of aminoacyl tRNA to the ribosome, and nuclear exportation of proteins http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=gene\&Cmd=ShowDetailView\&TermToSearch=1915.
Based on NCBI data bases for A. thaliana and the EST database for Clusia (Shorrock 2009) ef $\alpha$ was chosen as the control for PCR experiments.

An approximated quantification of RT-PCR products was made, based on the intensity of the band in the agarose gel. For this purpose, the software Quantity one ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Bio-Rad) was used and a quantitative value was given to each band. Plots of the relative abundance of the cDNA products at different times of the day and under different light regimes were made for comparative purposes (results none showed). Most of the results were concordant with the gels, but some did not reflect the real expression shown in the gels, due to inaccuracies of the software in estimating the intensity of the band
(i.e.: for Elongation factor which showed a very similar expression during the diel cycle in the gels, the plots looked as if the expression was different under dark/light, constant blue light and constant darkness) probably due to the quality of the pictures used in Quantity one ${ }^{\circledR}$ or due to errors in the quantification of the bands. Thus, although this tool allows an easier comparative representation of transcript abundance patterns, it was not very accurate and for this reason the graphs are not presented here.

### 4.2.7 Real time PCR

Even although PCR is the most sensitive method for monitoring changes in transcript abundance (in comparison to e.g. Northern blotting) and can differentiate between closely related mRNAs, with conventional PCR it is difficult to quantify the results (as described above). Thus, Real Time PCR was used, a method that is based on the same principles of PCR as described above, but independent of the number of cycles. Real time PCR uses SYBR green, a dye more fluorescent than ethidium bromide, that binds to double stranded DNA but not to single-stranded DNA. In addition, the ratio of fluorescence of SYBR green of a double strand to a single strand of DNA is much higher that the ratio for ethidium bromide http://pathmicro.med.sc.edu/pcr/realtime-home.htm. To quantify the amount of cDNA a threshold must be established in a plot of the number of cycles against relative fluorescence during the linear phase of the exponential amplification when the fluorescence starts to increase. From here, the machine calculates the Ct , which is the cycle when the fluorescence curve crosses a threshold (usually referred to as the time at which fluorescence intensity is greater than background fluorescence (Wong and Medrano, 2005) and this increases with a decreasing amount of template (Figure 4.1).


Figure 4.1. Setting the threshold $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ in a real time PCR reaction, which is based on the number of cycles ( y -axis) when the fluorescence ( x -axis) starts to increase and crosses the curve just before the exponential phase of fluorescence. Modified from (Biosystems, 2008).

To quantify the relative amount of mRNA from each gene with respect to a housekeeping control (in this case Elongation factor $\alpha$ ), 5 series of 10 fold dilutions ( $100,10,1,0.1,0.01 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of RNA) were made for each gene (including the housekeeping gene) and a calibration curve was plotted (this curve must have a good negative correlation coefficient, Figure 4.1). The slope of the curve (3 replicates) was calculated and the amount of mRNA in relation to the control was estimated as follows:

1. $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\text { lowest } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}-\text { highest } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{*-1}$
2. Efficiency $(\mathrm{E})=10^{(-1 / \text { slope })}$
3. Fold $=E{ }^{\left(\Delta C_{T}\right)}$
4. $\quad$ True fold $=$ Fold $/$ Fold (control)

The true fold is an indication of the difference in expression of each sample (i.e. photoreceptor transcript abundance at different times of the day) normalized by the control. As mentioned before, the amplification of the double strand of DNA occurs in exponential way, samples with a difference in the concentration of cDNA (from mRNA) by a factor of 2 should be 1 cycle apart. Then in the case of the calibration curve, samples ten times more concentrated should be about 3.3 cycles apart from each other (http://pathmicro.med.sc.edu/pcr/realtime-home.htm).

Another important factor to take into account when dealing with real-time PCR is the certainty of product's purity. To know this the Opticon real time PCR machine determines a melting point of the product at the end of the cycles. This melting temperature of a DNA double helix depends on its base composition and length and it is expected that all products from a particular set of primers show the same melting point, otherwise errors from contamination, mis-priming or primer-dimer artefacts are occurring in the reaction (http://pathmicro.med.sc.edu/pcr/realtime-home.htm).

Real time PCR was performed using a commercial master mix, i.e. Brilliant ${ }^{\circledR}$ II SYBR ${ }^{\circledR}$ Green QRT-PCR Low ROX Master Mix, 1-step from Stratagene. This was applied to all the four photoreceptors and the housekeeping gene for RNA samples extracted at different times of the day and under different light regimes. The same primers as described above were used and good amplification was obtained for photl, phy $A$ and Elongation factor $\alpha$. For the other two genes (phot 2 and cry2), an unexpected calibration curve was observed. A matrix with different concentrations of forward and reverse primers was tested to see if results could be improved but without success. To get better results a new set of primers needed to be designed, but time constraints prevented this within the scope of this thesis.

### 4.2.8 Titratable acidity

Measurements of leaf titratable acidity were made using leaf tissue from samples taken at five different times of the day ( $6: 00,10: 00,14: 00,19: 00,23: 00$ ) under constant white light ( $250 \mu_{\mathrm{mol}} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ), constant dark, constant red light ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ ), constant blue light ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) or constant far red light ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). About 200 mg of frozen leaf tissue (weight recorded using a Sartorius balance) was ground in liquid nitrogen using a pestle and mortar. Tissue was heated in $5 \mathrm{ml} 80 \%$ methanol at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 minutes. Exactly 1 ml extract was then diluted with 2 ml of distilled water and titrated against 0.005 M NaOH to neutrality, using 3 drops of phenolphthalein as an indicator.

Number of moles $(\mathrm{Z}) \mathrm{H}^{+}$in 5 ml extract was calculated using the following equations:
$\mathrm{Z}\left(\right.$ moles $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{NaOH}$ titre $\mathrm{x} 0.005 / 1000 \times 5$
$\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{fwt}=$ moles $\mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{fwt}$

### 4.3 Results

### 4.3.1 DNA sequences of photoreceptors

Once the primers were designed and the PCR for each photoreceptor was done, cDNA resulting from the reaction was sent to be sequenced in order to prove the identity of the product. Each sequence was compared against a BLAST search in Arabidopsis and the identities of all products were confirmed.

Table 4.5. DNA sequences obtained using a pair of primers designed based on EST data base for Clusia, for four genes encoding for cry2, phot1, phot2, phyA, for C. rosea and C. multiflora a search in blast results in a match > $85 \%$.

| Species | Gene | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. rosea | Cry2 | cgcctcttactgttcttanagctgctgeagtgeacttgegccagaictaang |
|  | Photl | CTTGAGGCAAATCGAGGAGGTTTCGAGACACGTTCATGGTCTTGTCCTTGTTTTAGAAGACATTCGTTT TTGTAGTTCGGGATCAATGAGTTTTGCTTCATTTTCTGCTTCGCTTGGGAAAAGTGGAACCTTAAGCTCA gGAGGATTCGTGTAGCGGACTAGCGCCCAATTGATAA |
|  | Phot2 | aAaAGAAGTATCTCTTCATTAAGATATATGGACCTCAAGAGAATTATTTAAGAAAAGTTTAAGCAACTG TCATGCTTAAATAATGATGGGTAATTATCACCAAAGAAAAACCATCGACAATGGAGACAAACAGAC |
|  | PhyA | TAGCTGTCAGATAACAGGAAAATGTAAGATAGTAATCAATAAGACAGAAAGAAGGIGCTGTGTCCATG TGGGACATGGCTACCTACTATGTTGCCATTCCTACTCAGGATGCAGGAACAAGCTACAATTCAGTTTACA AAAATCTTTAAAACTGAGAAAATAACTGTCACTGTTGCTCATATAGGCATGCATTGCAAAAGAAGTATAA ATCCAACTTTCACGCACTAGACCCCATAAGAAATTGAATGTAGGGAGACCTGCACTTCTTCCATAAGAA AGTTGGCGCTGGCACTGGGCACTAGTATGCAAACCTGTGAAAAGCAATGCAAAGGGATGAAAAGCAATG <br>  |
| C. multiflora | Cry2 | cgcctcttactgttcttaangctgctggagtggacttgcgccagaactaatct |
|  | Photl | GaAGGCAAATCGAGGAGGTTTTCGAGACACGATCATGGTCTTGTCCTTGATTTAGAAGACATTTGTTTG gTagttcgagatcantgagttitgcticcttttctgcttcgcttggganaigtgangccttangctcag gGtgTagcggactagcgcccaattgatanaggecg |
|  | Phot2 | acaaaahaagtatctcttcattaagatatatggacctcaagagaattatttaagaaaagtttaagcaa Catgcttanataatgatggetanttatcaccaahgaaaacccatcgacaatggagacaaa |
|  | PhyA | TAGCTGTCAGATAACAGGAAAATGTAAGATAGTAATCAATAAGACAGAAAGAAGGTGCTGTGTCCATG GGGGACATGGCTACCTACTATGTTGCCATTCCTACTCAGGATGCAGGAACAAGCTACAATTCAGTTTACA TCCAACTTTCACGCACTAGACCCCATAAGAAATTGAATGTAGGGAGACCTGCACTTCTTCCATTAGTAA -TTCCTGTTTTTCAATGGCGAGTTTTAACATACCCTTCAATGATGCTATCAAGATCTGAGTCATCAAGAAC <br>  ATGAAAAGCAATGCAAAGGGATGAAAAGCAATGCAAAGGGATGAAAAGCAATGCAAAGGGATGAAAAGC <br>  |

### 4.3.2 Gene expression

### 4.3.2.1 Phot1

Under a 24 h light/dark cycle, photl presented the highest transcript abundance during the day for $C$. rosea ( $14: 00$ ) and $C$. multiflora ( $10: 00$; Fig. 4.2a). However, under constant white light this timing was changed to the end of the subjective day for $C$. multiflora and to the middle of the night for C. rosea. All other light treatments (red, far red, blue and darkness) resulted in a decrease in photl transcripts in the CAM species to below the limits of detection. For the C3 species, blue light and constant darkness resulted in an increase in photol transcripts which showed maximal abundance in subjective night (Fig. 4.2d,f).

### 4.3.2.2 Phot2

Under a 24 h light/dark cycle phot 2 transcript abundance was highest during the day for $C$. rosea but in the middle of the night for C. multiflora (Fig. 4.3.a). Under constant darkness phot 2 transcripts were below the limit of detection for both species, except for $C$. multiflora where a band can be seeing at 23:00. Phot 2 transcripts were below the limits of detection for both species under constant white, red or blue light but under far red the CAM species showed a clear pattern of transcript abundance being higher in subjective day compared to night (Fig. 4.3e).

### 4.3.2.3 Cry 2

Under a 24 h light/dark cycle, cry 2 presented higher transcript abundance at the end of the photoperiod/early night (19:00) for C. rosea but in the morning (at 10:00) for C. multiflora (Fig. 4.4 a). Under constant white light, the time of highest transcript abundance in C. rosea was similar to that under light/dark but in C. multiflora was delayed compared to that noted in light/dark (Fig. 4.4.b the end of photoperiod in C. multiflora). Under constant red or far red light (Fig 4.4c, e), timing of maximum transcript abundance was similar to that noted under light/dark in both species (i.e. late photoperiod in the CAM species, early photoperiod in C3). Blue light resulted in increased transcript abundance in cry2 in the subjective night in both species (Fig. 4.4d). Under constant darkness, cry2 showed enhanced
expression compared to that under light/dark in the C3 species (Fig. 4.4d) but could not be detected in the CAM species.

### 4.3.2.4 PhyA

Under a 24 h light/dark cycle, transcript abundance of phyA increased over the first part of the day, declined over the latter part of the day then started to increase in the dark in both species (Fig. 4.5a). This rhythm was maintained under constant far-red light in both species (Fig. 4.5 e). Red light resulted in an increase in transcript abundance in the CAM species but not in the C3 (Fig. 4.5c) whilst blue light and constant darkness stimulated phyA transcript abundance in the C3 (but not the CAM species).


Figure 4.2. Electrophoresis gels showing transcript abundance of Phototropin 1 (product size $=184 \mathrm{bp})(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f})$ at five different times ( $6 \mathrm{am}, 10 \mathrm{am}, 14 \mathrm{pm}, 19 \mathrm{pm} 23 \mathrm{pm}$ ) bars under figures represent subjective night in grey and subjective day in white for $C$. rosea (left) and C. multiflora (right) of a 24 h cycle (times shown at the bottom), under different light treatments: $\mathbf{a} .12 \mathrm{~h}$ dark/light, b. constant white light $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathbf{c}$. constant red light $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, d. constant blue light $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, e. constant far red $18 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ light and $\mathbf{f}$. constant dark. On the right hand side of all gels, the PCR control Elongation factor $\alpha$ is shown under the corresponding light treatments (g-l).


Figure 4.3. Electrophoresis gels showing transcript abundance of Phototropin 2 $($ product size $=199 \mathrm{bp})(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f})$ at five different times $(6 \mathrm{am}, 10 \mathrm{am}, 14 \mathrm{pm}, 19 \mathrm{pm} 23 \mathrm{pm})$ bars under figures represent subjective night in grey and subjective day in white for $C$. rosea (left) and C. multiflora (right) of a 24 h cycle (times shown at the bottom), under different light treatments: $\mathbf{a} .12 \mathrm{~h}$ dark/light, b. constant white light $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathbf{c}$. constant red light $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, d. constant blue light $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, e. constant far red $18 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ light and $\mathbf{f}$. constant dark. On the right hand side of all gels, the PCR control Elongation factor $\alpha$ is shown under the corresponding light treatments ( $\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{l}$ ).


Figure 4.4 Electrophoresis gels showing transcript abundance of Cryptochrome 2 (product size $=155 \mathrm{bp})(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f})$ at five different times ( $6 \mathrm{am}, 10 \mathrm{am}, 14 \mathrm{pm}, 19 \mathrm{pm} 23 \mathrm{pm}$ ) bars under figures represent subjective night in grey and subjective day in white for $C$. rosea (left) and C. multiflora (right) of a 24 h cycle (times shown at the bottom), under different light treatments: a. 12 h dark/light, b. constant white light $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $\mathbf{c}$. constant red light $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, d. constant blue light $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, e. constant far red $18 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ light and $\mathbf{f}$. constant dark. On the right hand side of all gels, the PCR control Elongation factor $\alpha$ is shown under the corresponding light treatments (g-l).


Figure 4.5. Electrophoresis gels showing transcript abundance of Phytochrome A (product size $=166 \mathrm{bp}$ ) $(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f})$ at five different times $(6 \mathrm{am}, 10 \mathrm{am}, 14 \mathrm{pm}, 19 \mathrm{pm} 23 \mathrm{pm})$ bars under figures represent subjective night in grey and subjective day in white for $C$. rosea (left) and C. multiflora (right) of a 24 h cycle (times shown at the bottom), under different light treatments: a. 12 h dark/light, $\mathbf{b}$. constant white light $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathbf{c}$. constant red light $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, d. constant blue light $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, e. constant far red $18 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ light and $\mathbf{f}$. constant dark. On the right hand side of all gels, the PCR control Elongation factor $\alpha$ is shown under the corresponding light treatments ( $\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{l}$ ).

Table 4.6 Time of the highest expression for four photoreceptors: Cryptochrome 2, Phototropin 1-2, Phytochrome A under different light regimes (12h dark $/ 12 \mathrm{~h}$ light, constant white $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, constant red and constant blue $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, constant far red $18 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, constant darkness) for C. rosea and C. multiflora. Highlighted in yellow is the subjective day and in grey the subjective night.

|  | Darklight |  | White |  | Red |  | Blue |  | Far red |  | Dark |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C. rosea | C. multiflora | C. rosea | multifl | C. rose | . multif | C. ros | mult | C. rose | C. multif | C. ros | multiflora |
| Cry 2 | 19 | 10 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 10 | 23 | 23 | 19 | 6 | 14 | 19 |
| Pho 1 | 14 | 10 | 23 | 19 | - | 10 | - | 23 | - | 19 | 14 | 23 |
| Pho 2 | 14 | 23 |  |  | 23 | 19 | - |  | 19 | 6 | 14 | 23 |
| Phy A | 14 | 23 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 6 | 23 | 19 | 14 | 23 | 14 | 23 |

### 4.3.4 Real time PCR

In order to quantify in a more precise way the transcript abundance for all the four genes in $C$. rosea and C. multiflora under a 24 h dark/light cycle and the different constant light regimes, Real Time PCR was adopted. However, it proved to be extremely difficult to optimize the method for all the genes and just two of the photoreceptors (phol and phyA) and the housekeeping (Elongation factor $\alpha$ ) gave good and reproducible amplification under dark/light conditions. Calibration curves were performed to test the primer efficiency for the genes and are presented below. A high negative correlation between mRNA concentration and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ was expected, nevertheless just three of the genes showed the expected pattern.

Differences in the amount of mRNA at different times of the day under a 24 h dark/light cycle and corrected by the control elongation factor alpha $\alpha$ (where the level, represented by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$, was equal for all the times, Fig 4.7c) were estimated for photl and phyA. Photl presented a higher transcript abundance during the day for both species but peaks in transcript abundance appeared earlier in the C3 species (as also found in the PCR gels (see Fig 4.2a). Photl transcripts were more abundant in C. rosea compared to $C$. multiflora. Phytochrome A presented the highest transcript abundance during the night in both species with levels of transcripts considerably higher in C. rosea compared with C. multiflora.

In order to test the primers and define if it was a limitation of the reaction due to this, a matrix of concentrations for reverse and forward primers $(0.04 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.08 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.24 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.4 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were used, however different set of primers concentrations did not improve (lower Ct ) the reactions and the best combination of concentrations was the one used before $(0.2 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Thus it was concluded that concentration was not the issue but primer length or GC content; could be important. To test this, a new set of primers needed to be designed and all the reactions performed again. However, due to time constraints these modifications weren't done and checked. For phot1 and phyA, calibration curves gave slopes near to $-3.33(-3.07, \mathrm{E}=111 \%$ and $-1.89, \mathrm{E}=238 \%$ respectively) and a negative relationship $\left(R^{2}=0.530\right.$ and $R^{2}=0.569$ respectively) and for housekeeping, Elongation factor $\alpha\left(R^{2=} 0.66, E=81 \%\right)$. Such a high efficiency > $100 \%$ for photl and phyA and low efficiency $<100 \%$ for ef $\alpha$ could be due to inaccuracies in the serial sample dilutions of the standards resulting from a consistent excess or shortage of sample when pipeting (Applied Bio systems), differences in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ between samples 10 fold dilutions apart were lower than 3.33 (i.e.: $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ in photl $=2.64$ ) or higher than 3.33 (i.e.: $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ in ef $\alpha=$ 4.26). These results revealed that the efficiency of the reaction is not as high as is required for accurate quantification of mRNA, but it can give us an idea of the differences in the transcript abundance with the samples at different times of the day relative to the control.

There are some other possible reasons why real time PCR did not work well for all genes (http://www.appliedbiosystems.com/absite/us/en/home/support/tutorials/realtime-pcr-trouble-shootingguide.html): RNA may have contained inhibitory compounds such as an excess of protein, chlorophylls, contaminants as phenol (which is the case of some Clusia plants, Shorrock, 2009). High acidity was thought to be a potential problem for extracting good quality RNA from Clusia but checking pH of extractions revealed it to be 7.5. The quantity and quality of RNA were analyzed using a Nanodrop to try and avoid contamination and inhibition during the PCR. Values for the ratio $\mathrm{A}_{260} / \mathrm{A}_{280}$ were between 1.8 and 2 in most of the cases, indicating good quality samples. However, it has been suggested that the NanoDrop can give overstated values if the sample is contaminated with phenol or ethanol. Using a bioanalyzer could be helpful to confirm the quality of the sample and verify or discard an inhibitory problem. In the first case, a purification of the RNA would need to be done, testing a different RNA extraction protocol. Time constraints prevented this approach.
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Figure 4.6. Relative change in the transcript abundance of Phototropin 1 (a) and Phytochrome A (b), at different times of the day under a regular dark/light cycle and corrected by the control Elongation factor $\alpha$ where similar $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$ were present for all the samples in both species (c) in C. rosea (Gehrig et al., 1998) and C. multiflora (Poffenroth et al., 1992), using Real Time PCR.

### 4.3.5 Titratable acidity

Measurements of leaf titratable acidity under different light regimes were taken to examine if and how the contrasting light regimes impacted on the CAM cycle. Under the dark/light regime, $C$. rosea showed a typical CAM acid fluctuation, having a high acid content in the morning and low in the afternoon (Figure 4.8 a). The CAM fluctuation in titratable acids was preserved under constant white light ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, Fig. 4.8 b ). However, under constant dark the acid fluctuation pattern was reversed, presenting a higher acid content at mid day in C. rosea (Figure 4.8 d ). Under constant blue light $\left(15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ the amount of acids was high during the whole 24 h cycle (Figure 4.8 e ). Under
constant red and far-red light regimes ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), acid levels showed a slight dip in the middle of the day (Figure $4.8 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}$ ). The C3 species $C$. multiflora presented a light/dark pattern of titratable acid fluctuations opposite to that of C. rosea, being low in the morning and showing a peak at the end of the day (19:00; Figure 4.8 a). This pattern was also observed under constant white light and, but to a lesser extent, under constant red light. Under constant darkness (Figure 4.8 d ), constant blue light (Figure 4.8 e) and constant far red light (Figure 4.8 f ) the levels of acids in the C 3 species were low and did not show any appreciable fluctuation. Yellow and brown spots were noted in leaves of C. rosea under constant blue and constant far red light, and under constant darkness, whole leaves of C. rosea turned yellow, indicating possible loss of chlorophyll (figure 4.7).

a

Figure 4.7. Leaves of $C$ rosea a . and $C$. multiflora b . after 48 h in constant darkness. The image shows how almost the whole leaf of $C$. rosea has a yellow colour compared with leaves of $C$. multiflora, possibly due to the accumulation of acids in the CAM plant. Constant darkness resulted in high background acid levels in C. rosea (see Fig 4.15). Brown spots were also observed on leaves of the CAM species under constant blue and far red light, where the background acids content was also higher than under light/dark or constant white light.


Figure 4.8. Titratable acid content ( $\mu$ moles $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{g}$ fwt) for $C$. multiflora (red circles) and C. rosea (green circles) at five points over 24 hours cycles under dark/light (a), constant white light $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (b), constant red light $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (c), constant dark (d), constant blue light $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\mathrm{e})$, and constant far red $18 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\mathrm{f})$.

### 4.4 Discussion

### 4.4.1 Transcript abundance of phot1 and phot2

Phototropins have been implicated in mediating the blue-light opening response of stomata in Arabidopsis (Briggs and Christie, 2002; Christie, 2007). A study with Arabidopsis proved that the Ser851 is the site of autophosporylation for phototropins under blue light and this is the primary step to signalling several physiological events that include stomatal opening. The reported lack of a blue-light response in CAM stomata suggested that CAM and C3 species of Clusia would exhibit differences in: a) transcript abundance of photl and phot2, b) the level of control exerted by the circadian clock over photl and phot 2 transcripts and c) the response of photol and phot 2 to different light treatments. The diel expression patterns of the phototropins were found to differ in all of these aspects between C. rosea, the CAM species and C. multiflora the C3 species, thus supporting hypothesis 1 . Contrary to expectations, the relative abundance of both phototropins under the usual 24 h light/dark cycle appeared to be highest in the CAM species - this was confirmed for photl using real-time PCR where transcript abundance was up to 10 fold higher in C. rosea compared to $C$. multiflora at times of peak expression. Transcript abundance of the phototropins varied under light/dark cycles but also under constant white light (phot 1), indicating a possible diel oscillation of this gene in Clusia species. This is in contrast to Arabidopsis where microarrays have not given any indication of circadian control of photl or phot2 (http://www.arabidopsis.org). Transcript abundance of photl presented a peak during the day in both Clusia species whilst phot2 had a peak in abundance during the day in C. rosea but during the night in C. multiflora.

Studies with Arabidopsis mutants have indicated that stomata of photl or phot2 mutants have a reduced blue light response and the double mutant phot1 phot2 completely lacks the blue light response for stomatal opening (Kinoshita et al., 2001; Kasahara et al., 2004)). However, there are no published examples relating transcript abundance of photl or phot 2 with the magnitude of stomatal opening, in Arabidopsis mutants although the protein abundance of cryptochrome has been related with the degree of stomatal aperture (Mao et al., 2005). Localization and abundance of phototropin determined by green fluorescence protein-fusions in leaves and in guard cells has been related to its importance in the blue light transduction signalling for leaf expansion and stomatal movements (Sakamoto and Briggs, 2002). It is interesting to note that the highest transcript abundances of photl and phot2 occurred in the middle of the photoperiod in the CAM Clusia, at the time when the stomata were shut. Under constant white light, phot2 transcripts were not detected in the CAM Clusia and peak abundance of photl shifted to the subjective night, but stomatal conductance under the same treatment did not follow the same pattern,
presenting a peak during the subjective morning (around $10: 00 \mathrm{~h}$; see Chapter 3) in C. rosea, In $C$. multiflora the maximal abundance of photl was at the end of the subjective day (19:00 h), and again does not correspond with the timing of maximal stomatal conductance for the same treatment (peak at 14:00 h; see Chapter 3). That the timing of maximum transcript abundance of photl or 2 did not correlate with the timing of maximal stomatal conductance in either Clusia species suggests the involvement of post-transcriptional regulation of phototropins that could include the involvement of an inhibitory signal or a negative feedback regulation in the transcription of the PHOT proteins (McClung 2000; (Millar and Kay, 1991).

Since phototropins serve as blue-light receptors for stomatal opening it was of interest to compare the impact of low fluency blue light on transcript abundance of these photoreceptors in the CAM and C3 Clusias. Several studies have demonstrated the increase in the abundance of phototropins (protein content) after blue light exposition (Sakai et al., 2001; Inoue et al., 2008). Blue light resulted in a decline of photl transcript abundance in the CAM species whist in the C3 species there was an increase in photl transcripts. This observation is of interest in light of results from Chapter 3 which indicated a greater magnitude of response in stomatal conductance in the C3 compared to the CAM Clusia under low fluency blue light, suggesting divergent responses in the pathways linking phototropin transcription to blue light in the different photosynthetic modes.

There were differences in the 24 h patterns of expression between photl and phot 2 and in the responses of photl and phot 2 to the different light treatments, implying that there is not a redundancy in the function of these photoreceptors in Clusia. The highest transcript abundance of phot 2 during the night in the C3 Clusia under dark/light could be related with the sensitivity of phot2 to low blue light (Sakai et al., 2001; Kagawa and Wada, 2002; Wada et al., 2003; Biggs et al 2005) and its importance in sensing these wavelengths of light early at dawn. There were also alterations in the transcript abundance of photl and phot 2 under different light regimes which varied between the C3 and CAM species, again highlighting the possibility that transcriptional regulation of phototropins could be a key mechanism underpinning the divergent responses of stomata to light in C3 and CAM species.

In general, transcripts of phot 2 were reduced after the imposition of the various constant light regimes might be due to constant autophosphorylation of phototropins 1 and 2 , which is reversible in darkness (Christie, 2007), and which could result in a down-regulation in transcription of the proteins. Similar results have been reported for photl under constant blue light in Arabidopsis (Sakamoto and Briggs, 2002). It is know that transcription of some genes related to the photosynthetic apparatus is regulated by light, for example stability and turnover of thylakoid protein complexes is regulated by phosphorylation via redox-sensitive kinases in the thylakoid membranes. These mechanisms involve negative feedback at the level of translation level through binding of excess protein to the $5^{\prime}$
untranslated region of the chloroplast mRNA (Minai et al., 2006). Another example is the activity of the MBP kinase in tobacco cells which is regulated by post-translational phosphorylation of tyrosine residues (Susuki and Shinshi, 1995).

The response of phot 2 to far red light only in the CAM species was unexpected. As far as we know, there are no works reporting responses to red/far red light mediated by phototropins in higher plants. However, Kawai et al. (2003) reported an unconventional response to red light inducing phototropism and chloroplasts moving in the fern Adiantum capillus-veneris, which is mediated by chimaeric photoreceptor PHY3 that is sensitive to blue light and red/far red light. The authors suggested that this photoreceptor which exists among several ferns species had a central role in the divergence and proliferation of fern species under low light canopy conditions. The increase of transcript abundance of phot2 at the end of the subjective day in C. rosea under far-red light is difficult to explain, and could be due to an experimental error which introduced a leak of blue or white light to a portion of one of the leaves used for the RNA extraction. However, it is important to consider the presence of other isoforms of known photoreceptors present in this CAM plants especially when the response to light might be different. Also, it is interesting to notice that the titratable acids oscillations under far red light for $C$. rosea were maintained and are very similar to those under constant red light and darkness. This pattern was different to those of the acids under constant white and constant blue light, making it more difficult to elucidate which photoreceptor is mediating the responses to different light regimes and supporting the idea that there is a coordinated division of labour among different photoreceptors to control processes like stomatal opening, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation and acid turnover in CAM plants.

### 4.4.2 Transcript abundance of photoreceptors implicated in transducing light inputs to the circadian clock

Cryptochrome 2 is a photoreceptor sensitive to low and high intensity blue light and Phytochrome A is a photoreceptor sensitive to low intensity red light. The two photoreceptors belong to two families of photoreceptors implicated in the light signal transduction to the circadian clock in plants, giving information about the length of the photoperiod and thus allowing the plant to entrain physiology and biochemistry to the diel oscillation (Somers et al., 1998). Based on previous results (Chapter 3) where circadian oscillations of carbon assimilation and stomatal conductance were maintained for longer in C. rosea under constant light regimes, it was hypothesised that a stronger control of circadian expression of cry2 and phyA transcripts would be observed in C. rosea than in C. multiflora. It was also
hypothesised that transcript abundance of cry2 and phyA would be more responsive to changes in light quality $C$. rosea compared to $C$. multiflora.

The transcript abundances of both phyA and cry2 varied over the light/dark cycle and under constant light, indicating circadian control of both photoreceptors in the C3 and CAM Clusias. Both cry2 and phyA presented a peak of transcripts during the day under dark/light as was expected based on literature reports for C 3 species, according with the second prediction of hypothesis 2. C. rosea showed peaks of expression during the day for both cry2 and phyA, which were altered under constant white and constant blue light when the time of maximum expression was shifted to the subjective night. Maximal stomatal conductance under constant blue light for $C$. rosea was reached during the early morning (8:00 $-10: 00$, see Chapter 3), so it was predicted that the highest transcript abundance of cry2 would precede the maximal stomatal conductance. Such a scenario would have suggested a peak in the transcript abundance at 6:00 for $C$. rosea and at 14:00 for $C$. multiflora however this was not observed, suggesting again, the involvement of more than one photoreceptor in stomatal movements and probably also more than one mechanism (photosynthesis, direct light responses and circadian control) for the control of stomatal opening. Under red, far red and darkness the pattern of transcript abundance was the same as during dark/light. Changes in the transcript abundances of both cry2 and phyA were expected under low blue light since previous work (Somers et al 1998) reported the sensitivity of both phyA and cry2 in Arabidopsis to low fluence blue light as a means of entrainment and to give signals to the clock.

For cry2, the C3 species C. multiflora presented peaks of transcript abundance during the day under the conventional light/dark cycle as well as under constant white light, red light and dark. However, this was shifted to the night under constant blue light. Previous reports on Arabidopsis have similarly shown that cry2 transcripts peaked during the day under dark/light and showed a modified pattern of abundance under low blue light. Interestingly, phyA, expression was also modified under low blue light in the C3 Clusia, with timing of maximum abundance shifting to the end of photoperiod and continuing into the subjective night. Previous studies have indicated the possible interaction between cry2 and phyA in higher plants and ferns in the response to low blue light specially controlling photo morphogenesis. However, it is not clear which is the role of phytochromes by itself or in conjunction with other photoreceptors mediating signals from blue light (Batschauer, 1996; Ahmad, 1997; Ahmad, 1998; Briggs and Huala, 1999). The down-regulation of cry2 and phyA under constant white light and up-regulation under constant darkness in C. rosea and C. multiflora has been reported before in Arabidopsis (Thot et al 2001). Furthermore, several authors (Clark et al 1994, Ahmad and Cashmore 1993, Lin et al 1998) have reported no changes in the accumulation of mRNA of these photoreceptors caused by light; this discrepancy may be due to differences in experimental setups or to the responses of different plant species. Cry2 responded to blue light in both C3 and CAM species. That this blue light
response has not been lost in the CAM species suggests the importance of cry2 in controlling circadian processes.

Real time PCR indicated the presence of more (eight times more at 23:00h) transcripts for phyA in the CAM Clusia compared to the C3 species. Moreover, the CAM Clusia exhibited more robust oscillations in phyA transcripts under red and far red light as compared to the C3 species, with both peaking at around 14:00 in the CAM species. It is interesting to note that the CAM species exhibited more robust control of stomatal conductance under constant red light, compared to the C 3 species. Thus, the way in which phyA is linked to the clock could be a point of divergence between the C3 and CAM Clusias.

In general, in terms of changes in the time of expression of cry2 and phyA under constant light regimes, there were no notable differences between $C$. rosea and $C$. multfilora. Transcript abundance of both genes was altered under constant light regimes in both species. Even when in some cases this variation in transcript abundance seemed to be related to gas exchange patterns, is very likely that more genes are involved in the control of stomatal movements and responses to light (i.e.: cryl, phyB). Thus, hypothesis 3 was not supported, since the amplitude of the oscillations in the transcript abundances of cry2 and phyA do not seem to be more responsive to light in $C$ rosea than in C. multiflora.

Finally, it is important to remember that the photoreceptors examined in this chapter were transcribed from RNA extracted from the whole leaf. RNA extracted exclusively from stomatal guard cells may have revealed C3 and CAM differences between photoreceptor expressions but this approach was beyond the scope of this thesis.

### 4.4.3 Quantification of photoreceptor abundance and responses to light using real time PCR

Real time PCR was intended to quantify the amount of mRNA of cry2, phot1, phot2 and phyA at different times of the day under the contrasting light regimes for both species. However, reliable results were obtained just for two of the photoreceptors. Real time PCR is a precise method to quantify mRNA but it is not easy to optimize, due to the high sensitivity of the assay and the several steps that can introduce experimental error (Wong and Medrano 2005). There is also considerable probability of non-specific interactions and the primers designed need to be very specific. Given its sensitivity, real time PCR assays can detect gene expression differences as small as $23 \%$ between samples (Gentle et al., 2001). Despite the care taken with primer design (and primers were blasted against mRNA references
sequences), a single melting peak was not attained for two of the photoreceptors of this investigation (cry2, phot2) and the calibration curve also demonstrated that efficiency of the PCR was not high enough (cry2 $\mathrm{E}=-99 \%$, phot $2 \mathrm{E}=-98 \%$ ). Due to the fact that some genes were amplified better than others during real time PCR, that mRNA samples used were the same for all, that the dilutions were done at the same time and that three replicates were used in each case, failures of the reactions are probably a consequence of primer inefficiency rather than as a result of sample contamination or consistent errors in the serial dilutions of the standard curve.

Although real-time PCR was used with some confidence on photl, phyA and the housekeeping elongation factor some discrepancies were found when compared with the gel images of the same genes. This may be attributed to low efficiency of the primers, or errors when the baseline is set during the early exponential phase of the real time reaction which can generate differences in the amplification efficiency and produce minor variations in Ct values (Giulietti et al., 2001). An overview of real-time quantitative PCR was given in terms of applications to quantify cytokine gene expression (Giulietti et al., 2001). For example, after 26 cycles a 5\% variation in amplification efficiency can result in a 2 -fold change of PCR product abundance (Freeman et al., 1999). In conclusion, despite several efforts to quantify differences in the transcript abundance of the four photoreceptors cry2, photl, phot2, phyA under dark/light cycles in C. rosea and C. multiflora, it was not possible to perform good quality real time PCR reactions. A different set of primers needs to be designed to perform real time PCR.

### 4.4.4 CAM expression in detached leaves under contrasting light regimes

Monitoring the amount of titratable acids over a 24 h cycle is a useful indicator of CAM and illustrates how expression of the pathway was influenced in the detached leaves subjected to the various light regimes. The CAM species C. rosea presented a typical CAM pattern of acid fluctuation, with high levels of titratable acids detected early in the morning and low levels measured at the end of the day. Under constant white light, there was a peak of acid content at the subjective morning just before the acids started to be broken down. This pattern was similar but less pronounced to the pattern observed under dark/light and indicates circadian regulation of the CAM cycle under white light (see also Chapter 3). The fluctuation in acids was lost in all other light regimes in $C$. rosea. It is worth remembering that the blue, red and far red light treatments imposed in this chapter were of low fluence (i.e. $15 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ) and thus not comparable to the higher fluence light treatments imposed in Chapter 3. Under the low
fluence light treatments and constant darkness, the acid content was high during the whole 24 h cycle in C. rosea, some instances of the appearance of brown/necrotic patches were evident in the CAM (but not the C3) species. Similar findings have been reported for the CAM bromeliad, Aechmea maya, under low light intensities with the necrotic lesions attributed to cell death that resulted from over-acidification of the cytosol (Ceusters et al 2010). Modifications in the acid cycle in C. rosea under low levels of irradiance might be related to perturbation of the circadian control of PEPC kinase (Bohn et al 2001, Carte et al 1991) and/or to an inability to decarboxylate malic acid under low levels of light (Borland et al., 2000; Borland and Taybi, 2004; LÃœTtge, 2004). Moreover, maintaining leaves at a constant temperature of $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (which is higher than the usual night-time temperature of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ given to Clusia) may have impacted on the transport of malate across the tonoplast (Bettey and Smith 1993, Ratajczak et al 1994) and storage in the vacuole (Luttge and Smith 1994, Kluge et al 1991). High concentrations of malic acid in the cytosol may have resulted in feed-back inhibition of PEPC in the cytoplasm (Kluge et al 1981, Nimmo et al 1984,1989), thereby changing the CAM rhythm and acid fluctuations.

The C3 species $C$. multiflora presented some fluctuations in acids under the different light treatments but the patterns were not consistent with CAM. Changes in acids could result from perturbations in other metabolic processes but further analysis of this phenomenon was outside the scope of this thesis.
(http://www.appliedbiosystems.com/absite/us/en/home/support/tutorials/realtime-pcr-trouble-shooting-guide.html)

## Chapter 5: General Discussion

Several authors have indicated the close relationship between leaf anatomical characteristics (i.e. succulence) and the ability to perform CAM photosynthesis. The CAM requirement for large vacuoles contributes to lower air airspace in the mesophyll, and in addition, previous studies have indicated that in general more succulent species show lower stomatal density than less succulent species (Sayed, 1998; Lüttge et al., 2007). Based on those observations, the aim of this thesis was to investigate the possible advantages and constraints imposed by leaf anatomical traits, including stomatal anatomy and density, in the performance of CAM photosynthesis. The genus Clusia was the subject of study since it has a remarkable photosynthetic plasticity, with species performing obligate C3, C3/CAM intermediary and obligate CAM photosynthesis (Brulfert et al., 1975; Lüttge, 2006) and is thus an excellent model to study the evolution of CAM..

### 5.1. Leaf anatomical traits are related to the magnitude of CAM in Clusia

In general, close relationships were found between the magnitude of CAM photosynthesis in eight species of Clusia and six anatomical traits (stomatal size, stomatal density, IAS, \% Lmes/area, cell size, SLA) at leaf level. The data collected for Clusia were generally in agreement with those presented by Nelson and Sage (2008) who considered a much broader taxonomic range of CAM species (i.e. across 14 plant families). Within the eight species of Clusia, a negative relationship between the degree of CAM and IAS (internal air space), \% Lmes/area (length of mesophyll surface exposed to air) and SLA (specific leaf area) was found. Given this result, it was hypothesized that the presence of PEPC protein would be more abundant in the spongy mesophyll due to its proximity with the sub-stomatal cavities, thus serving to optimize uptake of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. However, PEPC was found in higher density in the palisade mesophyll cells as was Rubisco. The increased availability of light in the palisade mesophyll would help to optimise the energetic of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake via Rubisco, a feature that could be important in counteracting the high energetic cost of CAM. However, the localisation of most PEPC in the palisade mesophyll cells also implies a more protracted route for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
to travel from the sub-stomatal cavities to the site of maximal PEPC activity. Carbonic anhydrase (CA) is also necessary to convert $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and water to bicarbonate before it can be made available for PEPC. It would be of future interest to localize and estimate the amount of CA in the mesophyll of closely related C3 and CAM species. Co-localization of both carboxylation enzymes in the palisade mesophyll could signify a strategy to improve decarboxylation efficiency during the day, allowing direct transfer of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from acid breakdown to Rubisco, within the same cell, offsetting diffusion limitations of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ across the leaf.

The lower intercellular air space in the mesophyll of the CAM Clusia leaves was due to the highly packed cells but also due to the larger cell size. Cell size was significantly larger in the palisade mesophyll as the degree of CAM increased. In addition, as the magnitude of CAM increased, pore area (taken as the aperture, and calculated using the equation: Area ellipse: [(major axis/2)*(minor axis/2)]* $\pi$ ) was found to be significantly larger and stomata were found in lower densities on the abaxial surface of leaves. Unexpectedly, stomatal guard cell size (area) did not show a clear relationship with the degree of CAM, but still the maximal pore area (aperture) was found in the constitutive CAM species. Having larger stomata in lower densities might be a strategy to improve resource use in water limitation environments, since high rates of photorespiration have been reported in guard cells (Srivastava et al., 1995), and even when the relationship between the degree of CAM and guard cell size was not very clear, CAM Clusia plants still have on average slightly larger guard cells compared with C3 Clusia $\left(\mathrm{CAM}=917.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{C} 3=767.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right.$ ). Taken together these results raise the question if having larger cells is a consequence of the necessity to fit a bigger genome inside. It has been proposed that CAM may have evolved from C3 photosynthesis via a duplication of genes required for the metabolic necessities of the CAM pathway (Cushman and Bohnert, 1996). However, it is also possible that the larger mesophyll cell size of CAM Clusia reflects the need to accommodate large vacuoles for acid and water storage.

### 5.2 Genome size and correlation with anatomical traits in Clusia

It has been demonstrated in previous work that there is a positive relationship between genome size and epidermal and guard cell areas, and a negative relationship
with stomatal density in Angiosperms (Beaulieu et al., 2008). Increases in the genome size could alter the water use efficiency, since larger cells dictated by the necessity of accommodating a bigger genome, will lead to bigger stomata in lower densities (Beaulieu et al., 2008). Since larger cells (e.g. palisade, mesophyll and guard cells) were found in C. rosea compared with C. multiflora, it was decided to measure the genome size of 10 species of Clusia with contrasting photosynthetic physiologies. Samples collected from plants grown at Moorbank were sent to the Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew for genome analyses performed by Dr. Ilia Leitch and Dr. Jaume Pellicer, following the protocol described in Annex 4. 2C DNA amounts in pg (Table 5.1) were found to be positively related to stomatal guard cell size $\left(r^{2}-=0.468\right.$; Figure 5.1). However, there was no correlation between genome size and palisade or spongy mesophyll cell size or with the level of CAM expression.

The constitutive CAM species $C$. rosea contained almost double the amount of genomic DNA compared to the CAM Clusia plants and 3 fold more than the C3/CAM C. lanceolata (Table 1). This result suggested polyploidy in the case of C. rosea (I. Leitch personal communication and thus root tip samples for each of the 10 Clusia species were fixed in 3:1 ethanol: acetic acid and then sent to Kew for chromosome counting. C. rosea had the highest (almost double) amount of CDNA within the species of Clusia studied and seems to be a polyploidy, since this species has more chromosomes than the other Clusia we tested which were diploid, figure 5.2 There is just one published study reporting chromosome counts in 5 species of Clusia (Cruz et al., 1990), including some constitutive CAM species. Whilst this study did not reveal any polyploidy, there were differences in the number of chromosomes at the Clusiaceae family level. Other work reported the occurrence of adventitious embryos in C. rosea and C. minor (Maguire, 1976), which is generally a common phenomenon in polyploidy. These studies suggested that polyploidism might be important in the evolution of the Guttiferae (Clusiaceae) family (Cruz et al 1990). In spite of these findings, in general, stomatal guard cell size was not highly correlated with either stomatal pore aperture in Clusia plants, or with the degree of CAM. Thus, the larger genome of $C$. rosea compared with other Clusia species, even with those which also are constitutive CAM, appears to be something of an anomaly.

Table 5.1. Amounts of 2C DNA in pg and standard deviations (SD) for 10 species of Clusia with different type of photosynthesis, guard cell size presented a relation with the amount of 2C DNA ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}-=0.468$ ). Estimations of 2C DNA were done at Jodrell Laboratory Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, by Dr. I. Leitch and Dr. J. Pellice.

| Species | Photosynthesis <br> type | Guard <br> Cell size <br> $\left(\boldsymbol{\mu m}^{2}\right)$ | 2C DNA <br> amount <br> $(\mathbf{p g})$ | SD |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. hilariana | CAM | 879.18 | 4.05 | 0.02 |
| C. alata | CAM | 623.93 | 4.32 | 0.01 |
| C. rosea | CAM | 1248.82 | 6.70 | 0.03 |
| C. lanceolata | C3/CAM | 447.6 | 3.70 | 0.01 |
| C. aripoensis | C3/CAM | 754.56 | 3.35 | 0.00 |
| C. minor | C3/CAM |  | 3.98 | 0.01 |
| C. grandiflora | C3 | 879.18 | 3.32 | 0.00 |
| C. tocuchensis | C3 | 710.52 | 4.54 | 0.01 |
| C. multiflora | C3 | 713.28 | 4.30 | 0.00 |
| C. palmicida | C3 |  | 3.49 | 0.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 5.1. Positive relationship between stomatal guard cell size (area $\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and 2G DNA amount (pg) for eight species of Clusia. Estimations of 2C DNA were done at Jodrell Laboratory Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, by Dr. I. Leitch and Dr. J. Pellice.

Clusia multiflora
$2 \mathrm{C}=4.3 \mathrm{pg}$
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$2 \mathrm{n}=$ ??
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Figure 5.2. Chromosome preparation of C. multiflora and C. rosea by Jaume Pellicer (Dec. 2010). It was possible to count the chromosome number in C. multiflora, affirming the diploid, but poorer preparations in C. rosea prevented accurate counting of chromosomes, although there did appear to be more than in the C3 species. (All images at the same magnification).

CAM is believed to have evolved as a means of optimising plant water use efficiency, and the possession of larger stomatal apertures (and indeed larger stomatal guard cells in the case of the constitutive CAM species C. rosea) is difficult to reconcile with this. Thus, it was hypothesised that the larger stomata of the CAM C. rosea would respond faster to changes in the environment and be subject to more robust circadian control compared to those of a constitutive C3 Clusia. These ideas were explored further in Chapter 3.

### 5.3 Circadian control of stomatal conductance is more robust in CAM than in C3 Clusia plants and is mediated via photoreceptors rather than via metabolism

The circadian clock is believed to play a key role in coordinating the metabolic processes that underpin CAM (Hartwell et al., 1996; Nimmo, 1998, 2003; Hartwell, 2005) Light is a critical factor in gating responses of the circadian clock to changes in
environmental conditions and it has also been proposed that the day-time closure of stomata in CAM plants is due to a loss of light-regulated stomatal conductance (Lee and Assmann, 1992). Stomata respond to light via two main mechanisms: a metabolic response to red light which induces photosynthesis (lowering the internal $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations, which is believed to act as a signal for stomatal movements (Roelfsema and Hedrich, 2005) and a direct response to blue light which causes depolarization of the guard cells membranes and changes in osmotic potential, thereby generating stomatal opening. To establish if the stomata of CAM plants which are open during the night, still respond to light, and to examine if circadian oscillations in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance are disrupted by changes in light regime, gas exchange measurements were made over 48 hours under constant light regimes (e.g. white light, blue light, red light and darkness). C. rosea a constitutive CAM plant, and C. multiflora, a constitutive C3 plants were compared and were found to respond differently to variation in light regimes. Contrary to expectations, stomata in the CAM Clusia had not lost the blue light response; oscillations of stomatal conductance and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation were maintained under constant blue light but shifted in the time of peak responses (compared to under white light). On the other hand, the C 3 species $C$. multiflora showed a damped oscillation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation under constant blue light and both $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation and stomatal conductance were damped under constant red light. The CAM species, C. rosea maintained circadian oscillations in net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake and stomatal conductance under constant red light but the peaks in both were now shifted to the subjective day, compared to responses under white light. In conclusion, both species responded differently to light and circadian control of stomatal conductance was found to be mediated by both photoreceptors and metabolism, the latter including photosynthesis and, in the case of the CAM Clusia, PEPC activation status.

The CAM Clusia showed a decrease in water use efficiency under constant light regimes, probably as a consequence of the increase in stomatal conductance during the subjective light period which generated high rates of transpiration that were not compensated for by net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation. This was not the case for the C 3 C. multiflora and indicates the importance of the maintenance of circadian control over the larger stomata in the CAM Clusia.

Given the finding that the response of stomata to different wavelengths of light has not been lost in CAM species of Clusia, and remembering the importance of the maintenance of circadian control in the conservation of water, the kinetic responses of
the larger CAM stomata to changes in the environment were assessed. It has been reported previously that wider guard cells can better sense a decrease in humidity, but might have slower responses to changes in water potential compared to smaller guard cells (Assmann and Wang, 2001). In this thesis it was hypothesized that CAM species of Clusia with larger stomata (higher maximal aperture) than C3 species have a stronger control over stomatal conductance and will maintain a conserved rhythm to maintain high WUE. It was proposed that stomata of the CAM C. rosea would respond differently (perhaps faster) to changes in light intensity in terms of speed of opening and closing, compared with stomata of the C3 C. multiflora. This hypothesis was corroborated by recording the changes in stomatal conductance every minute over a 30 minute period in response to changes in turning lights on or off. The larger stomata of C. rosea responded faster during the photoperiod compared with those of C. multiflora, but not during the night. The results suggest that the more rapid responses in the stomata of the CAM species might be important for optimising carbon gain and reducing water loss under changing environmental conditions at the start and end of the day. Several studies have suggested that in dry environments smaller stomata respond faster to water stress and high density stomata maximize the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion under optimal conditions for photosynthesis. However, this does not appear to be the case in Clusia, where larger stomata of C. rosea, the CAM plant, responded faster to light compared with smaller stomata of C. multiflora, the C3 plant, under non water stressed conditions. Furthermore, the larger guard cells of $C$. rosea appear to be related to the necessity of accommodating a bigger genome yet this does not confer a disadvantage for this plant since CAM confers a with higher WUE compared with the C3 plant. On the other hand, smaller stomata in higher densities could result in a good strategy for $C$. multiflora inhabiting dry and very exposed environments, with less of a selective pressure for CAM.

Following on from these findings, it was decided to probe the molecular mechanisms underpinning the contrasting responses of stomata to light noted in the C3 and CAM species of Clusia.

### 5.4 Circadian control of photoreceptors may represent a point of divergence between C3 and CAM in Clusia

Phototropins are photoreceptors involved directly in the blue light signalling in the guard cell for stomatal opening. Cryptochrome is another well studied photoreceptor involved in the circadian control of different processes in response to blue light. Phytochrome is a red/far red chromophore involved in the recognition of photoperiod length and in the regulation of circadian rhythms (Reed et al., 1994). Some works have suggested that phototropins, phytochromes and cryptochromes act together in the induction of stomatal opening. Kasahara et al (2004), demonstrated the impossibility of mobilized and accumulated chloroplasts, lowering the photosynthetic efficiency, in the "photA2photB1photB2-1 mutant" of the moss Physcomitrella patens under red light, implying that phototropins could be part of the signalling pathways for phytochromedependant chloroplast movements. Also (Mao et al., 2005) reported that CRY 1 and CRY 2 act in conjunction with PHOT1 and PHOT2 to mediate blue light induction of stomatal opening in Arabidopsis.

Based on the contrasting responses of stomatal conductance and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation in C. rosea and C. multiflora observed under different light regimes, differences between the species in the transcript abundance of phototropins under regular 24h dark/light and under different light treatments were predicted. Results from real time PCR showed a 5 fold higher abundance (of pho1) under regular 24h light/dark for $C$. rosea, compared with C. multiflora. The species also differed in terms of the timing of peak expression of phototropins, the level of control exerted by circadian clock over the transcripts and the response of transcript abundance to different light regimes. Variations in the transcript abundance of phototropins over the diel cycle under different light treatments were not correlated with the patterns of stomatal conductance observed under the same light treatments, indicating possible post-transcriptional regulation of phototropins, including inhibitory signals or negative feedback, in the control of the functioning of these photoreceptors.

The level of control exerted by the circadian clock over the transcript abundance for phototropins appeared to differ between the C3 and CAM Clusia. Moreover, the diel patterns of expression under different light regimes was found to be different when comparing phot 1 and phot 2 in $C$. multiflora, supporting the idea of a non identical sensitivity and non-redundant function of these phototropins as has been tested before in previous work on the C3 species Arabidopsis (Nakasako et al., 2008). In the CAM C.
rosea, photl and phot 2 responded very similarly to blue, far red and darkness. Transcripts of photl were not detected under constant red and white light in the CAM Clusia, and the same occurred for phot 2 but under constant white and blue light. This might indicate a different function of the two phototropins, with photl more sensitive to low light intensities, and phot 2 to higher irradiances. Furthermore, phot 2 seemed to be degraded or not expressed under high irradiances (constant white light and blue light) in both plants. These results demonstrated that the phototropin response has not been lost in CAM plants but there is a divergence in the functions of phot 1 and phot 2 at some point in the Clusia CAM and the Clusia C3. It is possible that the appearance of evolutionary variants like isoforms of the known photoreceptors or even different photoreceptors is part of the mechanisms underpinning divergence between photosynthetic modes in the Clusia genus. On the other hand, cry2 seemed to respond rather similarly in the C3 and CAM Clusia although the amplitude of the oscillations in the transcript abundance and the responsiveness to light was lower in $C$. rosea than in $C$. multiflora for cry2. Cry2 may warrant further investigation as a component of the changed blue-light response that has been reported for CAM versus C 3 stomata.

Transcript abundance of phyA, also showed contrasting patterns (almost opposite) in both species, under all light treatments. Transcript abundance of phyA was 7 fold higher for $C$. rosea than for $C$. multiflora under 24 h dark/light cycles. Could this be another point of divergence between the two photosynthetic modes? It would be of future interest to explore phytochromes in more detail in closely related C3 and CAM systems, given the proposed importance of phytochromes in circadian control.

In conclusion, the response of stomata to light in Clusia plants must be mediated by a coordinated labour and cross-talk between different photoreceptors which exert control over $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ assimilation, acid turnover and water use.

### 5.5 Other findings related to the main hypotheses of the thesis

### 5.5.1 Vein density

Given the observed differences in the size of stomatal apertures and density of stomata between CAM and C3 species of Clusia it is important to consider the implications for transpirational water loss, taking into account the diffusion limitations
inside the mesophyll of succulent leaves which might constitute a barrier for water vapour movement. The hydraulic apparatus is expected to play an important role in the movement of water across the leaf, from delivery to the leaf via the mid-vein to the sites of transpirational loss (i.e. the stomata). For that reason leaf vein density was estimated and compared between the constitutive CAM plant C. rosea and the constitutive C3 plant $C$. multiflora following the methods described in Annex 3.

Differences between leaf vein densities were observed between species. When grouping together primary and secondary veins, the density was slightly lower in $C$. rosea $\left(0.72 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$ than in $C$. multiflora $\left(0.8 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$, although this difference was not statistically significant ( $\mathrm{p}=0.896$ ). However, it is clear than primary vein is higher than secondary vein, but this differences are not significant between species either ( $\mathrm{p}=0.126$ ).


Figure 5.3. Mean values ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) and errors bars at $95 \%$ CI of primary vein density in blue and secondary vein density in green for leaves of $C$. rosea $\mathrm{n}=61$ and $C$ multiflora. $\mathrm{n}=47$.

Based on these results, it could be suggested that the C3 C. multiflora with a higher primary and secondary vein density has a higher potential to conduct water through the mesophyll compared to the CAM C. rosea. It has been reported previously that increased vein density is an adaptation to arid environments; Acacia in areas with
lower precipitation presented a higher primary vein density compared with plants of the same genus in places with higher precipitation (Sommerville et al., 2010) and with higher irradiance (Roth-Nebelsick, 2001; Sage, 2004). Better water movement and less water stress appears to result from having a superior network connecting tissues from xylem to mesophyll (McKown and Dengler, 2009). Sage et al (2004) suggested that higher vein density is a strategy to improve water status by increasing water supply relative to the evaporative surface of the leaf. However, having in mind the higher specific leaf area (SLA) and higher stomatal densities of C3 Clusia species compared to CAM Clusia, higher vein densities in the C3 Clusia could signify a higher potential for water transpiration and might be reflected in the lower water use efficiency in the C3 compared with the CAM Clusia. This potential disadvantage might be counteracted by the smaller stomata in the C 3 plant. In addition, the higher cost of having more stomata and more veins might be offset by making the most of the high light irradiance (Sage, 2004; Sack and Frole, 2006). In previous works, sun-establishing species were found to have in addition to higher vein density, a thicker palisade (Popma et al., 1992; Kenzo et al., 2004)). Furthermore, Sack et al (2006), suggested that the higher vein density of sun-establishment species means lower hydraulic resistance for water supply to larger stomata, allowing high gas exchange rates and perhaps high growth rate, which could compensate for the cost of constructing more veins. It has also been observed that higher vein density is related with mesophyll cell size (McKown and Dengler, 2009), which is not the case in the two Clusia species examined here (C. rosea had larger mesophyll cells compared to C. multiflora). Increased vein density is an early anatomical change which is suggested to be a precondition for evolving the C 4 photosynthetic pathway from C3 (Sage, 2001; McKown et al., 2005; McKown and Dengler, 2007; McKown and Dengler, 2009). On the other hand, the slightly lower vein density found in C. rosea compared to $C$. multiflora could be attributed to the difficulty in picking out minor veins in the thicker-leaved CAM species (Figure 5.3).

Mechanical models that simulate the hydraulic systems of real leaves, have demonstrated that after a certain point increasing leaf thickness does not influence the hydraulic conductance. Thus, to invest in more veins could signify a waste of energy for succulent plants, since it is more important to reduce the distance between veins and epidermis or veins to stomata (Brodribb et al., 2007). Results from the present study do not provide conclusive information about the length of secondary veins, especially in the CAM Clusia species. It is possible that the amount and length of secondary veins
(reflected in the density) is being underestimated due to the poor resolution of the pictures. Also, the densities recorded for both Clusia species are notably lower compared with values reported by other works (McKown and Dengler, 2009), suggesting a general underestimation of the total vein density. It would be very interesting to test these hypothesis in more species of Clusia since it has been demonstrated that photosynthetic efficiency is directly related to hydraulic conductance (McKown and Dengler, 2009). Attempts to understand the constraints on water transport must include vein patterns as well as stomatal control and morphological characteristics of the mesophyll (Noblin et al., 2008). Having information about the hydraulic system would give us a better and more complete understanding of the leaf anatomical relationships with the photosynthetic mode within Clusia.


Figure 5.4. Pictures of leaves showing veins in red, from C. multiflora ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) and $C$. rosea ( $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ) treated and stained with $1 \%$ Safarin under light microscopy 5X magnification.

### 5.4 Technical challenges encountered in studying Clusia

Throughout the course of the research underpinning this thesis a number of technical difficulties were encountered when trying to apply standardised anatomical, biochemical and molecular methods to Clusia. These difficulties are described below, along with suggested guidelines for future improvements.

A key result from the thesis was demonstrating a close relationship between certain leaf anatomical traits and the degree of CAM photosynthesis. Such relationships have not previously been reported for Clusia and may ultimately give better insight on mechanisms underpinning evolutionary divergence between C3 and CAM. Immunolocalization techniques have been used before in Clusia to examine the localization throughout the mesophyll of PEPC and PEPCK (Borland et al., 1998). Research conducted as part of the present thesis sought to actually quantify the amount of PEPC and Rubisco proteins through different layers of the leaf mesophyll of C3 and CAM species of Clusia proteins via Immuno-gold staining. Unfortunately it was not possible to determine the localization and density of PEPC and Rubisco proteins in $C$. multiflora or C. tocuchensis, possibly due to the high content of phenolics in these species which could have interfered with the recognition of the antibodies (E. Olmos personal communication). Also, the presence of latex, and the thickness of the Clusia leaves make resin penetration difficult, leaving the tissue very weak and in some cases damaged after fixation and sectioning which is noticeable under electron microscopy. For species like Clusia with very thick leaves, the content of latex and phenolic implies a trade off between penetration of the resin and conservation of the tissue, versus sensitivity of the antibodies. In order to deal with the high phenolic content of some Clusia leaves, it may be necessary to try a different resin (E. Olmos personal communication), but due to time constraints this was not possible in the present thesis. However, good tissue preservation and immunogold localisation was achieved using a constitutive CAM plant C. rosea and a very weak inducible CAM, C. aripoensis, making possible some comparisons between species that show different photosynthetic physiologies (see section 2.3.1 Figure 2.3)

At the molecular level, the work reported in the thesis gave new insights for Clusia. Real time PCR is a valuable technique to quantify transcript abundance and is becoming more popular than reverse transcript (RT) PCR. The aim of quantifying the transcript abundance of four photoreceptors under regular light/dark conditions was to validate results from RT PR and also to optimize the primers to be used in the future.

However, despite several attempts, just two of the photoreceptors (phol, phyA) were amplified by real time PCR, whilst the other two genes (pho2, cry2) did not give accurate results, which might be due to the primers specificity. It is probably necessary to design new primers, taking into account the higher sensitivity of the SYBR green and real time PCR compared to that of RT-PCR. It is possible that some primers were designed against a low complexity sequence, and are not very specific for the gene in question. For example in the case of cry2 the sequence used to design the primer is quite short; to solve the problem it is necessary to select another region of the sequence (difficult in this case because there is only one sequence available for Clusia in the EST data base constructed by Shorrock 2009). An alternative would be to try a longer primer with higher melting temperature (Tm) to increase specificity. Also the length of the amplicon could be a problem in this case; the primers for cry2 were designed to amplify a product of 155 bp , and the literature recommends amplicons between $50-150 \mathrm{bp}$. Finally, the efficiency ratio between the target gene and the reference gene should be 1 and this it was not the case in our experiments. Future work should consider the use of software (i.e.: primer express) to design real time PCR experiments.

The research described in the thesis is the first to explore the responses to light quality in two species of the same genus with contrasting modes of photosynthesis. It was discovered that the CAM Clusia responded differently to blue and red light compared with the C3 Clusia and it was also shown that the response of stomata to blue light has not been lost in C. rosea the constitutive CAM plant. Future studies need to focus on the light responses of the actual stomatal guard cells, in order to be able to relate light-quality induced changes in water/ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ regulation to light-quality effects on stomatal movements. Cryo-sectioning techniques which enable the extraction of RNA from guard cells are a most promising technique at the moment. To be able to isolate RNA specifically from guard cells in order to examine the expression of photoreceptors will give more compelling evidence about the involvement of these photoreceptors in stomatal movements in C3 and CAM species. Since photoreceptors have several functions within the plant including leaf growth, phototropism, etc. more localised studies of gene expression at the cellular level are required. Nevertheless, the transcript abundance measurements of cry 2 and phyA at the leaf level could provide further information about circadian control than if these genes were just assessed within the guard cells. Experiments at the levels of leaf and guard cells need to be conducted in closely related C3 and CAM species. To better explore the circadian expression of
photoreceptors under different light treatments, longer periods of exposure to light would be ideal, in order to assess the robustness of transcript oscillations through time. Such destructive sampling would require a lot of plant material and a lot of suitable environmental space within which to impose the different light treatments. Because of these limitations, the present work used experiments with excised leaves which could not support more than 48 hours detached from the plant.

### 5.5 New perspectives and further experiments

Plants within the genus Clusia possess outstanding plasticity in their mode of photosynthesis and are thus an interesting model with which to examine the evolution of CAM photosynthesis. Only $7 \%$ of the angiosperms perform CAM photosynthesis, which might imply that CAM presents constraints and limitations to e.g. growth, reproductive success, and competition. However, it has been proven that CAM plants are very successful under certain environmental conditions, such as high irradiance, water stress and low $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations. Moreover, recent work has highlighted the high productivity of certain CAM plants despite the higher energetic costs of the mechanism compared to C3 (Borland et al 2009; 2011). The physiological basis of high WUE in CAM plants has been described previously in several works and genes implicated in the C3-CAM transition have been suggested from studies conducted on Mesembryanthemum crystallinum (Cushman et al., 2008). M. crystallinum is a good model for molecular work because of its relatively small genome size and ease of growth. However, in M. crystallinum CAM is induced via the imposition of salinity stress, a factor which might obscure changes in gene expression that are specific to CAM from those that are associated with acclimation to salinity. With regard to Clusia a recent EST data base was constructed from libraries of transcripts produced from droughted leaves of a constitutive CAM species (C. multiflora) and a facultative CAM species (C. minor; (Shorrock, 2009). This study has provided a way with which to dissect out genes implicated in general drought acclimation from those specifically associated with CAM. This important resource allows comparative molecular studies on various aspects of CAM.

The contrasting patterns in leaf anatomy and stomatal responses to light reported here for two species of Clusia with different modes of photosynthesis, provide more insight in understanding the mechanisms that underpin CAM. Now it is clear that leaf
anatomy is strongly related with CAM within closely related species in a single genus. However, to fully understand the molecular bases of those relationships and the performance of CAM, it would be ideal to be able to genetically modify Clusia in order to evaluate the implications of different photoreceptors in gas exchange mechanisms and their relationship with drought tolerance. There are no reports of successful transformation of Clusia and due to the size and relatively slow growth rates of Clusia, genetic modification approaches will be difficult in this species. However, other CAM plants as Kalanchoe fedstchenkoi are easier to modify genetically and mutants with modified photoreceptor expression could be created in this species and the implications for stomatal conductance assessed. Another approach to examining the role of different photoreceptors in stomatal regulation in CAM plants would be to evaluate the transcript abundance of photoreceptors in the stomatal guard cells in C. multiflora and C. rosea. At the whole leaf level differences were found in the transcripts of four photoreceptors under different light treatments. Also it was evident that the response of stomata to blue light has not been lost in the CAM species $C$. rosea since it maintained circadian oscillation in gas exchange and shifted the maximal stomatal conductance to subjective day under low intensity blue light (Chap 3). Moreover, it seems that C. rosea does not need the specific blue light stimuli to open the stomata as was found on as the C3 species C. multiflora. Stomata of C. rosea were also more sensitive to blue light, as stomata opened under high blue light without a background of red (perhaps because that high intensity ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) also stimulates photosynthesis). The C3 species C. multiflora needed both red and blue light to open stomata. This result may be due to the need of a higher depletion in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ internal concentrations driven by photosynthesis in response to red light. It is still not entirely clear if the response to blue light in C. rosea is positive, since stomata opened during the subjective day as they did under constant darkness but at a lower magnitude. Then it is possible that photoreceptors of C. rosea are transmitting a negative signal from light to shut the stomata, and in the absence of light, the signal is not transmitted and the stomata are open. To evaluate this hypothesis it would be necessary to work at the level of the stomatal guard cells. In addition to cryosectioning of guard cells and RNA extraction, another possibility is to use green fluorescence protein (GFP) techniques to mark specific genes within the guard cells. For this purpose it would be necessary to isolate epidermis from the rest of the mesophyll, from leaves previously subjected to different light treatments. However, this approach presents two main problems: previous attempts to do molecular work at the guard cell
level have faced difficulties related to isolation of guard cells in Arabidopsis due to their small size (Pandey et al., 2002) and species such as Clusia do not allow for easy removal of epidermis strips. However, other CAM plants such as Kalanchoe have easily pealable epidermis and are easier to transform with GFP compared to Clusia, indicating that this species would be a good model for exploring the molecular basis of stomatal function in CAM plants. The idea would be to mark some photoreceptors (making a recombinant gene) with green fluorescence protein and introduce the construct to the plants via a vector. Transformed plants would be placed for at least 48 hours (more if leaves don't suffer any damage) under the different light treatments, and then the epidermis would be peeled, trying to isolate it from mesophyll. The epidermis could be checked under the fluorescence microscope for the presence of any of the GFP-tagged genes in stomatal guard cells. It would be interesting as well, to continue the circadian experiments on photoreceptor gene expression over longer periods of time under different light regimes. However, the complication with this type of experiment is the potential leaf damage (brown spots) that can occur; in this work, yellow and brown spots were noted in leaves of $C$. rosea under constant blue and constant far red light, and under constant darkness whole leaves of $C$. rosea turned yellow, indicating possible loss of chlorophyll (chapter 4 figure 4.7). To counteract this effect it would be better to isolate and culture stomatal guard cells and then conduct in vitro experiments exposing the cells to different light treatments. However, in vitro culturing of guard cells can present some difficulties due to their totipotentiality; once the epidermal cells are cleaned from the culture, the guard cells can become undifferentiated and can even form calli (Hall et al., 1996). In this case it would be necessary to culture both kind of cells (epidermal pavement cells and stomatal guard cells) and then separate the cell types by flow cytometry or sorting or just differentiating the cells by morphology under the microscope to make Immuno-staining assays for identifying protein expression. Due to these constraints it could be worthwhile to optimize a technique for separating the epidermis of Clusia plants from the rest of the mesophyll in order to isolate guard cells. There is as well the possibility to work with another CAM plant like Kalanchoe, which is easier to grow and modify genetically.

Microarrays or Elisas for photoreceptors at leaf and stomatal guard cell level could be another interesting technique to use, to obtain more information about the transcriptional regulation of certain genes in response to light in CAM and C3 species of

Clusia. Elisas and western blots could also provide information about some possible post-transcriptional regulation of photoreceptors.

Currently, several studies suggest that genes involved in circadian control are also responsible for stomatal movements (Somers et al., 1998). However, further work that allows the identification of genes involved in stomatal control in CAM plants in the direct (if it exists) response to light could start a program of research on how modifying stomatal responses in C3 crops could make them more tolerant/resistant to drought. The expression of some photoreceptors in CAM plants first needs to be proved at stomatal guard cell level. It is difficult to create knockouts of some genes if those play key functional roles, because the plants would be dysfunctional. Moreover, it is almost impossible to test the role of just one gene or protein in the stomatal movements in CAM plants, because several genes and proteins act in conjunction to control gas exchange in response to different factors such as light and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations. Then to be able to modify other plants of economic interests in order to get higher WUE, I believe that the next step is to test in vitro the response of those photoreceptors in CAM plants. For example, it would be feasible to create a Kalanchoe knockout of phol and another of pho2, put the plants under different light treatments, (low and high blue light), and measure stomatal conductance and other gas exchange parameters. The stomatal guard cells could also be isolated and cultured from those plants to measure the changes in the electric potential in the culture media and guard cell membrane, as an indication of depolarization of the guard cell membrane under different light treatments, and compare it with the control wild type. This experiment could give us an indication of the different roles of both phototropins 1 and 2 in stomatal movements in CAM plants. Knowing this, it would be possible to create a mutant of the phototropin that responded more to high blue light irradiancy (i.e.: phot2) and restrict the stomatal opening to the time of the day when the blue light is less intense, i.e. dusk and dawn, and thus lessen the transpiration. However, in this case it is very possible that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ internal concentrations will override the effect of light on stomata and manage the movements, but still it would be worth to test this in CAM plants. Similarly, other experiments could be done with cryptochromes and phytochromes, although the complications could be superior, since both genes are implicated in circadian processes.

In summary one of the overarching aims of this thesis was to give an advance in the knowledge of functional CAM anatomy and stomatal regulation. Such aims can provide a platform for implementing this knowledge in improving the water use
efficiency of current crops and helping the conservation of natural ecosystems, using abandoned lands or desert habits for plant cultivation instead of deforesting valuable habitats for agricultural activities

### 5.6 Final conclusions - key findings of the thesis

- Leaf morphological traits: specific leaf area (SLA), internal air space in the mesophyll (\%IAS), length of mesophyll per area exposed to air (Lmes/area) and stomatal density are negatively related with the magnitude of CAM whereas cell size and stomatal pore area are positive related with CAM. This has strong implications for leaf anatomy in the evolution of CAM.
- Circadian oscillations in stomatal conductance and net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake were maintained for longer in the CAM C. rosea compared to the C3 C. multiflora under the contrasting light regimes (i.e. more robust clock control over stomata in the CAM species).
- The circadian clock in C. rosea is more responsive (in comparison to the C . multiflora clock) to light signals, which modify clock control over stomatal conductance and the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake rhythm.
- The stomata of $C$. rosea responded to light directly altering rates of photosynthesis and some blue light photoreceptors were also implicated in the circadian rhythm of stomatal conductance, confirming that blue light response has not been lost in the CAM species. Also as cry2 is the photoreceptor implied in circadian rhythms and is sensitive to blue light, this result highlights the importance of this gene product in controlling circadian processes.
- Circadian control of stomatal conductance in CAM Clusia plants is controlled by both photoreceptors directly and photosynthesis indirectly, as well as other metabolic processes (e.g. malate fluctuations).
- Patterns of transcript abundance of phot 1 and phot 2 were different in $C$. multiflora and C. rosea under 12h dark/12h light cycles and presented changes in
response to different light regimes. The relative abundance of both phototropins under the usual 24 h light/dark cycle appeared to be highest in the CAM species.
- The transcript abundances of both phyA and cry2 varied over the light/dark cycle and under constant light, indicating circadian control of both photoreceptors in the C3 and CAM Clusias.
- There were differences in the 24 h patterns of expression between photl and phot 2 and in the responses of photl and phot2 to the different light treatments, implying that there is not a redundant function between them.
- The CAM species exhibited more robust control of stomatal conductance under constant red light, compared to the C3 species. Thus, the way in which phyA is linked to the clock could be a point of divergence between the C3 and CAM Clusias.
- Transcript abundance of cry2 and phyA was altered under constant light regimes in both species. However, the amplitude of the oscillations in the transcript abundances of cry2 and phyA do not seem to be more responsive to light in $C$ rosea than in $C$. multiflora. Even when in some cases variation in transcript abundance seemed to be related to gas exchange patterns, is very likely that more genes are involved in the control of stomatal movements and responses to light (i.e.: cryl, phyB).


## Appendix 1: Gas exchange measurements

## Net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake

Measurement of net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake is a direct alternative, non destructive method of measuring carbon gain, compared to the traditional method of harvesting, drying and weighing plant material. An advantage of this technique is the ability to identify the relative contribution of the four phases of the CAM cycle to total carbon gain.

## The Walz CMS-400 Compact Minicuvette System

Net $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake was measured using the bench-top Walz CMS-400 Compact Minicuvete system (Heinz Walz, Effeltrich, Germany), with an integral BINOS-100 infrared gas analyser (IRGA). The system was operated in open (differential) mode, with no addition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to the flow of air through the system to compensate for CO 2 taken up during photosynthesis.

Air was collected from outside the building and passed through a buffering chamber to reduce fluctuation in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration. The air was then saturated with water vapour, before passing through the input humidity control unit (Walz Cold Trap KF-18/2), cooling the input gas to a predetermined dew point temperature, using the Peltier effect, controlled humidity. This temperature was set manually at dawn and dusk, to a level lower than growth room temperature to avoid condensation within the apparatus.

The air flow was then passed into the central unit, where it was split between two parallel paths, the measuring gas path and the reference gas path, each having a pump, mass flow meter and electronic flow rate control; maintaining the gas flow at approximately $400 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, allowing sufficient air to pass over.

The leaf surface to prevent a build up of humidity in the leaf chamber, and thus maintain adequate boundary layer conductance which might affect calculations of assimilation (Long and Hallgren, 1993).

The measuring gas stream passed through the sealed leaf cuvette, whilst the reference gas passed through a compensation vessel with the same path length and volume as that taken by the measuring gas. Thus both gas flows reached the IRGAs at the same time, eliminating any differences in measurement due to fluctuations in input $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration. Differential zero point measurements eliminated any drift between the zero points of the measuring gas and reference systems. These corrections were achieved by stopping the flow of measuring gas and splitting the
reference gas flow into two, passing this gas through both the reference gas and measuring gas low IRGAs.

Infra-red (IR) gas analysis relies on the principle that hetero-atomic gas molecules absorb radiation at specific IR bands, each gas having a characteristic absorption spectrum. Gas molecules with two identical atoms, such as $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, do not absorb IR radiation and hence do not interfere with the measurement of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. However, the absorption spectrum of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ does interfere with the measurement of CO 2 and so the gas stream was cooled prior to measuring CO 2 in the BINOS-100, to remove water vapour by condensation. In most IRGAS, IR radiation from heated metal or alloy source is passed through a gas chamber in which the gas being analysed flows continuously. A change in the concentration of this gas will alter the radiation leaving the chamber.

## Measurements using the Walz CMS-400 System

An intact Clusia leaf was placed in the leaf cuvette, maintaining leaf position and orientation. Balance measuring and reference gas flows confirmed the leaf chamber was sealed. The leaf was allowed to acclimate to chamber conditions for one to two hours before gas exchange measurements began. At the start of the study, data was logged over 48 hours for a random sample of pineapple leaves, to ensure that sufficient time was allowed for gas exchange to stabilise before measurements were taken. Data was logged every 15 minutes and differential zero point measurements taken every 10 data collection periods. Data was downloaded onto IBM compatible computer and analysed using DIAGAS software supplied by Walz.
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentrations were determined using the BINOS-100 IRGA. Gas flow rates, leaf temperature, chamber relative humidity and incident PDF were all measured directly by the walz CMS-400 System. Net CO2 uptake was determined using the difference in CO2 mole fractions between gas entering and leaving the leaf cuvette (equation 1.1). This approach follows the work of von Caemmerer and Farquhar (1981).

$$
A=\frac{u_{m}\left(c_{e}-c_{0}\right)}{s}
$$

Equation 1.1
Where
$\mathrm{A}=$ net rate of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ uptake per unit leaf area $\left(\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$.
$\mathrm{Um}=$ molar flow rate $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$

$$
\mathrm{S}=\text { leaf area }\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Co}=$ difference in me fraction between CO 2 entering and leaving the leaf cuvette.

However, Ce and Co are equivalent to the reference gas and the measuring gas $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ mole fractions, respectively, so the term ( $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Co}$ ) is effectively the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{ppm}$ differential between the reference and measuring gas flows. The molar gas flow (Um) is calculated from the volumetric flow rate ( $\mathrm{Uv} ; \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), using gas laws, and the relationship that one mole of an ideal gas has a volume of $0.0224 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ at 273.15 K and 101.3 kPa (equation 1.2 (Holum, 1994)).

$$
u_{m}=\frac{u_{v} \times 273.15 \times p}{0.0224 \times 101.3 \times T}
$$

Equation 1.2

Where p is atmospheric pressure $(\mathrm{kPa})$ and T is temperature $(\mathrm{K})$.

## Appendix 2: Image J - How to estimate IAS and Lmes/area

First a duplicate image was created (right hand click and select duplicate). On this duplicated image, the next step was to click on - Process - find edges, Process - binary - make binary, to make the airspaces visible and these were then selected using the fill tool - bucket of paint- or by hand using the paint brush tool, After this, these areas were selected using the wand tool and holding the shift key down the areas appeared in yellow. Once the areas were selected the image was converted into a mask - edit selection - create mask (This creates an image that just has the selected areas on it). Then, the image was threshold - image - adjust - threshold (this is like a density slice, and was used to be sure the selected areas are in red). The threshold image can be altered using the sliding bar if necessary. The individual particles/areas were measured using - Analyze - measure particles. The results were displayed in a separate window and the corresponding area numbers were mapped onto the image.

## Appendix 3: Stomata dimensions

Measures of stomata dimensions in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ for eight species of Clusia. The measures have been made based on the diagram in figure 2.2 b , and stomatal pore area was calculated assuming the pore as an ellipse with a constant minor axis = pore length, at different apertures, and maximal aperture as major axis;

Area ellipse: [(major axis/2)*(minor axis/2)]* $\pi$

| Specie | pore length (um) | PGD (um) | Pore width (um) | Guard cell length (um) | Pore area ( $\mathrm{um}^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. alata | 23.21 | 28.46 | 10.93 | 26.39 | 199.32 |
|  | 20.59 | 33.15 | 8.84 | 33.68 | 142.96 |
|  | 22.19 | 36.15 | 10.10 | 28.28 | 176.03 |
|  | 20.32 | 30.22 | 9.02 | 30.07 | 143.99 |
|  | 20.43 | 26.67 | 5.67 | 29.83 | 90.92 |
|  | 22.37 | 33.01 | 12.71 | 30.19 | 223.38 |
|  | 22.19 | 27.58 | 11.35 | 30.41 | 197.77 |
|  | 18.93 | 33.58 | 6.11 | 26.20 | 90.87 |
|  | 20.63 | 26.91 | 5.99 | 26.28 | 97.08 |
|  | 20.71 | 28.44 | 6.84 | 28.50 | 111.22 |
|  | 18.89 | 28.67 | 2.13 | 30.33 | 31.66 |
|  | 19.96 | 31.48 | 4.03 | 30.01 | 63.09 |
|  | 18.58 | 30.17 | 8.33 | 28.68 | 121.45 |
|  | 21.64 | 30.37 | 3.30 | 29.53 | 56.08 |
|  | 20.92 | 28.65 | 10.88 | 28.99 | 178.68 |
|  | 17.92 | 32.32 | 10.35 | 25.71 | 145.63 |
|  | 18.19 | 31.56 | 10.44 | 29.24 | 149.09 |
|  | 20.65 | 27.97 | 9.48 | 25.64 | 153.68 |
|  | 18.06 | 29.69 | 9.82 | 27.20 | 139.24 |
|  | 19.97 | 29.16 | 11.66 | 29.51 | 182.92 |
|  | 16.92 | 30.62 | 8.68 | 27.04 | 115.29 |
|  | 21.24 | 28.81 | 9.02 | 29.96 | 150.45 |
|  | 18.64 | 27.72 | 8.45 | 29.32 | 123.64 |
|  | 21.34 | 26.72 | 12.45 | 30.86 | 208.61 |
|  | 17.69 | 27.23 | 7.85 | 27.40 | 109.05 |
|  | 17.78 | 31.38 | 9.47 | 29.75 | 132.25 |
|  | 19.85 | 30.28 | 7.91 | 29.03 | 123.35 |
|  | 18.31 | 33.35 | 7.25 | 28.36 | 104.23 |
|  | 14.52 | 28.76 | 11.24 | 26.40 | 128.16 |
|  | 16.07 | 23.33 | 8.84 | 26.67 | 111.51 |


|  | 17.16 | 27.02 | 12.88 | 29.41 | 173.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18.17 | 29.79 | 13.53 | 26.55 | 193.13 |
|  | 17.30 | 29.19 | 14.76 | 29.31 | 200.55 |
|  | 20.95 | 28.38 | 15.42 | 32.20 | 253.74 |
|  | 15.48 | 26.09 | 13.65 | 24.81 | 165.87 |
|  | 15.39 | 32.21 | 14.01 | 22.54 | 169.37 |
|  | 17.71 | 27.75 | 13.00 | 29.07 | 180.81 |
|  | 16.66 | 27.17 | 13.67 | 25.00 | 178.86 |
|  | 22.13 | 32.05 | 9.25 | 28.00 | 160.80 |
|  | 18.19 | 29.08 | 13.27 | 27.81 | 189.60 |
|  | 14.92 | 31.87 | 9.37 | 26.89 | 109.79 |
|  | 13.65 | 25.19 | 9.80 | 27.55 | 105.11 |
|  | 20.85 | 31.47 | 10.80 | 31.45 | 176.89 |
|  | 17.35 | 25.17 | 6.60 | 27.58 | 89.94 |
|  | 17.33 | 27.38 | 7.80 | 30.25 | 106.17 |
|  | 16.23 | 28.37 | 7.27 | 29.52 | 92.66 |
|  | 17.09 | 29.38 | 9.35 | 27.04 | 125.45 |
|  | 19.53 | 29.78 | 9.43 | 26.52 | 144.63 |
|  | 15.85 | 23.91 | 7.25 | 25.70 | 90.21 |
|  | 18.38 | 28.26 | 8.83 | 26.25 | 127.49 |
|  | 12.47 | 27.10 | 9.11 | 24.16 | 89.22 |
|  | 17.77 | 28.63 | 6.13 | 25.63 | 85.47 |
|  | 18.21 | 27.31 | 13.17 | 28.82 | 188.35 |
|  | 14.45 | 23.73 | 11.22 | 26.20 | 127.30 |
|  | 12.32 | 29.41 | 11.95 | 24.04 | 115.57 |
|  | 18.75 | 26.16 | 13.54 | 31.04 | 199.33 |
|  | 19.58 | 24.83 | 14.17 | 28.21 | 217.81 |
| c. hilarana | 24.03 | 34.18 | 0.75 | 37.89 | 14.16 |
|  | 24.87 | 32.00 | 2.83 | 34.60 | 55.26 |
|  | 26.17 | 26.76 | 6.37 | 39.36 | 130.89 |
|  | 23.03 | 35.98 | 6.44 | 41.26 | 116.45 |
|  | 22.27 | 29.91 | 3.18 | 34.89 | 55.70 |
|  | 19.46 | 26.74 | 2.58 | 35.54 | 39.39 |
|  | 16.60 | 26.56 | 4.49 | 30.88 | 58.52 |
|  | 18.50 | 24.50 | 4.17 | 34.17 | 60.54 |
|  | 21.19 | 26.44 | 8.35 | 33.82 | 139.03 |
|  | 18.44 | 30.26 | 5.67 | 31.87 | 82.10 |
|  | 21.54 | 31.10 | 2.22 | 36.31 | 37.62 |
|  | 22.00 | 23.50 | 1.51 | 31.34 | 26.07 |
|  | 23.30 | 30.89 | 14.01 | 35.95 | 256.40 |
|  | 24.50 | 28.67 | 12.50 | 39.13 | 240.55 |
|  | 22.74 | 30.79 | 4.47 | 36.53 | 79.87 |
|  | 23.11 | 29.77 | 8.13 | 35.74 | 147.54 |
|  | 24.66 | 31.37 | 12.98 | 38.70 | 251.37 |


|  | 21.74 | 31.21 | 11.05 | 37.69 | 188.73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 23.45 | 32.49 | 6.13 | 34.68 | 112.90 |
|  | 18.23 | 31.73 | 4.36 | 34.14 | 62.43 |
|  | 17.84 | 27.28 | 3.30 | 37.28 | 46.24 |
|  | 13.79 | 28.31 | 4.25 | 34.19 | 46.01 |
|  | 21.93 | 29.83 | 6.47 | 36.05 | 116.78 |
| C. rosea | 20.03 | 28.86 | 8.53 | 37.23 | 134.21 |
|  | 17.41 | 26.49 | 8.14 | 36.82 | 111.35 |
|  | 11.87 | 28.70 | 5.85 | 35.88 | 54.54 |
|  | 20.30 | 27.61 | 9.65 | 38.15 | 153.84 |
|  | 20.80 | 26.49 | 8.20 | 35.04 | 133.93 |
|  | 20.18 | 29.56 | 10.73 | 37.27 | 170.12 |
|  | 18.66 | 25.42 | 8.31 | 36.42 | 121.76 |
|  | 25.31 | 30.99 | 14.45 | 37.55 | 287.29 |
|  | 18.86 | 27.77 | 11.34 | 35.28 | 167.90 |
|  | 18.93 | 28.29 | 9.26 | 36.15 | 137.66 |
|  | 15.77 | 19.56 | 8.15 | 31.99 | 101.00 |
|  | 22.98 | 28.88 | 10.36 | 38.10 | 186.97 |
|  | 19.10 | 24.24 | 9.95 | 33.61 | 149.20 |
|  | 14.61 | 25.66 | 6.56 | 39.17 | 75.28 |
|  | 13.79 | 29.01 | 7.57 | 36.68 | 81.94 |
|  | 15.14 | 29.55 | 5.94 | 40.63 | 70.64 |
|  | 24.01 | 27.36 | 12.02 | 37.65 | 226.57 |
|  | 21.14 | 27.22 | 11.68 | 36.34 | 193.98 |
|  | 15.64 | 30.57 | 7.13 | 37.53 | 87.56 |
|  | 11.04 | 27.81 | 6.04 | 33.70 | 52.34 |
|  | 18.09 | 26.17 | 9.36 | 34.46 | 132.97 |
|  | 22.63 | 28.34 | 10.35 | 39.97 | 183.96 |
|  | 15.23 | 25.66 | 7.17 | 38.70 | 85.69 |
|  | 14.33 | 25.51 | 5.34 | 38.67 | 60.07 |
|  | 17.50 | 25.37 | 8.17 | 34.17 | 112.34 |
|  | 11.49 | 23.61 | 5.67 | 30.46 | 51.17 |
| C. Ianceolata | 16.25 | 21.25 | 6.88 | 23.25 | 87.74 |
|  | 19.47 | 19.00 | 5.55 | 24.72 | 84.92 |
|  | 19.46 | 19.35 | 6.85 | 23.59 | 104.71 |
|  | 16.79 | 18.70 | 4.93 | 23.05 | 64.96 |
|  | 19.95 | 19.14 | 5.13 | 27.49 | 80.33 |
|  | 18.31 | 18.62 | 5.71 | 25.52 | 82.11 |
|  | 19.89 | 16.68 | 4.96 | 24.76 | 77.46 |
|  | 17.34 | 18.10 | 3.25 | 22.01 | 44.25 |
|  | 19.53 | 17.44 | 4.85 | 23.07 | 74.30 |
|  | 18.51 | 18.25 | 5.27 | 23.53 | 76.62 |
|  | 15.83 | 17.75 | 5.00 | 20.83 | 62.18 |


|  | 19.69 | 20.91 | 7.17 | 22.84 | 110.83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16.42 | 17.10 | 3.52 | 20.13 | 45.33 |
|  | 21.84 | 20.67 | 9.42 | 26.50 | 161.55 |
|  | 20.34 | 18.86 | 4.70 | 23.53 | 75.04 |
|  | 17.43 | 19.95 | 6.40 | 23.80 | 87.63 |
|  | 17.91 | 17.57 | 3.56 | 23.03 | 50.04 |
|  | 17.14 | 19.30 | 4.38 | 22.10 | 58.88 |
|  | 14.37 | 20.13 | 4.85 | 23.05 | 54.78 |
|  | 15.09 | 18.37 | 3.48 | 22.37 | 41.27 |
|  | 19.28 | 21.69 | 7.95 | 28.07 | 120.42 |
|  | 22.08 | 20.37 | 5.67 | 26.33 | 98.30 |
|  | 17.45 | 18.69 | 6.17 | 25.04 | 84.50 |
|  | 20.29 | 16.25 | 4.25 | 25.20 | 67.73 |
|  | 14.79 | 17.63 | 5.25 | 21.03 | 60.93 |
|  | 17.67 | 19.09 | 4.42 | 22.43 | 61.32 |
| C. aripoensis | 11.86 | 25.00 | 4.14 | 33.84 | 38.54 |
|  | 13.40 | 25.19 | 4.49 | 32.99 | 47.24 |
|  | 13.44 | 31.50 | 4.76 | 32.22 | 50.21 |
|  | 14.24 | 27.75 | 4.74 | 36.58 | 52.99 |
|  | 14.47 | 25.01 | 5.83 | 31.25 | 66.30 |
|  | 12.13 | 26.98 | 4.07 | 31.47 | 38.77 |
|  | 12.53 | 26.50 | 4.04 | 27.72 | 39.70 |
|  | 13.37 | 26.00 | 4.37 | 29.79 | 45.86 |
|  | 12.26 | 28.61 | 3.89 | 32.00 | 37.44 |
|  | 11.51 | 22.70 | 5.03 | 30.58 | 45.43 |
|  | 14.43 | 23.80 | 4.44 | 30.44 | 50.32 |
|  | 20.80 | 28.60 | 12.03 | 33.18 | 196.54 |
|  | 12.67 | 29.82 | 5.32 | 28.44 | 52.90 |
|  | 19.69 | 20.81 | 7.11 | 30.45 | 109.91 |
|  | 14.32 | 31.21 | 6.96 | 33.07 | 78.26 |
|  | 19.68 | 23.56 | 10.81 | 30.12 | 167.18 |
|  | 24.43 | 23.41 | 9.52 | 35.89 | 182.66 |
|  | 11.44 | 25.66 | 5.38 | 30.80 | 48.30 |
|  | 22.23 | 31.81 | 10.18 | 30.94 | 177.71 |
|  | 14.42 | 28.92 | 5.59 | 33.35 | 63.33 |
|  | 12.73 | 28.24 | 5.68 | 34.00 | 56.77 |
|  | 10.99 | 24.97 | 3.85 | 32.11 | 33.20 |
|  | 21.37 | 26.76 | 11.79 | 34.06 | 197.84 |
|  | 10.36 | 28.39 | 4.30 | 28.18 | 35.01 |
|  | 13.51 | 26.42 | 6.02 | 34.41 | 63.87 |
| c. grandiflora | 21.14 | 30.99 | 8.45 | 33.31 | 140.29 |
|  | 24.16 | 31.64 | 7.21 | 37.10 | 136.85 |
|  | 21.93 | 37.54 | 11.11 | 32.07 | 191.30 |


|  | 21.52 | 33.92 | 10.44 | 30.44 | 176.38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 21.61 | 28.14 | 8.80 | 32.25 | 149.35 |
|  | 19.75 | 29.26 | 9.10 | 28.99 | 141.08 |
|  | 21.72 | 32.35 | 9.33 | 35.54 | 159.05 |
|  | 23.26 | 32.51 | 9.46 | 33.93 | 172.69 |
|  | 21.26 | 31.96 | 14.68 | 34.37 | 245.14 |
|  | 21.11 | 28.71 | 8.80 | 33.85 | 145.89 |
|  | 15.03 | 32.85 | 12.96 | 31.66 | 153.01 |
|  | 22.59 | 32.13 | 10.74 | 31.38 | 190.53 |
|  | 22.08 | 28.74 | 11.63 | 34.15 | 201.69 |
|  | 18.49 | 26.55 | 8.38 | 32.96 | 121.62 |
|  | 20.95 | 32.70 | 8.05 | 34.96 | 132.47 |
|  | 16.39 | 29.90 | 6.38 | 30.53 | 82.16 |
|  | 19.80 | 32.09 | 7.62 | 31.42 | 118.44 |
|  | 18.04 | 31.90 | 4.86 | 34.04 | 68.83 |
|  | 19.34 | 30.49 | 6.71 | 37.37 | 101.88 |
|  | 22.78 | 31.18 | 8.91 | 37.76 | 159.37 |
|  | 20.41 | 28.68 | 5.86 | 33.87 | 93.87 |
|  | 20.60 | 27.53 | 3.66 | 34.71 | 59.18 |
|  | 23.76 | 28.00 | 7.09 | 36.66 | 132.24 |
|  | 19.19 | 32.79 | 8.06 | 31.83 | 121.37 |
|  | 18.27 | 29.24 | 5.66 | 29.17 | 81.16 |
| C. tocuchensis | 15.10 | 21.37 | 4.25 | 30.53 | 50.42 |
|  | 11.21 | 20.54 | 4.17 | 30.14 | 36.72 |
|  | 19.78 | 22.17 | 8.34 | 27.72 | 129.50 |
|  | 19.01 | 21.85 | 8.14 | 29.16 | 121.53 |
|  | 15.79 | 22.22 | 8.18 | 25.56 | 101.47 |
|  | 14.76 | 20.22 | 5.13 | 27.51 | 59.52 |
|  | 13.45 | 21.95 | 4.32 | 25.24 | 45.68 |
|  | 16.29 | 18.31 | 1.88 | 26.01 | 24.05 |
|  | 17.48 | 22.29 | 1.25 | 24.62 | 17.16 |
|  | 16.85 | 20.00 | 8.67 | 25.51 | 114.66 |
|  | 17.37 | 21.21 | 7.18 | 26.58 | 97.94 |
|  | 18.60 | 19.23 | 4.38 | 27.77 | 63.92 |
|  | 16.89 | 20.74 | 5.59 | 26.09 | 74.14 |
|  | 18.39 | 21.23 | 11.77 | 27.62 | 169.95 |
|  | 12.57 | 21.14 | 5.59 | 26.17 | 55.14 |
|  | 15.60 | 19.46 | 5.62 | 26.10 | 68.82 |
|  | 16.01 | 20.01 | 6.20 | 27.37 | 77.98 |
|  | 16.93 | 22.67 | 6.67 | 27.52 | 88.61 |
|  | 18.00 | 21.86 | 7.92 | 25.38 | 111.94 |
|  | 13.83 | 21.54 | 6.75 | 25.30 | 73.38 |
|  | 13.87 | 19.23 | 5.60 | 26.16 | 61.05 |
|  | 12.78 | 22.36 | 4.10 | 29.60 | 41.17 |


|  | 15.44 | 17.58 | 7.47 | 28.29 | 90.58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12.30 | 20.79 | 3.59 | 24.83 | 34.68 |
|  | 19.32 | 20.67 | 7.17 | 26.08 | 108.79 |
|  | 18.50 | 22.75 | 8.75 | 26.95 | 127.12 |
|  | 13.52 | 20.65 | 5.34 | 24.58 | 56.72 |
|  | 20.85 | 22.24 | 9.61 | 27.03 | 157.28 |
|  | 17.61 | 26.44 | 11.47 | 26.45 | 158.57 |
|  | 18.53 | 22.28 | 10.16 | 26.52 | 147.88 |
|  | 13.34 | 22.07 | 8.16 | 23.30 | 85.46 |
| C. multiflora | 19.33 | 30.32 | 5.77 | 31.90 | 87.63 |
|  | 17.50 | 26.60 | 6.17 | 31.01 | 84.75 |
|  | 12.92 | 32.70 | 7.08 | 27.45 | 71.82 |
|  | 11.47 | 30.51 | 2.24 | 26.95 | 20.15 |
|  | 12.98 | 28.99 | 4.04 | 31.16 | 41.14 |
|  | 7.85 | 29.00 | 2.15 | 24.56 | 13.25 |
|  | 17.04 | 28.18 | 2.06 | 35.95 | 27.58 |
|  | 12.84 | 27.93 | 2.43 | 29.21 | 24.46 |
|  | 12.62 | 34.70 | 4.30 | 36.19 | 42.63 |
|  | 10.89 | 28.14 | 2.76 | 31.98 | 23.58 |
|  | 8.54 | 26.41 | 3.44 | 27.47 | 23.04 |
|  | 9.23 | 27.55 | 4.19 | 24.04 | 30.38 |
|  | 10.43 | 29.21 | 4.96 | 30.42 | 40.66 |
|  | 10.43 | 30.66 | 4.26 | 30.83 | 34.88 |
|  | 14.33 | 28.23 | 8.85 | 31.29 | 99.55 |
|  | 12.29 | 29.65 | 6.01 | 31.12 | 57.97 |
|  | 10.81 | 23.81 | 3.15 | 25.42 | 26.70 |
|  | 8.41 | 24.58 | 3.01 | 30.48 | 19.85 |
|  | 10.41 | 24.94 | 5.39 | 27.76 | 44.02 |
|  | 11.79 | 22.98 | 3.55 | 33.13 | 32.81 |
|  | 6.94 | 25.24 | 3.01 | 25.54 | 16.38 |
|  | 9.80 | 27.70 | 4.30 | 33.14 | 33.11 |
|  | 9.22 | 32.93 | 4.26 | 29.49 | 30.84 |
|  | 16.15 | 24.94 | 4.50 | 25.95 | 57.10 |
|  | 11.32 | 28.67 | 6.17 | 28.76 | 54.83 |
|  | 14.67 | 22.92 | 4.26 | 29.88 | 49.07 |
|  | 10.90 | 21.99 | 3.50 | 29.34 | 29.99 |
|  | 11.45 | 27.94 | 3.26 | 30.39 | 29.32 |
|  | 11.93 | 32.57 | 8.19 | 36.34 | 76.70 |
|  | 18.34 | 30.99 | 3.91 | 28.51 | 56.35 |
|  | 9.13 | 27.22 | 4.03 | 26.66 | 28.88 |
|  | 10.52 | 30.38 | 6.31 | 28.47 | 52.11 |
|  | 10.15 | 25.63 | 6.56 | 30.15 | 52.33 |
|  | 7.85 | 27.32 | 5.20 | 27.01 | 32.08 |
|  | 12.70 | 25.81 | 6.58 | 29.61 | 65.60 |


| 11.97 | 28.00 | 9.36 | 26.80 | 87.99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.72 | 27.75 | 6.08 | 31.28 | 55.98 |
| 7.42 | 31.33 | 5.53 | 28.00 | 32.25 |
| 11.95 | 27.20 | 6.87 | 28.47 | 64.43 |
| 16.37 | 27.53 | 8.75 | 28.31 | 112.46 |
| 16.25 | 29.25 | 6.67 | 32.62 | 85.14 |
| 16.16 | 28.03 | 6.71 | 29.63 | 85.14 |
| 16.01 | 24.85 | 6.79 | 32.05 | 85.38 |
| 10.80 | 30.09 | 5.03 | 28.37 | 42.67 |
| 14.09 | 33.71 | 9.75 | 28.97 | 107.91 |
| 11.05 | 29.96 | 5.93 | 27.61 | 51.44 |
| 9.55 | 29.35 | 5.67 | 29.89 | 42.49 |
| 10.00 | 31.57 | 6.80 | 30.50 | 53.43 |
| 7.79 | 26.34 | 4.78 | 27.41 | 29.21 |
| 13.20 | 29.48 | 7.85 | 24.44 | 81.34 |
| 10.00 | 29.03 | 7.18 | 27.33 | 56.36 |
| 13.40 | 28.83 | 7.34 | 31.16 | 77.21 |
| 10.09 | 28.40 | 5.83 | 27.90 | 46.23 |
| 18.03 | 31.02 | 6.97 | 32.24 | 98.74 |
| 11.79 | 27.84 | 5.94 | 31.01 | 54.95 |
| 10.98 | 29.88 | 6.36 | 30.25 | 54.89 |
| 18.83 | 29.18 | 8.97 | 34.21 | 132.67 |
| 15.34 | 30.42 | 5.76 | 30.00 | 69.34 |
| 10.55 | 26.25 | 5.77 | 35.02 | 47.81 |
| 14.36 | 29.99 | 6.27 | 34.86 | 70.68 |
| 14.47 | 29.57 | 8.25 | 35.32 | 93.76 |
| 11.36 | 28.57 | 6.13 | 28.17 | 54.68 |
| 10.50 | 27.80 | 6.89 | 30.13 | 56.79 |
| 15.06 | 28.07 | 4.27 | 28.82 | 50.49 |
| 13.50 | 27.79 | 6.76 | 32.25 | 71.64 |
| 11.79 | 32.17 | 7.59 | 31.87 | 70.31 |
| 13.66 | 33.44 | 9.22 | 35.10 | 98.89 |

## Appendix 4: Calculated values for Stomatal conductance $\mathrm{GH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Calculated values for $\mathrm{GH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for eight species of Clusia using the equation below (Lawson et al 1998), values of stomata pore area (taken as a $2 / 3$ of pore length), and pore depth have been converted to meters to use the same units for all the variables. $a=$ mean formula mass of air, $b=$ effective diffusion coefficient, $\mathrm{c}=$ stomatal density, $\mathrm{d}=$ pore area, $\mathrm{e}=$ pore depth, $\mathrm{e}=$ end correction.

|  | mean formula mass of air (mmol m ${ }^{-3}$ ) | diffusion coefficient $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | stomatal density <br> ( N stomata $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ ) | pore area $\left(m^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pore } \\ \text { depht (m) } \end{gathered}$ | end correction (m) | $\left(a^{*} b^{*} c^{*} d\right)$ | (e+f) | $\begin{gathered} \text { GH2O } \\ \left(\mathrm{mmolm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| alata | 40900 | 0.0000249 | $1.1987 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 1.91E-10 | 135E-05 | 7.797E-06 | 2.33E-02 | 2.13372E-05 | 1092.768486 |
| hilariana | 40900 | 0.0000249 | $7.4030 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 2.28E-10 | 1.15E-05 | 8.519E-06 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.99691E-05 | 860.810391 |
| rosea | 40900 | 0.0000249 | $1.0400 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 1.67E-10 | 1.09E-05 | 7.291E-06 | 1.77E-02 | 1.81809E-05 | 972.873616 |
| lanceolata | 40900 | 0.0000249 | $1.2620 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 1.71E-10 | 9.88E-06 | 7378E-06 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.72577E-05 | 1273.486991 |
| aripoensis | 40900 | 0.0000249 | $1.2825 E+08$ | 1.16E-10 | 8.24E-06 | 6.077E-06 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.43165E-05 | 1058.281344 |
| grandiflora | 40900 | 0.0000249 | $1.3400 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 8.00E-06 | 8.406E-06 | 3.03E-02 | 1.64062E-05 | 1846.595393 |
| tocuchensis | 40900 | 0.0000249 | $1.4491 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.06E-05 | 7.421E-06 | 2.55E-02 | 1.80607E-05 | 1413.615873 |
| multiflora | 40900 | 0.0000249 | $1.1811 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 157E-05 | 5.726E-06 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.13759 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 579.584134 |



Equation used to calculate theoretical stomatal conductance (Lawson et al 1998), mean formula of air was taken as $40.9 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, effective diffusion coefficient for water vapour in air is 2.49 x $10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Jones 1992). Pore area was calculated assuming the pore as an ellipse with a constant minor axis, pore length, at different apertures, and maximal aperture as major axis. Finally an end correction $=(\text { pore area } / \pi)^{0.5}($ Nobel 1991 $)$ was applied.

## Appendix 5: Vein density estimation (adapted from McKown and Dengler, 2009).

To assessed the amount of veins per area, leaves were excised from the base of the petiole an immediately put in water, then treated with a solution of $15 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ sodium hydroxide $(\mathrm{NAOH})$ in ethanol for several days (2-5) until all the chlorophyll were gone and the leaf was completely dark brown, nearly black, after this, washes with water to clean the NaOH residues were done and the leaf was transfer to blench (sodium hypochlorite) for 24 hours or until were clear, before the tissues starts to disintegrates. After this, the leaf was staining with $1 \%$ saffarin in ethanol (modified from (McKown and Dengler, 2009)) at this point, the tissue was very delicate and easily broken, then carefully manipulation was needed.

To estimate the vein density, pieces of the treated leaf as described above, were put under the light microscope and pictures were taken at the lower magnification 5x. Using image J the length of the primary and secondary veins was measured in a known area of $62.6 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ and then added for each category to calculate length of vein (mm) per $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ of leaf. Primary veins were considered the larger and thicker veins which cross the leaf (Hickey, 1979) leaf architecture working group in (McKown and Dengler, 2009) and secondary veins those which arise from the primary and were more thinner, no other vein category was identifiably due to the thickness of the leaf.

# Appendix 6: Collection and fixation of plant material for chromosome counting (Dr. I. Leitch and Dr. J. Pellice at Jodrell Laboratory Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, by). 

Root tips from very young plants were used for DNA amount estimations. Using clean forceps, healthy roots were from soil in the morning (better metaphases) and immediately place in a glass collecting bottle, half filled with distilled water, around 5-10 roots were collected in a 5 ml tube. Once the roots were in the laboratory, they were pre-treated by placing in a solution of 8 hydroxyquinoline (previously a 2 mM solution was prepared with distilled water and incubated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath with constant swirling) at fume hood and leave at room temperature for 3 hours. After this roots were fixed by transferring into a fresh solution of 3:1 ethanol: acetic acid. Roots were left at room temperature for up to 24 hours and then transfer to the fridge. Then fixed roots were sent to the laboratory in Kew for chromosome count.
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